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## FOREWORD

The readjustments now proceeding in American industry make accurate, comprehensive and scientifically analyzed information on changes in wages, hours, and the volume of employment of timely interest and importance to business men, students of economics, and the public generally.

To supply such information is the object of this report. It is the fourth of this nature published by this Board, much broader in scope than any of its predecessors, and more extensive than any wage survey heretofore made in this country. It presents reliable data portraying the hourly and weekly earnings, hours of plant operation and worker hours, as well as employment, from the pre-war period to the middle of 1921. It covers in special detail the period since June, 1920, when the prevailing depression began to show itself, and is therefore of great value in depicting the process of readjustment in the principal American industries during a period of declining business activity.

Recognizing the general interest in the relation between wages and the cost of living, the report presents also a comparison between changes in money wages for all industries and changes in the cost of living for the country as a whole, during the period studied, thus showing the trend of "real" wages, which is the purchasing power of money wages, from 1914 onward.

The present report rests on a broad and sound statistical foundation which will provide a basis for further studies by the Board of wages in American industry, and will make possible also a comparison with wage conditions in foreign countries.


## Cornell University Library

The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

## CONTENTS

PAGE
Introduction ..... 1
Summary and General Conclusions ..... 7
I. Foundry and Machine-Shop Products. ..... 33
II. Automobile Manufacturing ..... 41
III. Agricultural Implement Manufacturing ..... 47
IV. Electrical Apparatus Manufacturing ..... 53
V. Iron and Steel Manufacturing ..... 61
VI. Cotton Manufacturing ..... 69
A. North ..... 69
B. South ..... 75
VII. Wool Manufacturing ..... 81
VIII. Silk Manufacturing ..... 89
IX. Hosiery and Knit Goods Manufacturing ..... 95
X. Rubber Manufacturing ..... 101
XI. Leather Tanning and Finishing ..... 107
XII. Boot and Shoe Manufacturing. ..... 113
XIII. Meat Packing ..... 121
XIV. Chemical Manufacturing. ..... 127
A. Chemicals ..... 127
B. Fertilizer Manufacturing. ..... 133
XV. Paint and Varnish Manufacturing. ..... 139
XVI. Paper Manufacturing ..... 145
A. Paper and Wood Pulp Manufacturing. ..... 145
B. Paper Products Manıfacturing ..... 151
XVII. Printing and Publishing-Book and Job ..... 157
XVIII. Printing and Publishing-Newspapers and Periodicals ..... 163
XIX. Lumber Manufacturing and Millwork. ..... 169
XX. Furniture Manufacturing. ..... 177
XXI. Brick and Tile Manufacturing. ..... 183
XXII. Generation and Distribution of Electricity ..... 191
XXIII. Manufacture and Distribution of Gas. ..... 197

## LIST OF SUMMARY TABLES AND CHARTS

Tables
PAGE
Table A: Average Hourly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 9
Table B: Average Weekly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 13
Table C: Employment, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 18
Table D: Average Hours of Work, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 20
Table E: Changes in Actual Hourly Earnings, All In- dustries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 27
Table F: Changes in Actual Weekly Earnings, All In- dustries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 28
Table G: Geographical Distribution of Plants Furnishing Data, All Industries. ..... 203
Charts
Chart A: Average Hourly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921—Actual Figures. ..... 10
Chart B: Average Hourly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921—Index Numbers ..... 11
Chart C: Average Weekly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921—Actual Figures ..... 14
Chart D: Average Weekly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921-Index Numbers. ..... 15
Chart E: Employment, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 17
Chart F: Average Hours of Work, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921 ..... 21
Chart G: Percentage Increases in Average Hourly Earnings up to July, 1921, over July, 1914, and Percentages of Change from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, by Industries ..... 23
Chart H: Percentage Increases in Average Weekly Earnings up to July, 1921, over July, 1914, and Percentages of Change from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, by Industries ..... 26
Chart J: Percentages of Change in Employment, June, 1920 up to July, 1921, by Industries
Chart K: Average Hourly and Weekly Money Wages, Cost of Living and "Real" Hourly and Weekly Wages, All Industries, July, 1914 up to July, 1921... ..... 31

# Wages and Hours in American Industry 

 July, 1914 -July, 1921
## INTRODUCTION

## Scope of Investigation

The aim in this investigation was to present a picture of the trend of industrial conditions in the United States as reflected in wages, hours of work and employment. These three factors are inseparably linked together, and to discuss the question of wages without inquiry into hours and employment would yield incomplete results, both from a scientific and practical point of view. The data contained in this report, therefore, deal not only with wages, but also with the average hours of the nominal or standard week, the average weekly hours of plant activity, the average actual week per wage earner, and with fluctuations in full-time and part-time employment.

Three reports dealing with wages have already been issued by the National Industrial Conference Board. The first ${ }^{1}$ study published by the Board included eight industries, the second ${ }^{2}$ dealt with twelve, and the third ${ }^{3}$ with fifteen separate industries.

The present report covers 23 major industries. Returns were received from 4,398 plants, employing $1,247,650$ wage earners at the general height of industrial activity in 1920. Schedules were received from many other plants, but these were not sufficiently complete to warrant their inclusion in this report. The selection of industries was guided by the most recent United States Census of Manufactures and was in part determined by the availability of data. Industries

[^0]were chosen which were representative of the manufacturing activities of the country. The clothing industry was not included because its sliding scale of wage payments, its mixture of per diem and piece rates, and its seasonal fluctuations, made the gathering of satisfactory data impracticable at this time. For similar reasons, a few other representative industries could not be included.

Wage movements are not necessarily best reflected by data gathered from a great number of plants, but rather by data from sources that are truly representative of industrial conditions. The 1919 Census of Manufactures lists over 80,000 industrial establishments in the industries covered by this report. Over 5,000 of these establishments furnished information, and the plants included in this report employed about 30 per cent of the total wage earners in all 80,000 plants.

## Period Covered by Report

The data cover the period from July, 1914 up to July, 1921, and present an authentic record of wage changes in this period, gathered from comparable sources. The periodic wage studies conducted by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics are from sources that are mainly non-identical. The single available source of continuing information on wage fluctuations from June, 1914 to the present, that of the New York Industrial Commission ${ }^{1}$, reflects conditions in only one state.

July, 1914 has been selected as the base for the study because this date immediately precedes the period affected by the outbreak of the World War. It reflects American industry, in general, under fairly normal conditions. In some industries that period was a time of depression or seasonal stagnation, but on the whole, July, 1914 can be considered as the normal and standard basis for comparison with war and post-armistice conditions, whether economic, industrial or social.

The period from 1914 to the middle of 1920 was characterized by an advance from normal business activity to supernormal production, stimulated by the Great War. Industry reached the high-water mark in the middle of 1920, although

[^1]in some industries deflation had already started in the spring months of that year.

No attempt has been made to study the character of the rise from the base in 1914 to the general peak in 1920. The results of such an investigation would be largely of academic interest. Today the principal need is to show the trends from the peak to the present, and to relate the existing situation to that of the pre-war period. To meet this need, this report shows the flow of wages, hours of work and employment, month by month from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, related to the common base of July, 1914.

Approximately three-quarters of the usable schedules which form the basis of this report give complete data from July, 1914 up to July, 1921. The remainder are from plants that could not furnish July, 1914 data, but did compile returns for the period 1920-1921. This group contained many firms in existence in 1914, but whose payroll records from 1914 to 1920 were unobtainable, and also firms that had started in business after 1914. It was felt that to eliminate from the report the group which supplied only 1920-1921 data would omit valuable intormation.

The problem, therefore, was to relate the data from the 1914-1921 group with those from the 1920-1921 group of firms. In regard to weekly and hourly earnings, the average week per wage earner, the average week of plant operation and the hours of the nominal week, careful tabulation showed little divergence between the data for the two groups, covering the period from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. It seemed justifiable, therefore, to tie together the two groups for 19201921. For this reason, the actual and index base for hours and earnings, furnished by the 1914-1921 group of firms, has been taken as the base for the entire 1920-1921 group. As explained later in this report, a different method was applied to employment data.

The fact that three-quarters of the material covers the entire period, assures a predominant base which is sufficient to outweigh any errors that might accrue by tying in a smaller group at June, 1920. In other words, the statistical method pursued has not involved changing the base or a greater part of the base between different periods.

## Classification of Wage Earners

This investigation deals only with the wage-earning group, and does not include executives, office and sales force, foremen or assistants, if paid on a salary basis, or clerical workers.

As in previous wage studies conducted by the Conference Board, data are classified first by sex. Male wage earners are then divided into the two general groups of "common or unskilled" labor and "all other" labor. "Common or unskilled" labor is defined as that for which no previous training is re-quired-the general labor that performs the cruder "muscle" tasks. "All other" labor is composed of semi-skilled and skilled labor of all kinds-the group that must have had some training for their jobs. It contains all kinds of workers, from those who classify just above the rank of common laborers to the most highly skilled wage earners. The dividing line between these groups is difficult to determine, yet, on the whole, there is a general understanding as to the distinction implied by these terms. In the charts and tables contained in this report, the word "skilled" refers to the male workers in the "all other" group.

An attempt was made in this investigation to ascertain wage rates as well as earnings for each of the principal occupational groups in each industry. It was found, however, that with regard to the classification of occupations and to occupational nomenclature, there was no general agreement between plants in the same industry, or between different industries using substantially the same kind of labor. The attempt had, therefore, to be abandoned.

## Treatment of Wage Data

This report deals with "money wages," which are to be distinguished from "real wages", or the purchasing power of money wages. Wherever "earnings" is used, it means total wages derived from labor services.

Hourly, as well as weekly earnings, for each of the three classes in each industry, are included in the present investigation. Hourly earnings are obtained by dividing the weekly payroll in money for each group of wage earners by the total actual hours worked by each group; weekly earnings are obtained by dividing the weekly payroll in money by the total
number of persons in each group of wage earners. The wage data have been given in tables and charts both in index numbers, and in dollars and cents which picture actual conditions. The index numbers show the rate of increase and decrease from various points, and they further reflect the relative importance of wage changes in the different groups.

## Treatment of Employment Data

While the 1914-1921 and 1920-1921 groups were consolidated in showing trends of earnings and hours, such a procedure in regard to employment data was considered statistically incorrect. The base furnished by the 1914-1921 group of establishments could be used as the base for trends in earnings and hours for all establishments, but this base could not be used for all establishments in regard to employment. Here the problem deals with actual numbers rather than with trends, and to use the base of a smaller group as the base for the whole group would lead to wrong conclusions. Consequently the employment data for the total and for the three classified groups individually are shown for the 1920-1921 period only. Additional information, covering the establishments from which data for 1914-1921 were available, is also included on the employment charts.

## Treatment of Data on Hours of Work

The report covers three kinds of data regarding hours of work: (1) the average actual week per wage earner, (2) the average actual week of plant operation, (3) the nominal or standard work week.
"The average actual week per wage earner" is obtained by dividing the total man or worker hours of each group by the number of workers employed in that group. In consolidating this information from individual plants into data for an industry, the number of wage earners in each plant was taken into account, thereby securing a weighted average for each industry.
"The actual week of plant operation" is the average of the hours of operation of all the plants covered in a specific industry. The figure is obtained by multiplying the total number of wage earners in each plant by the hours of opera-
ion of that plant, and then dividing the sum of these totals by the total number of wage earners, thus combining these data into an average for the industry. This gives the properly weighted hours of plant operation for an industry.

The "nominal or standard work week" in a plant is the number of hours which the plant is supposed to operate. The hours of the nominal week are determined not so much by the size of the individual establishment as by the prevailing hours within the industry, or by a collective contract between management and employees. The nominal week for an entire industry has been ascertained by taking the average of the nominal weeks in all the establishments of that industry. This average has not been weighted in relation to the number of plant employees, because the object is to ascertain the average week for the plants in the industry rather than for the workers in the plants.

The comparison between the average hours per individual wage earner and the actual week of plant operation shows the divergence between the hours that all the wage earners have actually worked and the hours that the plant has actually operated. It measures the inefficiency of plant operation due to any or all causes. In addition, the comparison between the actual week of plant operation and the nominal week reflects broadly the economic condition of the industry.

In determining the actual week of plant operation, full account was taken of the time lost due to local and legal holidays, and to local and temporary plant disabilities. Losses due to these causes are not to be attributed to economic and industrial conditions, but to temporary and local circumstances. To compute average weekly hours and earnings on the basis of a week that has been reduced through a cause not related to conditions governing working time in the industry, would result in lowering the average so that it would not be representative or typical of other weeks in the month. On this account the wage schedule requested representative 'weeks which were, as far as possible, unaffected by legal and local holidays. Where such holidays occurred, the time lost was added to the hours of actual plant operation and to the average actual week per wage earner, and the normal week of hours and earnings was thus established.

## SUMMARY AND GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

The present wage investigation, covering the interval from July, 1914 to the middle of 1921, deals with an especially interesting period of American industry. Starting from a prewar base, the study reflects the tremendous expansion in many manufacturing industries under pressure of war stimulation and post-war demands, followed by the industrial depression which developed in the spring of 1920 and has since continued in most industries. The period of deflation has been one of wide fluctuations, characterized by general wage reductions, unemployment, part-time operation, and curtailment of production.

The general results of the investigation are dealt with under five headings:
A. Composite data for all industries.
B. Comparison of data between industries.
C. Comparison of data between classified groups in all industries.
D. Comparison of composite hourly and weekly earnings with the cost of living.
E. General conclusions.

## A. Composite Data for All Industries

Not all of the material presented in the twenty-six separate industrial sections is included in the composite results. Data from gas and electric light and power companies are not used, as conditions in these public utilities are not quite comparable with those in other manufacturing industries. Wages and rates are generally determined by local or state legislation, and the conditions in these industries require continuous operation, making an accurate record of hours of little value. Further, of the seventy iron and steel plants from which returns were received, data from sixteen plants have not been included in the composite returns, because the payroll records of these plants did not give classified data. Moreover, their inclusion in the composite results would not have materially altered these results. The composite returns in
this section, therefore, include only 4,084 plants, employing $1,200,000$ wage earners in the aggregate, while in discussing general results relating specifically to earnings, employment and hours, the number of plants and wage earners covered is designated in each case.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: The compilation of data on hourly earnings does not include returns from boot and shoe establishments ${ }^{1}$ in addition to the gas and electric plants and certain iron and steel companies already mentioned. The composite hourly earnings for all industries, therefore, include data from only 3,973 plants. Women were employed in 1,717 of these plants.

Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased 156\% between July, 1914 and September, 1920. The decline from the latter period to the middle of 1921 amounted to $16.5 \%$. At that time, the net increase over July, 1914 was $114 \%$. The actual decrease in money in average hourly earnings for all wage earners from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $\$ .091 / 2$.

The trend shows a well-sustained level to the end of 1920. Beginning in January, 1921, sharp declines occurred, which increased throughout the entire first six months of the year.

By September, 1920 the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $163 \%$ over July, 1914. In the same month, those of skilled labor showed an increase of $149 \%$, while the high point of hourly earnings for women was reached in June, 1920, when there was an increase of $171 \%$ over the 1914 level.

The percentages of decline for the three groups from the peak months up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $17.3 \%$; skilled labor, $15.2 \%$; women, $14.6 \%$. Relatively, the amount of decline for women was less than that for the male group.

The percentages of increase in June, 1921 over 1914 for the three groups were respectively, $117 \%, 111 \%$ and $131 \%$.

Between June, 1920 and the end of June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor declined $\$ .084$, ihose of skilled labor $\$ .097$, and those of women, $\$ .061$.

The general tendency in the trends for the individual groups

[^2]Table A

## AVERAGE HOURLY EARNINGS

Composite of All Industries Classified by Groups，With Composite of All Wage Earners

| $$ |  | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | そNOルボヨす |  लмलলmল |
|  |  | $\underset{a}{7}$ |  엉ㅇㅇ <br>  <br>  | $\infty \infty$ ONTHN <br>  シヲFヲヲF |
|  |  | 8 |  | －サ처NNNNNN |
|  |  | $$ | 중엉ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ |  649068 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\therefore \infty \rightarrow$ NONす ㄱNㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ ザヘn <br>  |  |
|  |  | 8 |  Nio№N | 甘iNNNNNN |
|  |  | $$ |  <br>  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  꺽NNN <br>  NNN N్NN |  021002 がのページに <br>  |
|  |  | 8 |  ヘังพิำํํ | 어NNNN N N N |
|  |  | $$ | 웅 |  <br>  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ |  |  |

＊Includes 2,884 establishments furnishing 1914－21 data．See pp．3， 5.
＊＊Includes 3,973 establishments furnishing $1920-21$ data．See pp．3， 5.

Chart A: Average Hourdy Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)


Chart B: Average Hourly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)

follows the trend for the composite group, showing wellsustained levels through 1920, with sharp reductions occurring at the beginning of 1921 , and continuing through the first six months of the year.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners include returns from all industries except the gas and electric light plants and iron and steel companies, already mentioned. The data covering composite weekly earnings were received from 4,084 establishments. Women wert employed in 1,827 of these plants.

Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $140 \%$ between July, 1914 and July, 1920. The index numbers for composite weekly earnings for June, July, August and September, 1920, were the same, but the actual peak was reached in July, when weekly earnings averaged $\$ 29.81$. The decline from July, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $23.2 \%$. At the latter time there was a net increase of $85 \%$ over July, 1914.

The high level of weekly earnings remained practically the same through September, 1920. In October a slight decrease occurred, followed by much larger decreases in November and December. The beginning of January witnessed a large drop amounting to $14.3 \%$ from the peak. The following months of 1921 were characterized by continued, but somewhat more gradual declines. The actual money decrease in weekly earnings from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $\$ 6.91$. The extensive decline in weekly earnings has been unquestionably more directly affected by part-time operations than by wage reductions, though both are large factors in changes in weekly earnings.

The composite weekly earnings of common labor increased 149\% between July 1914, and July, 1920, while in June, 1920, those of skilled labor and of women showed increases of $137 \%$ and $140 \%$ respectively. The percentages of decline from these peaks for each of the groups up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $25.8 \%$; skilled labor, $22.8 \%$; women, $15.9 \%$. In June, 192I, weekly earnings of common labor were $85 \%$ above 1914, those of skilled labor $83 \%$ and those of women ror\%. The weekly earnings of common labor decreased from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, $\$ 6.66$ per week; those of skilled labor, $\$ 7.68$, and those of women, $\$ 2.98$. The low point or trough
Table B
AVERAGE WEEKLY EARNINGS
Composite of All Industries Classified By Groups, With Composite of All Wage Earners

| PERIOD | WAGE ALL EARNERS |  | MALE UNSKILLed |  |  | MALE SKilled |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{gathered} \text { Com. } \\ \substack{\text { Hefict } \\ \text { Weakliver } \\ \text { Earnings }} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { Wo.. } \\ \text { Earecres }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \begin{array}{c} \text { Hearl } \\ \text { Earnings } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { No. } \\ \text { Eargers } \\ \text { Earners }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Evarang } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { Andor } \\ \text { Averee } \\ \text { Wearlys } \\ \text { Earaings } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { No. } \\ \text { Eare } \\ \text { Earnes }} \end{gathered}$ | Average Weative Earings | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Index } \\ \text { Nor } \\ \text { Averge } \\ \text { WWerky } \\ \text { Earnings } \end{array}$ |
| July.......... ${ }^{\text {1914* }}$ | \$12.40 | 100 | 142,283 | \$10.88 | 100 | 389,587 | \$14.21 | 100 | 106,661 | \$7.84 | 100 |
| June ${ }_{\text {1920** }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 29.80 <br> 2.81 | 240 | 275,059 | 26.78 27.11 | 249 | 729,185 717,045 | 33.68 <br> 33.65 | 237 237 | 181,367 177,281 | 18.77 18.50 | 240 |
| August | 29.75 | 240 | 274,353 | 27.04 | 249 | 696,072 | 33.68 | 237 | 170,845 | 18.13 | 231 |
| September | 29.77 | 240 | 264,436 | 27.04 | 249 | 680,806 | 33.63 | ${ }_{2} 237$ | 167,560 | ${ }^{18.39}$ | ${ }_{2}^{235}$ |
| October. | 29.54 | 238 | 250,220 | 26.70 | 245 | 645,316 | 33.48 | 236 | 159,096 | 18.03 | 230 |
| November | 28.48 | 230 | 229,718 | 25.77 | 237 | 612,540 | 32.24 | 227 | 148,617 | 17.17 | 219 |
| December | 27.54 | 222 | 208,629 | 24.76 | 228 | 570,776 | 31.19 | 219 | 139,023 | 16.69 | 213 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 25.56 | 206 | 184,873 | 22.74 | 209 | 523,452 | 29.00 | 204 | 129,905 | 15.72 | 201 |
| February | 24.89 | 201 | 180,981 | 21.90 | 201 | 509,508 | 28.31 27 | 199 | 132,729 134,561 | 15.86 15.88 | 202 |
| April. | 23.49 | 189 | 172,871 | ${ }_{20.68}^{21.26}$ | 190 | 486,884 | 26.50 | 188 | - | 15.55 | 198 |
| May. | 23.39 | 189 | 165,113 | 20.48 | 188 | 468,873 | 26.62 | 187 | 138,106 | 15.89 | 203 |
| June | 22.89 | 185 | 158,683 | 20.12 | 185 | 451,715 | 26.00 | 183 | 135,305 | 15.79 | 201 |

* Includes 2,966 establishments furnishing 1914-21 data. See pp. 3, 5.
** Includes 4,084 establishments furnishing 1920-21 data.

Chart C: Average Weekly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)


Chart D: Average Weekly Earnings, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)

of weekly earnings for women was reached in April, 1921, when there was a decline of $17.2 \%$ from the peak.

The general tendencies noted in composite weekly earnings apply for each of the three groups, the general high levels of the groups being maintained through 1920, with slight declines occurring toward the close of the year, except for women, and with sharp reductions continuing during the first six months of 1921. The effect of part-time operation on the earnings of the male group, particularly common labor, has been predominant.

The decline in weekly earnings has been far more extensive than in hourly earnings, due chiefly to part-time operations, plant shutdowns and part-time employment. Wage trends indicate well-sustained levels in basic industries through 1920 with sharp reductions occurring in the winter months of 19201921. The spring months of 1921 were characterized by continued declines, though they were not so severe as in the previous months. In the three classified groups, male common and skilled labor suffered far more severe reductions than women. In comparison with 1914, wage levels for women in 1921 show the greatest net increases. This may be partially accounted for by the progress which women made during the war, through legislation and otherwise, in bringing their wage levels nearer to those of men. On the whole, this wage investigation shows that wage decreases were general throughout American industry.

## Employment

Composite data on employment include returns from 4,084 establishments. Women were employed in 1,827 of these plants.

The total employment in all industries decreased $37.1 \%$ from June, 1920, generally the peak of industrial activity, up to July, 1921. The trend shows fairly well sustained conditions through October, 1920. Slight reductions occurred in November and extensive lay-offs took place in December, 1920. The unemployment situation began to be severe in the opening months of 1921 and gradually became worse through the first six months of the year. The large amount of decline in the metal working trades, building materials manufacturing,

Chart E: Employment, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921
(National Industrial Conference Board)


Table C
EMPLOYMENT
Composite and Classified Employment in 24 Industries

| PERIOD | NUMBER WAGE EARNERS EMPLOYED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Composite |  | Classified |  |  |
| One Week In | Total 1914-21 Establ. | Total 1920-21 Establ. | $\underset{\text { Unskilled }}{\text { Male }}$ | Male Skilled | Women |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 638,531 | 638,531 | 142,283 | 389,587 | 106,661 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 954,438 | 1,185,611 | 275,059 | 729,185 | 181,367 |
| July . | 954,627 | 1,170,950 | 276,621 | 717,048 | 177,281 |
| August. | 918,777 | 1,141,270 | 274,353 | 696,072 | 170,845 |
| September | 902,149 | 1,112,802 | 264,436 | 680,806 | 167,560 |
| October. | 857,754 | 1,054,632 | 250,220 | 645,316 | 159,096 |
| November | 808,558 | 990,875 | 229,718 | 612,540 | 148,617 |
| December. | 750,318 | 918,428 | 208,629 | 570,776 | 139,023 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 687,350 | 838,230 | 184,873 | 523,452 | 129,905 |
| February | 671,987 | 823,218 | 180,981 | 509,508 | 132,729 |
| March | 658,709 | 814,242 | 179,650 | 500,031 | 134,561 |
| April. | 637,998 | 795,457 | 172,871 | 486,884 | 135,702 |
| May. | 619,292 | 772,092 | 165,113 | 468,873 | 138,106 |
| June. | 598,226 | 745,703 | 158,683 | 451,715 | 135,305 |

2,966 establishments furnished 1914-21 data.
4,084 establishments furnished 1920-21 data.
and rubber manufacturing, overbalanced the generally sustained levels in the textile industries.

Employment of common labor declined $42.3 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. and was the most severely affected group during the depression period. Skilled labor declined $38.1 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, while women were the least affected, with a decline of $25.4 \%$ during the same period. The trough period of employment for women was reached in January, 1921, when there was a decline of $28.4 \%$ from the peak. Between January and June, 1921, there was an increased employment of women amounting to approximately $4 \%$. This may be accounted for by the return of women wage earners employed on piece-work in the textile industries and in boot and shoe manufacturing.

In the 2,966 establishments which furnished complete data from July, 1914 up to July, 1921, total employment increased $49.5 \%$ between July, 1914 and June, 1920. The percentage of decline in these establishments from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $37.3 \%$. In other words, in June, 1921, the industrial depression had forced employment in the same establishments $6.3 \%$ below the level of July, 1914.

## Hours

No composite data are presented in regard to the average hours of the nominal week. Composite nominal hours in 26 industries of divergent character would portray little that is valuable for comparison.

The actual week of plant operation has been compiled for all industries except the three industries whose normal method of production is on a two or three shift basis. This group includes the chemical industry, iron and steel manufacturing and paper and wood pulp mills. The average hours of plant operation were compiled from 3,825 establishments. Women were employed in 1,728 of these plants.

The composite actual week of plant operation in all industries shows a reduction from 53.7 hours in July, 1914 to 50 hours in June, 1920. Plant activity continued on a well-sustained level through September, 1920. In October a slight decline occurred, followed by large reductions at the close of the year. The beginning of 1921 was characterized by severe depression in plant activity, the level being below 451/2 hours, or a loss of over 41/2 hours from June, 1920. The trough period of 44.6 hours was reached in April, 1921. May witnessed a strong revival to 45.9 hours, which was probably due to increased activity in metal trades and textiles. A decline occurred again in June, 1921.

The trend of plant hours clearly reflects the condition of American manufacturing industries from 1914 to the middle of 1921 . There was a general reduction of the length of working hours in all industries between 1914 and 1920, while the plant hours were steadily reduced during the depression period. The general trend of plant operation has been closely followed by the trend in the hours of the actual week per wage earner.

The composite average actual week per wage earner was compiled from all industries except public utilities, boot and shoe manufacturing and 16 iron and steel plants, which did not

Table D
AVERAGE HOURS OF WORK
Classified and Composite Hours of the Average Week Per Wage
Earner, and Composite Plant Hours

| PERIOD | ACTUAL WEEK PER WAGE EARNER |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLANT } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classifiel |  |  | Composite |  |
| One Week In | $\underset{\text { Unskilled }}{\text { Maie }}$ | Male Skilled | Women |  |  |
| July . . . . . | 53.0 | 50.6 | 49.8 | 51.1 | 53.7 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June . . . . . } \end{array}$ | 50.4 | 49.1 | 45.0 | 48.9 | 50.0 |
| July . | 50.6 | 49.0 | 44.9 | 48.8 | 50.0 |
| August. | 50.2 | 49.0 | 44.3 | 48.7 | 49.8 |
| September | 49.9 | 48.4 | 44.5 | 48.2 | 49.4 |
| October. | 49.7 | 48.5 | 43.8 | 48.1 | 48.9 |
| November. | 48.5 | 47.2 | 41.9 | 46.8 | 47.7 |
| December. | 47.2 | 46.2 | 41.1 | 45.7 | 46.5 |
| $1921$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 45.4 44.8 | 44.3 44.0 | 41.3 42.2 | 44.1 | 45.4 45.4 |
| March. | 45.2 | 43.8 | 42.5 | 44.0 | 45.3 |
| April. | 44.7 | 43.5 | 42.4 | 43.6 | 44.6 |
| May. | 45.0 | 43.8 | 43.5 | 44.0 | 45.9 |
| June. | 45.0 | 43.6 | 43.5 | 43.9 | 45.5 |

2,884 establishments furnished 1914-21 data on Actual Week per Wage Earner 3,973 establishments furnished 1920-21 data on Actual Week per Wage Earner. 2,798 establishments furnished 1914-21 data on Plant Hours. 3,825 establishments furnished 1920-21 data on Plant Hours.
contribute hourly data. The total compilation of the average week per wage earner included 3,973 establishments. Women were employed in 1,717 of these plants.

The average actual week per wage earner in all industries showed a decline from 51.1 hours in July, 1914 to 48.9 hours in June, 1920. The latter level was well sustained through October, when the decrease in plant activity began to affect working hours, there being a decrease in November to 46.8 hours. In December there was a further decline to 45.7 hours. The beginning of 1921 marked a still further reduction to 44.1 hours and the depression period continued to the trough in April of 43.6 hours. A revival occurred in May, followed again by a slight depression in June. In June, 1921, the average wage earner was working exactly

Chart F: Average Hours of Work, All Industries, July, 1914 Up то July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)

five hours less than in the preceding June, this constituting a loss of approximately $10.2 \%$. This great decline in working hours may be accounted for directly by the industrial depression, and naturally has caused an extensive reduction in weekly earnings.

In the three groups, the average week per unskilled wage earner in 1914 was 53 hours. In June, 1920 they had decreased to 50.4 hours. In June, 1921 the unskilled wage earner was working only 45 hours per week. In July, 1914 the average skilled wage earner was working 50.6 hours. In June, 1920 the hours had declined to 49.1, while in June, 1921 the hours had fallen to 43.6 . The loss of 5.5 hours suffered by the skilled wage earner between June, 1920 and June, 1921 shows that the skilled class has been even more severely affected by the industrial depression than male common labor or women. In July, 1914 the average woman wage earner was working 49.8 hours. In June, 1920 the hours had decreased to 45 per week, while in June, 1921 they were 43.5 hours. The depression period for women wage earners occurred in December, 1920 , when there was a drop to 41.1 hours. The hours of women, therefore, have increased since the beginning of 1921 and they are the only group to show a sustained recovery from a trough period. Women have been less affected by decline in working hours than men.

## B. Comparison of Data Between Industries

## Wages

The comparison of wages between industries is presented in bar diagrams showing the percentage increases in hourly and weekly earnings in June, 1921, over July, 1914. In addition, the percentage of decline from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, is also designated.
(a) Hourly earnings: The greatest net increase in hourly earnings in June, 1921 over July, 1914, occurred in the textile group. In June, 1921 the wool industry showed an increase of $153 \%$ over July, 1914, while northern cotton mills showed a percentage increase of $142 \%$ and southern cotton mills of $120 \%$. Hourly earnings in silk increased $128 \%$ and in hosiery manufacturing $121 \%$.

In the metal trades the increases range from $112 \%$ in iron and
steel to $100 \%$ in automobiles. The whole metal industry shows a level of approximately $105 \%$ increase over 1914. It can be seen readily that this level is below that of the textile industries.

Rubber and meat packing show large increases of $136 \%$ and $132 \%$ respectively. In paper manufacturing the net levels were also high, amounting to $129 \%$ in paper and wood pulp and $142 \%$ in paper products.

Boots and shoes, newspaper printing, brick and tile, paint and varnish, and gas and electric light plants show comparatively small increases in hourly earnings.
(b) Decline in hourly earnings: Generally speaking, the largest amount of decline between June, 1920 and June, Chart G: Percentage Increases in Average Hourly Earnings Up to July, 1921, Over July, 1914, and Percentages of Change From June, 1920, Up to July, 1921, by Industries.


1921 occurred in the industries which showed the largest increases between July, 1914 and June, 1920. This is particularly true of the textile group, where reduction in hourly earnings has ranged from $8.1 \%$ in hosiery factories to $28.1 \%$ in southern cotton mills. Similarly, in the metal trades a large amount of expansion has been followed by a large amount of contraction. The declines range from $8.2 \%$ in electrical apparatus manufacturing to $25.6 \%$ in iron and steel plants. Foundries and machine shops, the largest single basic group in the entire study, show a decrease of $11 \%$. Extensive decreases in hourly earnings have been noted also in meat packing, leather, brick and tile manufacturing, while those in boots and shoes, furniture, paper products, and gas and electric light plants, were below the general level of reductions.
(c) Weekly earnings: The increases in average weekly earnings in June, 1921 over July, 1914 ranged from $128 \%$ in wool to $49 \%$ in fertilizer manufacturing. As in the case of hourly earnings, the textile group, as a whole, show the greatest increases. Among other industries may be mentioned furniture, $105 \%$; leather, $99 \%$; boots and shoes, $98 \%$; gas, $98 \%$; book and job printing, $97 \%$; and meat packing, $97 \%$.

Part-time operations forced many industries toward low levels of weekly earnings, although the average hourly earnings were sustained at high levels.
(d) Decline in weekly earnings: As in the case of hourly earnings, the largest amount of decrease in weekly earnings took place in those industries where there were the largest increases during war-time production. There was a net increase of $107 \%$ in northern cotton mills in June, 1921, while the decline from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $28.4 \%$. Large decreases are noted in the metal trades, such as agricultural implement manufacturing, $37 \%$, foundries, $26 \%$, and automobiles, $24.2 \%$. Large decreases were noted also in northern and southern cotton mills and in fertilizer, brick and tile, and paper manufacturing. At the bottom of the list appear boot and shoe manufacturing and gas and electric light companies.

## Employment

Comparison of employment in various industries from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, shows that unemployment has been

Chart H: Percentage Increases in Average Weekly Earnings Up to July, 1921, Over July, 1914, and Percentages of Change From June, 1920 Up to July, 1921, by Industries.

more general in the metal trades than in any other group. The percentages of decline in this group range from $65.8 \%$ in agricultural implement manufacturing to $35.7 \%$ in electrical apparatus plants.

Employment in the rubber industry dropped $54 \%$, while in chemicals the decline was $45 \%$. The number employed in paper and wood pulp mills declined $48.3 \%$, chiefly due to labor conditions in May and June, 1921.

The textile industry was less affected by the drop in employment than any other group. Declines ranged from $14.2 \%$ in northern cotton mills to $5.3 \%$ in southern cotton mills, while increases were noted in silk and wool of $3 \%$ and $4.3 \%$
respectively. Newspaper printing also showed an increase of $2.7 \%$, while gas and electric plants were little affected by general economic conditions. The composite decline of the entire metal group amounted to $46 \%$, while that of the textile group amounted to only $5.8 \%$.


## G. Comparison of Data Between Classified Groups in all Industries

Tables E and F are a recapitulation of material included in the separate industrial sections and are valuable for comparing actual wages paid for the same type of labor in different industries.
Changes in Actual Hourly Earnings Classified by Groups and Industries

| INDUSTRY | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July, 1914 | Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1921 \end{aligned}$ | Decline from Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1914 \end{aligned}$ | Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1921 \end{aligned}$ | Decline from Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July, } \\ & 1914 \end{aligned}$ | Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June, } \\ & 1921 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deciine } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Peak } \end{aligned}$ |
| Metal Trades ${ }^{\text {1. }}$ Foundry and Machine Shop Products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Foundry and Machine Shop Products. | \$. 217 | \$.553 | 8.467 | - $15.6 \%$ | \$. 303 | \$.707 | \$. 612 | -13.4\% | $\$ .145$ | 8.389 | \$. 331 | $-14.9 \%$ |
| III. Automobile Manufacturing....... | .226 .217 | . 588 | . 487 | - $16.8 \%$ | + 312 .280 | .772 .745 | .626 .675 | -18.9\% | . 252 | 8. .430 488 | 8. .353 .382 | -17.9\% |
| 1V. Electrical Apparatus Manulacturing | . 217 | . 5884 | . 489 | - $21.4 \%$ | . 280 | .745 .698 | . 575 | - $22.8 \%$ | . 166 | .488 410 | . 382 | - $21.7 \%$ |
| V. Iron and Stecl Manufacturing.... | . 185 | . 527 | . 395 | -25.0\% | . 266 | . 815 | . 572 | - 21.9 \% | . 169 | . 410 | . 349 | -14.9\% |
| Textiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V1. Cotton Manufacturing................ <br> (a) Cotton Manufacturing (North) | . 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIf (b) Cotton Manufacturing (South) | . 100 | . 332 | .223 | - 32.2 \% | . 142 | . 6186 | .483 .323 | -30.9\% | . 151 | .476 .340 | . 360 | - $24.4 \%$ |
| VII. Wool Manufacturing. | . 187 | . 553 | . 445 | $-19.5 \%$ | . 211 | . 657 | . 545 | - $17.0 \%$ | . 159 | . 494 | . 401 | - $-18.8 \%$ |
| VIII. Silk Manufacturing. | . 201 | . 523 | . 448 | -14.3\% | . 243 | . 637 | . 543 | -14.8\% | . 153 | . 426 | . 363 | -14.8\% |
| 1X. Hosiery and Knit Goods | . 162 | . 488 | . 396 | $-18.9 \%$ | . 241 | . 618 | . 525 | $-15.0 \%$ | . 159 | . 397 | . 350 | -11.8\% |
| X. Rubber | . 217 | . 587 | . 501 | $-14.7 \%$ | . 292 | . 790 | . 673 | -14.8\% | . 185 | . 447 | . 384 | -14.1\% |
| Leather and Boots and Shoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X1. Leather Tanning...... | . 201 | . 521 | . 430 | $-17.5 \%$ | . 237 | . 633 | . 516 | -18.5\% | . 142 | . 426 | . 309 |  |
| XII. Boot and Shoe Manufacturing | . 182 | . 443 | . 355 | -19.9\% | . 306 | . 644 | . 603 | -6.4\% | . 191 | . 444 | . 389 | $-12.4 \%$ |
| X1II. Meot Packing | . 182 | . 545 | . 452 | $-17.1 \%$ | . 259 | . 664 | . 563 | $-15.2 \%$ | . 128 | . 434 | . 351 | -19.1\% |
| Chemicals and Paints XIV. Chemicals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Chemical Manufacturing | . 217 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) Fertilizer M anufacturing | . 192 | . 448 | . 291 | - $\mathbf{3 5 . 8 \%}$ | . 223 | . 685 | . 569 | - ${ }^{13.7 \%}$ | . 133 | . 340 | . 296 | $-12.9 \%$ |
| XV. Paint and Varnish Manufacturin | . 252 | . 551 | . 502 | -8.9\% | . 351 | . 710 | . 635 | -10.6\% | $\cdots 779$ | . 367 | . 358 | - $2.5 \%$ |
| Paper and Printing <br> XVI. Paper Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Paper and Wood Pulp Manufacturing | . 207 | . 558 | . 462 | -17.2\% | . 260 | . 648 | . 567 | -12.5\% | . 136 | . 398 |  |  |
| XVII. Printing and Publishing (Book and Job) | . 190 | . 550 | . 468 | -14.9\% | . 258 | . 647 | . 611 | - $5.6 \%$ | . 149 | . 359 | . 353 | -1.7\% |
| XVIII. Printing and Publishing (Book and Job) | . 195 | . 465 | . 441 | -5.2\% | . 395 | . 802 | . 800 | -0.2\% | . 157 | . 371 | . 366 | - $1.3 \%$ |
| XVIII. Printing and Publishing (Newspaper) | . 293 | . 569 | . 514 | -9.7\% | . 460 | . 818 | . 818 |  | . 195 | . 432 | . 420 | - $2.8 \%$ |
| Building Materials and Furniture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X1X. Lumber and Millwork. | . 191 | . 451 | . 377 | -16.4\% | . 299 | . 662 | . 592 | -10.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 168 | . 473 | . 403 | -14.8\% | . 247 | . 613 | . 538 | - $12.2 \%$ | . 148 | . 356 | . 310 | $-12.9 \%$ |
| XXI. Brick and Tile Manufacturing | . 220 | . 544 | . 410 | -24.6\% | . 296 | . 665 | . 540 | -18.8\% |  |  |  |  |
| XXII. Public Distribution and ${ }^{\text {U }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XXIII. Manufacture and Distribution of Gas..... | . 2308 | .505 .541 | . 464 | 二11.8\% | . 2888 | .588 .613 | .564 .588 | -4.1\% |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

Table F
Changes in Actual Weekly Earnings Classified by Groups and Industries


## D. Comparison of Composite Hourly and Weekly Earnings with the Cost of Living

This report gives the hourly and weekly money wages of the three groups of wage earners for various industries. Such data, however, do not fully reflect the economic status of the wage earner in the periods covered. To show this it is necessary to study money wages in relation to the cost of living, in order to ascertain the purchasing power of the wages received, or, in other words, to determine the real wages. Thus, whereas a weekly wage of $\$ 10$ will buy one living at a time when the wage earner's cost of living is $\$ 10$ per week, an increased weekly wage of $\$ 15$ at a later time will buy $11 / 2$ livings if the cost of living remains stationary, or will buy one living with an increase of the cost of living to $\$ 15$ per week, or will buy only three-quarters of a living if the weekly cost of living should have risen to $\$ 20$ per week.

In the present report, composite hourly and weekly earnings in the various industries are shown, together with changes in the cost of living for the country as a whole, as ascertained by the National Industrial Conference Board; ${ }^{1}$ and by combining the two, changes in real wages during the periods studied are brought out. While comparisons of wage changes in specific industries with changes in the cost of living for the country as a whole serve in a general way to reflect the real wages in these separate industries, they do not necessarily portray the actual situation in large industrial centers or areas. Since most industries are highly localized, their real wages can be determined accurately only on the basis of localized cost of living studies.

Starting in July, 1914 with index number 100 for cost of living, for money wages and for real wages, in July, 1920, when the cost of living had risen to an index figure of 204.5, hourly earnings had increased to 252 and weekly earnings to 240. Thus, in July, 1920 the real wage based on hourly earnings was 123.2 , while the real wage based on weekly earnings was 117.4.

While the peak of the cost of living was reached in July, 1920, the peak of hourly earnings did not occur till September. The decline in the cost of living, subsequent to July, 1920, therefore continued to increase the real wage based on hourly ${ }^{1}$ See Research Reports Nos. 9, 14, 17, 19, 25, 28, 30, 33, 36, $39,44$.
earnings, up to March, 1921, when the real wage curve stood at 133.4. In April and May, 1921 the real wage based on hourly earnings showed a slight decline but rose in June to 132.2. In other words, in the middle of 1921 the real hourly earnings were $32.2 \%$ higher than in July, 1914.

The peak of real weekly earnings, however, occurred in October, 1920, when the index stood at 120.6. During the next two months, weekly earnings declined faster than the cost of living, so that the real wage curve based on weekly earnings stood in December at 116.8, and in January at 113.7. Real weekly earnings rose during February and March, when the cost of living declined less than did weekly earnings. The trough period occurred in April, 1921, when real weekly earnings stood at 112.8. A recovery took place in May and June, when real weekly earnings rose to 114.1 and 114.3. In other words, at the end of June, 1921, the real weekly earnings were 14.3\% higher than in July, 1914.

The investigation conclusively shows that the reduced hourly earnings in June, 1921 left the wage earner $32.2 \%$ better off in real hourly wages, and $14.3 \%$ better off in real weekly earnings than in July, 1914. In the latter case, part-time employment, in addition to wage reduction, was responsible for the difference between real hourly and real weekly earnings.

## E. General Conclusions

The general results of the entire study may be summed up as follows:
(1) Both hourly and weekly earnings in all branches of American industry show large increases from July, 1914 to June, 1920.
(2) The trends of hourly and weekly earnings show well sustained levels through 1920, with sharp declines occurring at the beginning of 1921 and continuing through the first six months of the year.
(3) The decline in weekly earnings was influenced more by part-time employment than by reduction in hourly earnings.
(4) The extensive decline in wages has affected women less than men.
(5) While there was a tremendous drop in employment from the general peak of productive activity in 1920, through

Chart K: Average Hourly and Weekly Money Wages, Cost of Living and "Real" Hourly and Weekly Wages, All Industries, July, 1914 Up to July, 1921.
(National Industrial Conference Board)

the middle of 1921, employment in identical establishments in June, 1921 had shrunk only slightly below that of the 1914 level.
(6) There was a general reduction in the length of working hours between 1914 and 1920, due to economic and social influences. The decline between 1920 and the middle of 1921, however, may be traced directly to the industrial depression. The average loss of plant activity for all industries during the latter period amounted to $41 / 2$ hours, or a drop of $9 \%$ from the hours of operation in ${ }_{3}$ June, 1920.
(7) The average actual week per wage earner in all industrics in June, 1921 was $10 \%$ or five hours less than that in June, 1920. The trend of working hours has closely followed that of plant activity.
(8) The real hourly wage of the average wage earner was $32.2 \%$ higher and the real weekly wage $14.3 \%$ higher in June, 1921 than in July, 1914. Therefore, the economic status of the American worker, as measured by real wages, or the purchasing power of his money earnings, was considerably higher in the middle of 1921 than before the war, despite extensive wage reductions and curtailments of operation due to industrial depression.

## FOUNDRY AND MACHINE-SHOP PRODUCTS ${ }^{1}$

In the metal working industries the wage investigation has been separated into four divisions: foundry and machine-shop products, agricultural implement manufacturing, automobile manufacturing, and electrical apparatus manufacturing. The foundry and machine-shop division comprises all establishments not included under other classifications in the metal working group. Foundry and machine-shop processes are necessarily employed in connection with the manufacture of a great variety of products. While there are many establishments making well-defined products that might be placed in a seprate group, there are so many other foundries and machine shops making miscellaneous products, that separation into these smaller classifications has not been made. On the whole, the general classification of foundry and machine-shop products, exclusive of the three other industries designated above, covers the type of establishments studied. Over $80 \%$ of the wage earners classified in this group by the 1919 Census of Manufactures are included in this investigation. Schedules were received from 1,552 plants employing 438,594 wage earners at the high point in August, 1920. Women were employed in 435 plants. The data may therefore be considered as exceedingly representative in the coverage of wage earners, and in the distribution and size of establishments involved. The returns are chiefly from the states where the largest amount of foundry and machine-shop products are made. The geographical distribution within 43 states is as follows:

| Alabama | 8 | Georgia | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska. | 1 | Illinois. | 28 |
| Arizona. | 1 | Indiana. | 58 |
| Arkansas. | 1 | Iowa. | 22 |
| California | 47 | Kansas | 7 |
| Colorado. | 5 | Kentucky | 11 |
| Connecticut | 94 | Louisiana | 3 |
| Delaware. | 6 | Maine |  |


| Maryland. | 8 | Oklahoma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts | 164 | Oregon | 5 |
| Michigan. | 75 | Pennsylvania | 207 |
| Minnesota | 18 | Rhode Island. | 19 |
| Missouri. | 48 | South Carolina | 1 |
| Montana. | 1 | Tennessee | 8 |
| Nebraska | 4 | Texas. | 10 |
| Nevada. | 1 | Vermont. | 6 |
| New Hampshire. | 8 | Virginia. | 13 |
| New Jersey.. | 58 | Washington | 15 |
| New Mexico. | 1 | West Virginia | 10 |
| New York. | . 184 | Wisconsin. | 61 |
| North Carolina |  | Wyoming |  |
| Ohio. | . 218 |  |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $137 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920. The decline from the latter month up to July, 1921 was $13.7 \%$. The period has shown a gradual descent, with severer reductions occurring in the spring of 1921. In June, 1921, composite hourly earnings were $105 \%$ above July, 1914.

In September, 1920 the hourly earnings for male labor showed an increase over 1914 of $155 \%$ for common labor and $133 \%$ for skilled labor. The peak for women was reached in October and November, when the increase was $168 \%$. The decline for each of these three groups from the peak months up to July, 1921 was as follows: $15.6 \%$ for common labor, $13.4 \%$ for skilled labor and $14.9 \%$ for women. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase above July, 1914 for these three groups were respectively $115 \%, 102 \%$ and $128 \%$. Relatively, the largest increase was found among the female group, while the largest amount of decrease was found among the male unskilled wage earners.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $135 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920 and declined $26.5 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921. The decline shows a gradual descent to the beginning of 1921, and rather severe reductions during the first six months of the year. The decline in weekly earnings was due rather to the decrease in hours worked than to severe reductions in hourly rates.

The peak in weekly earnings for common labor was reached in August, 1920, when there was shown an increase of $150 \%$


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wages } \\ & \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914-21 } \\ & \text { Establ. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Aall } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Nominal wee | Av. Earngs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wary. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{array}$ | 226,214 | 226,214 | 49.4 | 53.4 | 54.3 | \$.274 | \$13.5́ | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 351,71' | 434,15t | 50.0 | 51.6 | 51.6 | . 630 | 31.5\% | 230 | 233 |
| July. | 354,432 | 437,879 | 50.0 | 51.7 | 51.5 | . 631 | 31.5 | 230 | 233 |
| Aug. | 355,529 | 438,594 | 49.9 | 51.7 | 51.5 | . 634 | 31.65 | 231 | 234 |
| Sept. | 355,601 | 436,860 | 48.6 | 50.8 | 51.5 | . 650 | 31.6 \% | 237 | 234 |
| Oct. | 342,00 | 421,232 | 49.3 | 50.6 | 51.5 | . 644 | 31.72 | 235 | 235 |
| Nov | 321,678 | 396,635 | 48.0 | 49.6 | 51.4 | . 637 | 30.60 | 232 | 226 |
| Dec | 298,65¢ | 369,125 | 47.2 | 48.1 | 51.3 | . 641 | 30.25 | 234 | 224 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.. | 262,624 | 323,156 | 44.5 | 45.8 | 51.2 | . 619 | 27.52 | 226 | 204 |
| Fe | 248,281 | 306,375 | 43.2 | 44.3 | 51.2 | . 614 | 26.50 | 224 | 196 |
| Mar | 234,361 | 291,725 | 42.4 | 43.7 | 51.2 | . 593 | 25.13 | 216 | 186 |
| April | 218,265 | 272,394 | 41.5 | 42.7 | 51.2 | . 579 | 24.04 | 211 | 178 |
| May. | 204,14i | 256,232 | 42.1 | 43.2 | 51.2 | . 574 | 24.16 | 210 | 179 |
| June. | 195,345 | 243,302 | 41.6 | 42.7 | 51.2 | . 561 | 23.32 | 205 | 172 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5 .
over 1914; for skilled labor, in October, 1920, with an increase of $134 \%$, and for women, in September, when there was an increase of $155 \%$. The declines for these three groups from their respective. peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: $28.5 \%$ for common labor, $26.8 \%$ for skilled labor and $27.4 \%$ for women. In June, 1921 common labor was $79 \%$ over 1914, skilled labor, $72 \%$, and women, $85 \%$. The decline for all groups has been severe during the months of 1921, particularly among the skilled wage-earning class.

The decline in hourly earnings reflects the general trend toward readjustment in the basic industries. The reduction in weekly earnings has been far more severe, due to part-time operations. It would appear that while the decline in hourly wages has been below the average, the amount of part-time operations has seriously affected the weekly income of the wage earner. The trend of wages in this group may be con-

sidered somewhat as a barometer for fluctuations in the entire metal group.

## Employment

Total employment decreased $44.5 \%$ from August, 1920 up to July, 1921. The reduction in the number of wage earners has been severe throughout the entire period from the peak to the middle of 1921. The most severe declines, however, occurred in the early months of 1921, though no particular time can be pointed out as the period of greatest depression. The employment situation in this industry reflects the general industrial condition and follows the course of the severe stagnation that has occurred since the fall of 1920.

The high point of employment for common labor was reached in August, for skilled labor in September, and for women in July. The decreases in numbers employed for these three groups, from the various peaks in 1920, were as follows: common labor, $48.6 \%$; skilled labor, $42.7 \%$; women, $50.7 \%$. Indications point to the taking over by skilled labor of the work formerly done by unskilled labor, and to a general return of women from industrial to domestic and other occupations.

The total number of employees in identical establishments increased $55 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920. About $14 \%$
less wage earners were employed in identical establishments in June, 1921 than in July, 1914.

## Hours

The length of the nominal week in July, 1914 was 54.3 hours. Following the general reduction in length of working hours during the war period, the week was reduced to 51.6 hours in June, ${ }^{*} 1920$. This standard of approximately $511 / 2$ hours has been maintained throughout 1920-1921.

The actual week of plant operation shows a reduction from 53.4 hours in July, 1914 to 51.6 hours in June, 1920. This standard was practically maintained until the fall, when declines began to occur, which continued throughout the entire period of the investigation, the trough of 42.7 hours being reached in April and June, 1921. The slump in plant activity is ascribable directly to the general economic depression in the metal working trades.

The average actual week per wage earner increased from 49.4 hours in July, 1914 to 50 hours in June, 1920. This level was generally maintained until November, when the decline in plant activity began to take effect. The declines continued to the trough of 41.5 hours in April. A slight revival occurred in May, followed again by a slump in June. In the latter period there was a loss of almost 10 hours of potential working time from the standard or nominal week.

An analysis of the accompanying charts indicates that while the numbers of employed continue to decrease severely, those that were kept on the payrolls were working approximately the average hours of plant operation. An attempt, therefore, would seem to have been made by management to keep the weekly earnings of the employed wage earner at a reasonable level.

## Conclusion

The foundry and machine-shop group, the largest and most important covered in this investigation, may be taken as a barometer of general wage conditions, and careful comparison should be made with the other industrial groups in this report. Specifically, the investigation shows, in this industry:

1. A decline in hourly earnings below general industrial deflation. (13.7\%.)
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { In }}{\text { Oneek }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \substack{\text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. }} \end{gathered}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hry. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Av.Earngs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Avly. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.... } \end{array}$ | 59,279 | 51.4 | \$. 217 | \$11.14 | 100 | 100 | 158,382 | 48.7 | \$.303 | \$14.76 | 100 | 100 | 8,553 | 48.9 | \$. 145 | \$ 7.11 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June.... } \end{array}$ | 115,900 | 50.9 | . 545 | 27.75 | 251 | 249 | 292,472 | 49.9 | 685 | 34.22 | 226 | 223 | 25,784 | 47.2 | . 378 | 17.81 | 261 | 250 |
| July. | 118,085 | 50.9 | . 543 | 27.67 | 250 | 248 | 293,798 | 49.8 | . 688 | 34.30 | 227 | 232 | 25,996 | 47.3 | . 380 | 17.97 | 262 | 253 |
| Aug. | 118,474 | 50.7 | . 549 | 27.85 | 253 | 250 | 294,567 | 49.9 | . 689 | 34.36 | 227 | 233 | 25,553 | 46.9 | . 380 | 17.85 | 262 | 251 |
| Sept. | 116,018 | 50.3 | . 553 | 27.80 | 255 | 250 | 296,223 | 48.5 | . 707 | 34.25 | 233 | 232 | 24,619 | 46.9 | . 386 | 18.11 | 266 | 255 |
| Oct. | 110,912 | 50.0 | . 544 | 27.16 | 251 | 244 | 287,451 | 49.3 | . 702 | 34.57 | 232 | 234 | 22,869 | 46.3 | . 389 | 18.00 | 268 | 253 |
| Nov | 102,008 | 48.8 | . 535 | 26.08 | 247 | 234 | 274,016 | 47.9 | 694 | 33.24 | 229 | 225 | 20,611 | 45.1 | . 388 | 17.47 | 268 | 246 |
| Dec. | 92,631 | 47.6 | . 533 | 25.40 | 246 | 228 | 257,811 | 47.2 | . 698 | 32.96 | 230 | 223 | 18,683 | 44.0 | . 386 | 16.96 | 266 | 238 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 79,158 | 44.4 | . 511 | 22.66 | 235 | 203 | 228,108 | 44.6 | 673 | 30.03 | 222 | 203 | 15,890 | 42.3 | . 372 | 15.70 | 257 | 221 |
|  | 75,220 | 43.4 | . 502 | 21.78 | 231 | 196 | 216,080 | 43.3 | 669 | 28.96 | 221 | 196 | 15,075 | 40.8 | . 360 | 14.70 | 248 | 207 |
| March | 71,532 | 42.9 | . 493 | 21.15 | 227 | 190 | 205,398 | 42.3 | 646 | 27.29 | 213 | 185 | 14,795 | 41.2 | . 350 | 14.42 | 241 | 203 |
| April | 67,382 | 42.3 | 487 | 20.64 | 224 | 185 | 190,634 | 41.3 | 629 | 26.02 | 208 | 176 | 14,378 | 40.1 | . 343 | 13.75 | 237 | 193 |
| May | 64,585 | 42.6 | . 479 | 20.42 | 221 | 183 | 178,236 | 42.0 | 626 | 26.29 | 207 | 178 | 13,411 | 40.9 | . 339 | 13.84 | 234 | 195 |
| June | 60,866 | 42.6 | . 467 | 19.90 | 215 | 179 | 169,624 | 41.4 | . 612 | 25.32 | 202 | 172 | 12,812 | 39.7 | . 331 | 13.14 | 228 | 185 |

[^3]2. A large reduction in weekly earnings due to part-time operations. $\quad(26.5 \%$.)
3. A tremendous decline in total employment between the summer of 1920 and the spring of 1921 . ( $44.5 \%$.)
4. A loss of about $20 \%$ in working time or a reduction of 10 hours from the nominal standard $511 / 2$ hour week.
5. The condition of the industry affected all three groupscommon and skilled male labor, and women. Unskilled and skilled wage earners appeared to be more or less equally affected.
6. The large number of female wage earners leaving the industry have evidently returned to domestic occupations.
7. The trough period of depression occurred at the clo of the period covered by this investigation, (June, 1921).

## II

## AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in the automobile industry includes establishments manufacturing and assembling complete automobiles, both passenger cars and motor trucks, and also plants producing automotive parts. The latter group, however, covers plants engaged only in manufacturing parts directly used by the automobile industry, and does not include jobbers, dealers or rubber tire manufacturers. Returns were received from 101 plants, employing 107,301 wage earners at the high point in June, 1920. Women were employed in 62 of these plants. Approximately $27 \%$ of the wage earners listed by the 1919 Census of Manufactures as then employed in this industry are covered by this report. The geographical distribution in 13 states is as follows:

| State | Trucks | Passenger | Automotive | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Connecticut. |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Illinois. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Indiana. |  | 8 | 7 | 15 |
| Massachusetts. |  | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Michigan. | 3 | 8 | 11 | 22 |
| Missouri. |  | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Nebraska. |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |
| New York. | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 |
| Ohio...... |  | 6 | 6 | 14 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Wisconsin. |  | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Grand Total. | 13 | 37 | 51 | 101 |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $141 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $16.9 \%$. In June, 1921 the increase was $100 \%$
over July, 1914. The period between June, 1920 and the peak in September was characterized by a very slight increase. The decline during the closing months of 1920 became severe, and continued, though more gradually, up to July, 1921.
At their peak in October, 1920 the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $159 \%$ over July, 1914. In June, 1920, the hourly earnings of skilled labor had increased $147 \%$ over 1914. The high point for women was reached in September, 1920, when there was shown an increase of $71 \%$ over 1914. The decreases tor the three groups from the peak months up to July, 1921, were $16.8 \%$ for common labor, $18.9 \%$ for skilled labor and $17.9 \%$ for women. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase over July, 1914 tor these three groups were $115 \%, 101 \%$ and $40 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $119 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, and declined $26 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, showing a net increase of only $62 \%$ at the latter period. There was a slight increase from June to July, 1920, followed by sharp declines during the last six months of 1920. A trough was reached in January, tollowed by a revival through April, and another sharp slump in May and June, 1921.

The high point of weekly earnings tor male labor was reached in July, 1920, when common labor was $131 \%$ and skilled labor $122 \%$ above 1914. The high point in weekly earnings tor women was reached in September, when there was shown an increase of $61 \%$ over 1914. The decreases tor these three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $27.8 \%$; skilled labor, $25.9 \%$; women, $23.7 \%$. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase over 1914 for these three groups were respectively $67 \%, 65 \%$ and $23 \%$.

## Employment

Total employment in the automobile industry decreased $52.3 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. The decline from June, 1920 to the severe trough at the beginning of 1921 was $65.4 \%$. The depression period was followed by a marked revival, which reached its peak in May, followed by a considerable slump in June. The increase between the trough in January and June amounted to $38 \%$. The de-

(National Industrial Conference Board)

Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages <br> (All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | 1914-21 Estab | TotalAll Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nom- <br> Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July* } \end{gathered}$ | 24,397 | 24,307 | 53.6 | 54.3 | 54.7 | $\$ .293$ | \$15.71 | 100 | 100 |
| $1920$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 239 | 213 |
| June | 80,291 80,271 | 107,301 106,657 | 47.8 | 40.3 | 50.8 50.8 | 703 | 33.50 34.34 | 240 | 219 |
| Aug. | 70,890 | 95,982 | 47.8 | 49.1 | 50.8 | . 704 | 33.68 | 240 | 214 |
| Sept. | 63,006 | 81,869 | 47.5 | 48.7 | 50.8 | 705 | 33.50 | 241 | 213 |
| Oct. | 48,910 | 59,725 | 45.1 | 47.0 | 50.9 | . 693 | 31.24 | 237 | 199 |
| Nov | 38,833 | 48,370 | 43.9 | 46.6 | 51.0 | . 671 | 29.42 | 229 | 187 |
| Dec. | 31,572 | 40,713 | 42.9 | 45.8 | 51.0 | . 649 | 27.83 | 222 | 177 |
| $1921$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |
| Feb. | 28,896 31,340 | 37,076 39,984 | 39.1 42.2 | 40.0 42.3 | 51.0 51.0 | . 632 | 24.72 | 216 | 159 |
| March | 35,489 | 46,181 | 46.6 | 45.4 | 51.0 | . 623 | 29.05 | 213 | 185 |
| April. | 40,004 | 52,870 | 46.8 | 45.0 | 51.0 | . 616 | 28.84 | 210 | 184 |
| May... | 39,409 | 53,666 | 43.5 | 45.9 | 51.1 | 611 | 26.55 | 209 | 169 |
| June... | 37,268 | 51,178 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 51.1 | . 586 | 25.40 | 200 | 162 |

*1914-21 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
sreases in the groups from the high point of employment up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $55.2 \%$; skilled labor, $51.2 \%$; women, $58.8 \%$.

The increase in employment between July, 1914 and June, 1920, in identical establishments, was $229 \%$. There was a net increase of $53 \%$ in employment between July, 1914, and June, 1921. The tremendous expansion of the automobile industry between 1914 and 1920 prevented employment during the depression period from dropping to the level of 1914.

## Hours

The hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 54.7. Following the general reduction in length of working hours during the war period, the week was reduced to approximately 51 hours in June, 1920, and the latter standard has been maintained throughout 1920-1921.

The actual week of plant operation dropped from 54.3 hours

in July, 1914 to 50.3 hours in June, 1920. A gradual decline occurred during the next months. In December, 1920 the hours fell to 45.8 per week, followed by a sharp decline in January to 40 hours. Plant activity increased during the spring of 1920, but declined again in June.

The actual week per wage earner declined from 53.6 hours in July, 1914 to 47.8 in June, 1920. The low point of 39.1 hours was reached in January. In June, 1921 the average wage earner was working almost eight hours less than the standard week.

## Conclusion

1. The peak occurred in the middle of 1920; the trough period in January, 1921; a revival period during the spring months; and a slump in June, 1921. This trend was reflected alike in wages, hours, and employment.
2. Decline in hourly earnings has been more rapid than in the majority of basic industries, amounting to $17 \%$.
3. The decrease in weekly earnings has been extensive ( $26 \%$ ) due to the amount of part-time operation.
4. Increased employment was evidenced in the early months of 1921, but domestic buying conditions and the foreign credit situation have forced extended retrenchments.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Haly. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Wkly. } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Actual }]{\text { Av. }}$ Hrs. | Ay.Hrly. Earngs. | Av.Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Actual }]{\text { Av. }}$ Hrs. | Av.Hrly. Earngs | $\stackrel{\text { Av. }}{\text { Wky. }}$ Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wbly |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July**.. } \end{gathered}$ | 4,838 | 55.3 | \$.226 | \$12.47 | 100 | 100 | 19,142 | 53:3 | \$.312 | \$16.61 | 100 | 100 | 417 | 47.6 | \$. 252 | \$11.99 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. . . } \end{array}$ | 25,268 | 49.8 | . 538 | 26.79 | 238 | 215 | 77,603 | 47.3 | . 772 | 36.53 | 247 | 220 | 4,430 | 44.5 | 420 | 18.69 |  |  |
| July. | 25,612 | 50.7 | . 569 | 28.85 | 252 | 231 | 76,680 | 48.3 | . 765 | 36.92 | 245 | 222 | 4,365 | 44.7 | . 421 | 18.69 | 167 | 156 |
| August | 23,401 | 48.6 | . 575 | 27.98 | 254 | 224 | 68,604 | 47.8 | . 764 | 36.52 | 245 | 220 | 3,977 | 43.3 | . 422 | 18.28 | 167 | 157 |
| September | 19,982 | 48.5 | . 578 | 28.01 | 256 | 225 | 58,394 | 47.3 | . 765 | 36.22 | 245 | 218 | 3,493 | 44.8 | . 430 | 19.29 | 171 | 161 |
| October. | 14,446 | 44.8 | . 585 | 26.17 | 259 | 210 | 42,767 | 45.5 | . 743 | 33.80 | 238 | 203 | 2,512 | 39.9 | . 422 | 16.84 | 167 | 140 |
| Nov | 11,090 | 43.5 | . 585 | 25.46 | 259 | 204 | 35,363 | 44.2 | . 710 | 31.35 | 228 | 189 | 1,917 | 39.9 | . 421 | 16.78 | 167 | 140 |
| Dec | 8,975 | 42.8 | . 579 | 24.79 | 256 | 199 | 30,372 | 43.1 | . 679 | 29.26 | 218 | 176 | 1,366 | 39.1 | . 412 | 16.07 | 163 | 134 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan. . . } \end{array}$ | 8,114 | 40.2 | . 557 | 22.43 | 246 | 180 | 27,821 | 39.1 | . 662 | 25.89 | 212 | 156 | 141 | 31.8 | 398 | 12.63 |  |  |
| Feb. | 8,860 | 43.7 | . 546 | 23.86 | 242 | 191 | 29,883 | 41.9 | . 663 | 27.76 | 212 | 167 |  | 31.8 | . 388 | 12.63 | 158 | 105 |
| March | 10,001 | 48.8 | . 536 | 26.18 | 237 | 210 | 34,595 | 46.1 | . 660 | 30.46 | 212 | 183 | 1,585 | 44.0 | . 375 | 15.69 | 154 | 131 |
| April | 11,466 | 47.6 | . 519 | 24.73 | 230 | 198 | 39,535 | 46.8 | . 655 | 30.66 | 210 | 185 | 1,869 | 42.5 | . 368 | 16.49 15.60 | 149 | 138 |
| May. | 11,889 | 44.6 | . 503 | 22.46 | 223 | 180 | 39,785 | 43.2 | . 656 | 28.34 | 210 | 171 | 1,992 | 41.8 | . 365 | 15.69 | 145 | 138 |
| June. | 11,462 | 42.8 | . 487 | 20.84 | 215 | 167 | 37,889 | 43.6 | . 626 | 27.35 | 201 | 165 | 1,827 | 41.7 | . 353 | 14.72 | 140 | 123 |

${ }^{*} 1914-21$ establishments only. See pp 3, 5.

## AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENT MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments manufacturing plows, cultivators, tractors, harvesting implements, etc. It includes also several plants where, in addition to agricultural implements, other products not distinctly agricultural, such as wind-mills, carriages and wagons, are made. The classification practically follows that of the United States Census of Manufactures. Returns were received from 138 plants, employing at the peak in 1920, 49,704 wage earners. Women were employed in 35 of these plants. Approximately $86 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures in this industry are covered in this report. The geographical distribution within 19 states is as follows:

| Arkansas. | 1 | Missouri | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California. | 5 | Nebraska. | 2 |
| Georgia | 5 | New Jersey | 1 |
| Illinois. | 26 | New York. | 17 |
| Indiana. | 9 | Ohio.. | 15 |
| Iowa. | 12 | Pennsylvan | 6 |
| Kansas. | 1 | Tennessee. | 1 |
| Kentucky. | 4 | Virginia. | 1 |
| Michigan. | 2 | Wisconsin. | 19 |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $167 \%$ from July, 1914 to the high point in September, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $22.9 \%$. At that time the increase was $106 \%$ over July, 1914. The period from November, 1920 to the middle of 1921 was characterized by severe declines, the average total cut being 15 cents per hour.

In August, 1920 the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $169 \%$ over July, 1914; in September, those of skilled labor, $166 \%$; and in the same month, those of women, $194 \%$. The decline for each of these groups from the peak months up
to July, 1921 was $21.4 \%$ for common labor, $22.8 \%$ for skilled labor, and $21.7 \%$ for women. The percentages of increase above July, 1914 for these three groups were respectively $112 \%, 105 \%$ and $130 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $154 \%$ from July, 1914 to the high point in September, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $38 \%$, showing a net increase of $57 \%$ to the middle of 1921. There was a rise during the summer months of 1920 , while sharp declines occurred at the close of 1920 and continued through 1921.

The high point of weekly earnings for common-labor was reached in August, 1920, when the increase was $162 \%$ over 1914. The peak for skilled labor was reached in September, when the increase was $151 \%$, and for women in October, when the increase was $190 \%$. The declines for these three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $38.8 \%$; skilled labor, $37.5 \%$; women, $34.4 \%$. Percentage increases above 1914 for these three groups were respectively $60 \%, 57 \%$ and $90 \%$.

## Employment

The total employment in this industry decreased $66 \%$ from the high point in September, 1920 up to July, 1921. Employment was practically stationary in the summer and early fall of 1920. Extensive reductions in working forces began at the close of 1920, and continued throughout the first six months of 1921 . The decreases in the groups from the high point of employment up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $65.3 \%$; skilled labor, $67.3 \%$; women, $47.9 \%$.

The total number of employees in identical establishments increased $41 \%$ between July, 1914 and September, 1920, while $52 \%$ fewer employees were engaged in identical establishments in June, 1921 than in July, 1914.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 56.3. Folloinwg the general reduction in length of working hours during the war period, the week was reduced to 53.7 hours in June, 1920. The standard of approximately 53 hours


| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | 1914-21 <br> Establ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Whly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July* } \end{gathered}$ | 31,823 | 31,823 | 51.6 | 52.2 | 56.3 | 8.260 | \$13.41 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 June. | 44,439 | 49,132 | 50.0 | 50.9 | 53.7 | . 670 | 33.49 | 258 | 250 |
| July. | 43,873 | 48,791 | 49.3 | 50.5 | 53.6 | . 676 | 33.39 | 260 | 249 |
| Aug. | 44,442 | 49,361 | 49.4 | 50.8 | 53.5 | 688 | 34.00 | 265 | 254 |
| Sept | 44,983 | 49,704 | 49.0 | 50.5 | 53.5 | 694 | 34.02 | 267 | 254 |
| Oct. | 44,622 | 49,062 | 49.2 | 50.2 | 53.5 | . 683 | 33.65 | 263 | 251 |
| Nov | 44,898 | 48,875 | 48.9 | 49.5 | 53.5 | . 685 | 33.51 | 263 | 250 |
| Dec. | 40,158 | 42,997 | 45.7 | 46.5 | 53.4 | . 671 | 30.67 | 258 | 229 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Jan} . . \end{array}$ | 37,978 | 40,795 | 43.3 | 44.5 | 53.2 | 656 | 28.40 | 252 | 212 |
| Feb... | 34,835 | 37,881 | 41.0 | 40.6 | 53.1 | . 641 | 26.28 | 247 | 196 |
| March . | 32,029 | 34,873 | 41.0 | 41.5 | 53.1 | . 634 | 26.01 | 245 | 194 |
| April. | 25,800 | 27,687 | 40.6 | 40.5 | 53.1 | . 560 | 22.74 | 215 | 170 |
| May.. | 19,140 | 20,742 | 39.6 | 39.1 | 53.1 | . 544 | 21.55 | 209 | 161 |
| June... | 15,351 | 16,801 | 39.4 | 39.3 | 53.1 | . 535 | 21.09 | 206 | 157 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
has been fairly steadily maintained throughout 1920-1921.
The actual week of plant operation shows a reduction from 52.2 hours in July, 1914 to 50.9 hours in June, 1920. There was a gradual decline in plant activity during the fall of 1920 . The depression period began in December, 1920, and continued through May, 1921. At that time there was a decline of over 11 hours per week in plant operation from the preceding year. A slight recovery was noted in June, 1921.
The average actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 51.6 hours in July, 1914 to 50 hours in June, 1920. After further decline, an average of approximately 49 hours was maintained up to December, when the depression began to take effect. The average hours continued to decline in 1921, till June, when the average wage earner was working over 10 hours less per week than at a corresponding time in the preceding year.


Conclusion
No industry has more clearly shown the effect of the industrial depression. Two factors, at least, have been the cause of the great decline in earnings, employment, and plant activity. The deflation in the prices of farm products resulted in a curtailment in the buying power and credit of farmers and a practical stagnation in the agricultural implement market. However, the depression has not been due altogether to domestic conditions, but has also been largely influenced by the foreign situation, for the condition of foreign credits and stoppage of foreign orders for the buying of agricultural implements, has largely cut off exportation. Specifically, the investigation has shown:

1. A continuous and severe deflation in wages beginning in the fall of 1920, and amounting in the middle of 1921 to a $23 \%$ decline in hourly earnings and a $38 \%$ decline in weekly earnings.
2. Approximately $60 \%$ of the wage earners were laid off during the first six months of 1921. The industrial depression also forced many plants to shut down altogether or greatly to curtail operation.
3. As a result of both domestic and foreign conditions, this industry has been severely affected by the industrial depression.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | Male unskilled |  |  |  |  |  | Male SKilled |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \mathbf{I n}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Ars. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Haly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wkly. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{array}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Ware } \\ \text { Warners } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Actual }}{\text { Av. }}$$\mathrm{Hrs} \text {. }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wkly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Actual }}}{\text { Aver }}$Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hary. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Av. }}{\text { Wh. }}$ Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Hr}^{1} \mathrm{y}$. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July**. } \end{array}$ | 8,368 | 51.7 | \$.217 | \$11.22 | 100 | 100 | 22,432 | 51.7 | \$.280 | \$14.47 | 100 | 100 | 1,023 | 48.8 | \$.160 | \$8.11 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 13,352 | 50.9 | . 561 |  | 259 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 13,131 | 50.2 | . 578 | 28.71 | 264 | 256 | 34,533 | 49.7 | . 724 | 35.77 | 257 | 247 | 1,247 | 48.7 | .467 478 | 22.72 | 281 | 280 |
| Aug. | 13,355 | 50.5 | . 583 | 29.43 | 269 | 262 | 34,799 | 49.1 | 737 | 35.14 | 263 | 250 | 1,194 | 47.8 48.0 | . 478 | 22.91 22.89 | 288 | 282 |
| Sept | 13,477 | 49.9 | . 584 | 29.13 | 269 | 260 | 34,967 | 48.7 | . 745 | 36.28 | 266 | 251 | 1,260 | 48.0 | . 488 | 22.89 | 294 | 289 |
| Oct | 13,276 | 50.9 | . 578 | 29.42 | 266 | 262 | 34,505 | 48.6 | . 733 | 35.65 | 262 | 246 | 1,281 | 48.9 | . 480 | 23.49 | 289 | 290 |
| Nov | 13,052 | 49.0 | . 578 | 28.35 | 266 | 253 | 34,530 | 48.9 | 733 | 35.87 | 262 | 248 | 1,293 | 47.4 | . 474 | 22.44 | 286 | 277 |
| Dec | 11,410 | 47.0 | . 571 | 26.85 | 263 | 239 | 30,416 | 45.1 | . 718 | 32.42 | 256 | 224 | 1,171 | 47.1 | . 471 | 22.18 | 284 | 273 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan... } \end{array}$ | 10,953 | 44.0 | . 560 | 24.60 | 258 | 219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb | 10,101 | 41.0 | . 542 | 22.22 | 250 | 198 | 26,684 | 40.9 | . 686 | 30.17 28.07 | 245 | 209 | 1,193 1,096 | 44.2 | . 468 | 20.67 | 282 | 255 |
| March | 8,936 | 40.5 | . 537 | 21.73 | 247 | 194 | 24,882 | 41.0 | . 678 | 27.82 | 242 | 192 | 1,055 | 45 | . 448 | 19.62 | 270 | 250 |
| April | 7,196 | 40.0 | . 473 | 18.95 | 218 | 169 | 19,622 | 40.7 | . 598 | 24.37 | 214 | 168 | -869 | 43.2 | . 397 | 17.12 | 239 | 242 |
| May | 5,900 | 39.4 | . 470 | 18.50 | 217 | 165 | 14,107 | 39.5 | . 584 | 23.04 | 209 | 159 | 735 | 44.8 | . 390 | 17.52 | 234 | 216 |
| June | 4,682 | 39.2 | . 459 | 18.00 | 212 | 160 | 11,445 | 39.5 | . 575 | 22.68 | 205 | 157 | 674 | 40.4 | . 382 | 15.41 | 230 | 190 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.


## IV

## ELECTRIGAL APPARATUS MANUFACTURING

In this group the wage investigation covers establishments engaged in the manufacturing of electrical apparatus, electrical machinery and electrical appliances. So far as possible, the study has been restricted to manufacturers of electrical equipment and supplies, not including manufacturers who assemble this class of material with other products. The survey covers no establishments engaged in jobbing in either wholesale or retail trade. Schedules were received from 107 plants, employing 88,225 wage earners in October, 1920. Women were employed in 73 plants. Approximately $35 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as being employed in this industry are included in this report. The real coverage is even greater, as the Census covers establishments engaged in miscellaneous manufacturing that have not been included in this investigation. The data are considered representative of the electrical industry as to the percentage of wage earners covered and distribution and size of establishments involved. The geographical distribution within 19 states is as follows:

| California | Missouri. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut. | New Hampshire. |
| Illinois.................... . . 14 | New Jersey................ 8 |
| Indiana | New York................. ${ }^{9}$ |
| Iowa....................... 1 | Ohio...................... ${ }^{15}$ |
| Kentucky.................. 1 | Pennsylvania............... 13 |
| Maryland.................. 1 | Tennessee................. ${ }^{1}$ |
| Massachusetts.............. 13 | Washington............... ${ }_{5}$ |
| Michigan. . | Wisconsin. |
| Minnesota. |  |
| The sectional distribution is | follows: New England States, |
| 21; Middle Atlantic, 30; Sout | Atlantic, 1; East North Cen- |
| tral, 44; West North Centr | 4; East South Central, 2; |
| Pacific, 5. |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $132 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $11.1 \%$. In June, 1921 the increase was $106 \%$ over July, 1914. The peak was reached in the fall of 1920 , followed by a gradual decline through February, 1921. In March general wage reductions forced hourly earnings downwards, followed by a slight revival in May and June. In November, 1920 hourly earnings of common labor had increased $155 \%$ over July, 1914. In October skilled labor had increased $133 \%$, and in September women $143 \%$ over 1914 . The high point of hourly earnings for women was found in both June and September, 1920. The decline from these various high points for the different groups amounted to $14.1 \%$ for common labor, $11.9 \%$ for skilled labor and $14.9 \%$ for women. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase over July, 1914 for the three groups were respectively $119 \%, 106 \%$ and $107 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $123 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921 declined $21.8 \%$, showing a net increase to the first of July, 1921 of $74 \%$ over July, 1914. The high point of weekly earnings for the male labor group was reached in October, 1920, when common labor was $142 \%$, and skilled labor $128 \%$, above 1914. The peak for women was reached in November, when their earnings had increased $125 \%$ over 1914 . The decline from the high point for each of the various groups up to July, 1921 was $22.7 \%$ for common labor, $23.1 \%$ for skilled labor, and $25.7 \%$ for women. In June, 1921 the percentage increases over 1914 for these three groups were respectively $87 \%, 76 \%$ and $67 \%$.

It will be noted that the composite index number for both hourly and weekly earnings was lower in various periods than the index numbers for the three groups separately in the same periods. This was due to the fact that the distribution in the groups varied from month to month and was different from the distribution in 1914. The total working force, total payroll and hours modified the composite figures in a slightly different way than the figures for each of the three groups.

In general, hourly earnings have decreased less than in most


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wager } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One <br> Week In | $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ 1921 \\ \text { Estab. } \end{gathered}$ | Total All Estab. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earnet | Av. <br> Hours Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July* } \end{gathered}$ | 42,114 | 42,114 | 49.5 | 53.7 | 53.6 | \$. 272 | \$13.48 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 \\ & \text { June. . } \end{aligned}$ | 71,082 | 85,2 | 47.4 | 49.1 | 50.0 | 610 | 28.91 | 224 | 215 |
| July . | 71,881 | 86,360 | 47.5 | 49.0 | 50.0 | . 610 | 28.96 | 224 | 215 |
| Aug. | 70,993 | 86,151 | 47.1 | 48.9 | 50.0 | . 617 | 29.07 | 227 | 216 |
| Sept | 71,441 | 87,263 | 47.5 | 49.0 | 50.0 | . 625 | 29.67 | 230 | 220 |
| Oct. | 72,147 | 88,225 | 47.7 | 49.1 | 50.0 | . 630 | 30.04 | 232 | 223 |
| Nov | 71,680 | 86,815 | 47.9 | 48.8 | 50.0 | . 621 | 29.77 | 228 | 221 |
| Dec. | 70,122 | 84,171 | 47.2 | 48.5 | 50.0 | . 619 | 29.25 | 228 | 217 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 65,699 | 78,116 | 46.0 | 48.0 | 50.2 | . 612 | 28.20 | 225 | 209 |
| Feb. | 58,680 | 69,580 | 45.6 | 47.2 | 50.1 | . 590 | 26.91 | 217 | 200 |
| March | 55,210 | 65,462 | 43.9 | 47.2 | 50.1 | . 558 | 24.49 | 205 | 182 |
| April. . | 50,817 | 60,673 | 42.8 | 47.1 | 50.1 | . 559 | 23.92 | 205 | 178 |
| May. | 47,921 | 57,516 | 42.8 | 46.8 | 50.1 | . 562 | 23.99 | 207 | 178 |
| June.. | 45,525 | 54,829 | 41.9 | 46.9 | 50.1 | . 560 | 23.49 | 206 | 174 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
basic industries covered in this investigation. The slight decline is in sharp contrast with decreases in contingent metal trades. However, while hourly earnings have decreased moderately, reductions in weekly earnings are above the general average in many industries. The drop in weekly earnings has been the result of extensive part-time operations.

## Employment

The total decline in employment from the peak in October, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $38 \%$. The general trend shows an increase of $3.5 \%$ in total employment from June to October, 1920, followed by large reductions, particularly at the beginning of 1921 . The decline from the high point of employment up to July, 1921, by groups, was as follows: unskilled labor, $46 \%$; skilled labor, $34 \%$; women, $48 \%$. The

decline in the number of women employed indicates a general movement of women to return to homes and other occupations from wartime employment.

The electrical manufacturing industry expanded tremendously between July, 1914, and the general peak in 1920. The number of people employed in identical establishments increased $71 \%$. Comparison of employment in 1921 with 1914 shows a slight increase, but due recognition must be given to the effect of the tremendous expansion of the industry up to the peak in 1920. The large decline in total employment reflects clearly the effect of the industrial depression upon the electrical manufacturing industry. The movement of the industry shows large reductions in working forces, accompanied by part-time operations, rather than a large amount of wage cutting.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 53.6. A general reduction of working hours took place during the war period, and in June, 1920 they had fallen to 50 hours per week. This nominal week remained practically stationary up to July, 1921.

The hours of plant operation showed a reduction from 53.7
in July, 1914, to 49.1 in June, 1920. The latter average was generally maintained till the close of 1920, when a decline set in, which continued up to July, 1921, the average being 46.9 hours at the latter period.

The actual week per wage earner shows a decline from 49.5 hours in July, 1914 to 47.4 hours in June, 1920. This obviously follows the trend of reduction in the actual week of plant operation and in the nominal week. A decline in the actual week per wage earner started in January and continued through June, the average wage earner working in the latter period 4.1 hours less per week than at the beginning of the year. The greatest loss occurred in the skilled group, who worked an average of six hours less per week in June, 1921 than a year previously. A careful analysis of the chart of "Average Hours of Work" reveals the industrial and economic situation in this industry most distinctly.

## Conclusion

1. Decrease in hourly earnings has been slower than in most basic industries, though part-time operations have forced large reductions in weekly earnings. The entire wage situation is dominated by the decline in employment and loss of hours in plant activity.
2. Total employment declined $38 \%$ from the peak in October, 1920 to the middle of 1921.
3. There was a total increase of $71 \%$ in employment in identical establishments between July, 1914 and October, 1920. Total employment in June, 1921 was only slightly above that for July, 1914. The large drop in employment did not quite bring the number of wage earners employed in the industry down to the 1914 level.
4. The length of the nominal week declined about three and one half hours from July, 1914 up to July, 1921.
5. The hours of plant operation closely follow the trend in the hours of the nominal week from July, 1914 to July, 1920. Since the beginning of 1921, there was practically a continual depression in plant activity, bringing the level down to slightly over 47 hours per week.
6. There was a loss in potential working time of five hours per wage earner in June, 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Warners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Actual }]{\text { Av. }}$ Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Wayy. } \\ & \text { Eargs. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Actual }]{\text { Av. }}$ Hrs. | Av. Hrly. <br> Eanngs | $\stackrel{\text { Av. }}{\text { Wky. }}$ <br> Earngs | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{array}$ | 5,502 | 51.6 | \$.220 | \$11.33 | 100 | 100 | 31,334 | 49.3 | \$. 299 | \$14.74 | 100 | 100 | 5,278 | 48.8 | \$.160 | \$8.24 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 11,970 | 48.0 | . 552 | 26.50 | 251 | 234 | 57,447 | 48.1 | . 673 | 32.36 | 225 | 220 | 15,831 | 44.5 | . 410 | 18.24 | 243 | 221 |
| July | 12,258 | 49.0 | . 548 | 26.79 | 249 | 236 | 58,169 | 48.0 | . 676 | 32.46 | 226 | 220 | 15,933 | 44.4 | . 403 | 17.89 | 238 | 217 |
| Aug. | 12,172 | 48.5 | . 550 | 26.72 | 250 | 236 | 58,090 | 47.8 | . 684 | 32.65 | 229 | 221 | 15,889 | 43.6 | . 407 | 17.75 | 241 | 215 |
| Sept | 12,324 | 48.6 | . 558 | 27.10 | 254 | 239 | 58,985 | 48.2 | . 692 | 33.34 | 231 | 226 | 15,954 | 44.1 | . 410 | 18.08 | 243 | 219 |
| Oct. | 12,110 | 49.2 | . 557 | 27.43 | 253 | 242 | 60,248 | 48.2 | . 698 | 33.66 | 233 | 228 | 15,867 | 44.6 | . 409 | 18.25 | 242 | 222 |
| Nov | 11,964 | 48.3 | . 561 | 27.08 | 255 | 239 | 59,452 | 48.6 | . 684 | 33.23 | 229 | 225 | 15,399 | 45.3 | . 409 | 18.53 | 242 | 225 |
| Dec. | 11,481 | 47.0 | . 555 | 26.07 | 252 | 230 | 58,067 | 47.9 | . 682 | 32.67 | 228 | 222 | 14,623 | 44.6 | . 407 | 18.17 | 241 | 221 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan.... } \end{array}$ | 10,217 | 46.7 | . 534 | 24.92 | 243 | 220 | 54,996 | 46.6 | . 672 | 31.35 | 225 | 213 | 12,903 | 43.1 | . 403 | 17.36 | 238 | 211 |
| Feb | 9,080 | 46.1 | . 526 | 24.24 | 239 | 214 | 49,220 | 46.0 | . 648 | 29.83 | 217 | 202 | 11,280 | 43.2 | . 377 | 16.29 | 223 | 198 |
| March | 8,393 | 44.8 | . 488 | 21.83 | 222 | 193 | 46,564 | 44.3 | . 612 | 27.12 | 205 | 184 | 10,505 | 41.6 | . 360 | 14.97 | 213 | 182 |
| April | 7,582 | 44.6 | . 488 | 21.77 | 222 | 192 | 43,496 | 43.1 | . 613 | 26.40 | 205 | 179 | 9,595 | 40.0 | . 360 | 14.37 | 213 | 174 |
| May | 7,135 | 44.2 | . 486 | 21.44 | 221 | 189 | 41,572 | 43.0 | . 616 | 26.46 | 206 | 180 | 8,809 | 40.1 | . 359 | 14.40 | 212 | 175 |
| June. | 6,687 | 44.0 | . 482 | 21.20 | 219 | 187 | 39,841 | 42.1 | . 615 | 25.90 | 206 | 176 | 8,301 | 39.4 | . 349 | 13.77 | 207 | 167 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

7. The industry has been affected as greatly by economic depression as any basic industry. The method of procedure by management to meet conditions has resulted in a tremendous decline in employment and a large amount of parttime operations. Wage conditions have been directly influenced by these factors.
8. The wage and employment declines in the industry are smaller than in related metal trades, such as the foundry and machine-shop group, automobiles and agricultural implements.

## IRON AND STEEL MANUFAGTURING

The wage investigation in the iron and steel industry includes blast furnaces and rolling mills, engaged in the manufacture of pig iron, wrought iron and rolled steel products. Schedules were received from 70 plants, employing 51,864 wage earners at the high point in October, 1920. While no schedules were received from plants controlled by the United States Steel Corporation, the report is representative of conditions in independent plants. The geographical distribution within 14 states is as follows:

California.................. 2 New Jersey................... 2
Connecticut.................. 1 New York....................... 1
Illinois........................ 4 Ohi
19
Indiana...................... 2 Pennsylvania................... 27
Kentucky....................... 2 Tennessee........................ 1
Michigan..................... 1 West Virginia................... 6
Massachusetts............... 1 Wisconsin...................... 1
Manufacturing conditions in the iron and steel industry make impossible the compilation of information similar to that for other industrial groups contained in this report. In iron and steel plants the varying hours of work, the irregular shift system, the mixture of piece, day and tonnage work, prevents the accurate compilation of wage data covering the actual hours of work per wage earner, hourly earnings, or the hours of the regular work week. The data for the general group of 70 plants covered in the report has, therefore, been confined to a discussion of weekly earnings, plant hours and employment. Fifty-four of the 70 plants furnished accurate data covering the actual week per wage earner, hourly and weekly earnings. The latter group, therefore, has been put in the table covering general classified material.

## Wages

(a) Hourly carnings: The study of hourly earnings has been confined to data furnished by 54 plants. Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners in 54 plants showed an in-
crease of $201 \%$ from July, 1914 to November, 1920, and declined $29.7 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving a net increase of $112 \%$. In these plants, the hourly earnings of common labor showed an increase of $185 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, while those of skilled labor showed an increase of $206 \%$ in November, 1920. The declines for these two groups from the peak months up to July, 1921, were $25 \%$ for common labor and $29.8 \%$ for skilled labor. The most marked decreases took place at the beginning of 1921 and sharp declines occurred in June. In June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were still $113 \%$ above 1914 and those of skilled labor, $115 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage eapners in 70 plants increased $190 \%$ from July, 1914, to August, 1920, and declined $48.1 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving a net increase of $50 \%$ in June. Weekly earnings were well maintained through November, 1920, but beginning in December sharp declines occurred. The spring months were characterized by large declines, chiefly due to the shutting down of many blast furnaces.

In 54 plants the weekly earnings of common labor increased $192 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920, while those of skilled labor had increased $226 \%$ in August, 1920. The percentages of decline for the two groups from the peak months up to July, 1921, were $43.3 \%$ for common labor and $53.1 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921, the weekly earnings of common labor were still $66 \%$ above 1914, while those of skilled labor were $53 \%$ above 1914. The amount of decline in weekly earnings has been more extensive than in any other basic industry. This has been due chiefly to curtailment of plant activity and the shutting down of many blast furnaces and rolling mills. The cessation of domestic and foreign demand in metal trades is, perhaps, the most direct cause of industrial stagnation.

## Employment

The total number of wage earners employed in 70 plants decreased $48 \%$ from October, 1920 up to July, 1921. Unemployment began in November, 1920, followed by further reductions in December and January, 1921. Slight improvement was noted in March and again in May, but the un-



## Composite Payroll Data

## (70 Plants)

| Period | $\text { No. } \underset{\text { Emp }}{\text { Wag }}$ | Earners yed | Aver Ho | rage |  | (All Wage | Earner |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | 1914-2I <br> Establ | Total All Establ | Av. <br> Week per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} \ldots . \end{array}$ | 17,885 | 17,885 | 56.4 | 115.1 | 8.236 | \$12.90 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  | ** |  | ** |  |
| June. | 31,549 | 51,460 | 57.7 | 126.5 | . 672 | 35.45 | 285 | 275 |
| July | 28,152 | 49,304 | 58.3 | 131.2 | . 689 | 35.79 | 292 | 277 |
| August | 28,056 | 50,056 | 60.1 | 132.2 | 696 | 37.35 | 295 | 290 |
| September | 28,105 | 50,443 | 59.0 | 128.9 | 705 | 36.76 | 299 | 285 |
| October. | 29,012 | 51,864 | 59.6 | 128.1 | 705 | 37.22 | 299 | 289 |
| November | 27,320 | 49,234 | 57.1 | 121.7 | 711 | 35.62 | 301 | 276 |
| December | 22,511 | 38,829 | 52.3 | 123.6 | 636 | 30.14 | 269 | 234 |
| $1921$ | 20,162 | 33,797 | 51.5 | 121.4 | 639 | 27.37 | 271 | 212 |
| February | 18,486 | 28,480 | 53.4 | 121.6 | 601 | 26.77 | 255 | 208 |
| March | 18,234 | 29,217 | 50.2 | 110.5 | 568 | 24.82 | 241 | 192 |
| April. | 15,241 | 25,536 | 46.5 | 96.6 | 561 | 23.74 | 238 | 184 |
| May. | 16,016 | 28,676 | 47.1 | 105.1 | 569 | 23.61 | 241 | 183 |
| June. | 14,865 | 26,970 | 41.6 | 96.3 | . 500 | 19.38 | 212 | 150 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
**54 plants only.
certain condition of the metal market unquestionably caused these fluctuations.

In 54 plants common labor decreased $52.9 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, while skilled labor declined $57.5 \%$ during the same period. The depression period, therefore, has affected the skilled group even more severely than common labor.

In the 40 identical plants that furnished information for 1914-1921, there was an increase of $76.4 \%$ in employment between July, 1914 and June, 1920. However, the low activity of industry in July, 1914, must be considered, and the above percentage does not reflect the normal expansion of the industry during the six-year period. In June, 1921, the total

number of people employed in identical establishments was $16.9 \%$ lower than in July, 1914. The industrial depression, therefore, had driven this industry below the 1914 level.

## Hours

Average hours of the nominal week can not be calculated, as there is a great variety in standard hours, ranging from 60 to 84 per week.

Iron and steel manufacturing is primarily an industry of continuous operation. The standard week of production would, therefore, be 168 hours. The full productive week, however, generally ranges from 144 to 168 hours. In July, 1914 the average hours of plant operation were 115.1. This was a period of depression. In June, 1920 the hours of plant operation had increased to 126.5 per week and the summer and early fall of 1920 saw an even greater increase in plant activity. The closing months of 1920 were characterized by considerable depression, but it was not until the spring of 1921 that industrial stagnation became severe. In March, plant hours had fallen to 110.5 per week and in April they went to a still lower level of 96.6 hours. May was characterized by a temporary revival to 105.1 hours, but June again showed depression to
96.3 hours. The condition of the industry is thus clearly reflected in the analysis of the hours of plant operation.

The average week per wage earner in 54 plants was 56.4 hours in July, 1914. In June, 1920 the hours increased to 57.7, and continued at a high level through November. In 1921, the hours continually shrank till June, when there was a decline to 41.6 hours. In 54 plants the average work week for common labor in 1914 was 60 hours. The summer of 1920 witnessed even longer hours, but beginning in December, there were marked decreases which became increasingly severe during the early months of 1921. In June, 1921, the unskilled wage earner was working 46.7 hours.

In 54 plants, the skilled wage earner was working 54.4 hours in July, 1914-this condition being the result of the temporary industrial depression at this time. The summer of 1920 saw the skilled wage earner working longer hours, ranging up to 59.6 hours in August. The winter of 1920-1921 saw marked declines which were well sustained during 1921, the trough period of 38.7 hours being reached in June, 1921.

## Conclusion

1. The decline in hourly earnings has been well above the general average in basic industries, particularly in the skilled group.
2. The decline in weekly earnings has been more extensive than in other industries covered by this investigation. This is due to a combination of reductions in plant activity, working hours, and hourly rates.
3. The decline in employment is practically parallel with that found in manufacturing metal trades and has been continuous during 1920-1921. Skilled labor has been more seriously affected by unemployment than common labor. In June, 1921, fewer wage earners were employed than in the depression period of 1914.
4. The hours of plant activity increased from 115.1 in July, 1914, a period of depression, to 126.5 in June, 1920. A large amount of unfilled orders and general prosperity maintained activity through October, 1920. The industrial depression, however, forced the plant hours to the abnormally low level of 96.3 hours in June, 1921.
5. The average week per wage earner increased from 56.4
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | male Skilled |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week in | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Nage } \\ \text { Earaers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Ancturl } \\ & \text { Hours } \end{aligned}$ | AvcrapeEarruings | AverageWerflysEarings | Index Numbers |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wager } \\ \text { Earacers } \end{gathered}$ | AverageActualHours | $\begin{gathered}\text { Average } \\ \text { Harrings }\end{gathered}$Ean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Averaze } \\ \text { Averly } \\ \text { Eeranings } \end{gathered}$ | dex N |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hourly Earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weekly } \\ & \text { Earangs } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Earnings }}{\text { Hourly }}$ | Weekly |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { July* } \\ & 1914 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4,495 | 60.0 | \$. 185 | \$11.11 | 100 | 100 | 8,269 | 54.4 | \$. 266 | \$14.45 | 100 | 100 |
| June......... | 9,874 | 57.7 | . 516 |  | 279 | 268 | 19,462 | 57.7 | . 751 | 43.33 | 282 |  |
| July. | 9,111 | 59.3 | . 527 | 31.25 | 285 | 281 | 16,963 | 57.8 | . 779 | 44.99 | 293 | 311 |
| August | 9,119 | 61.0 | . 523 | 31.93 | 283 | 287 | 16,939 | 59.6 | . 791 | 47.14 | 297 | 322 |
| September | 8,799 | 61.1 | . 526 | 32.14 | 284 | 289 | 17,040 | 58.0 | . 803 | 46.55 | 302 | 322 |
| October.... | 9,115 | 61.6 | . 527 | 32.49 | 285 | 292 | 17,308 | 58.6 | . 803 | 47.06 | 302 | 326 |
| November. | 8,575 | 59.1 | . 527 | 31.14 | 285 | 280 | 16,044 | 56.0 | . 815 | 45.66 | 306 | 316 |
| December. | 7,229 | 55.3 | . 517 | 28.55 | 279 | 257 | 11,748 | 50.5 | . 715 | 36.06 | 269 | 250 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | 6,137 5,783 | 54.1 54.3 | . 508 | ${ }_{25}^{27.92}$ | 275 258 | ${ }_{233}^{247}$ | 11,019 10,12 | 50.0 52.9 | . 718 | ${ }_{35}^{35.87}$ | ${ }_{253}^{270}$ | 248 |
| March | 6,289 | 52.6 | . 440 | 23.14 | 238 | 208 | 10,351 | 48.8 | . 651 | 31.77 | 245 | 220 |
| April. | 5,313 | 49.4 | . 417 | 20.62 | ${ }_{2}^{225}$ | 186 | 9,065 | 44.8 | . 654 | 29.25 | 246 | 202 |
| May | 5,075 | 50.1 | . 400 | 20.05 | ${ }_{213}^{216}$ | 180 | 9,787 | 45.5 | . 665 | 30.27 | 250 |  |
| June. | 4,649 | 46.7 | . 395 | 18.43 | 213 | 166 | 8,279 | 38.7 | . 572 | 22.12 | 215 | 153 |

hours in July, 1914 to 57.7 hours in June, 1920. By June, 1921, the average wage earner was working over sixteen hours less than in the preceding June. The wage earner in this industry has, therefore, been affected most severely by part-time operations during the depression period.
6. While there has been extensive reduction in both weekly and hourly earnings in this industry, the cessation of domestic demand and foreign consumption seems to point to a severely depressed condition of the industry in the middle of June, 1921.

## VI

## COTTON MANUFACTURING

A. North

Cotton manufacturing varies so widely between northern and southern states that separate classification has been made. Wage investigation of the northern cotton mills is confined to establishments engaged in spinning and weaving and finishing of cotton fabrics. Returns were received from 73 plants, employing 52,758 wage earners at the high point in June, 1920. Women were employed in 72 of these establishments. The geographical distribution within 10 states is as follows:

| Connecticut | 7 | New Hampshire | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois. | 1 | New York. | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 36 | New Jersey. | 1 |
| Maine. | 3 | Pennsylvania. | 5 |
| Missouri. | 1 | Rhode Island. | 9 |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $211 \%$ from July, 1914 up to July, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $22.1 \%$, leaving a net increase of $142 \%$. During the months of 1920 there was only a slight decline in hourly earnings. At the beginning of 1921 there was an average cut of almost ten cents per hour, amounting to over $18 \%$. The decline since January, 1921, has been moderate and gradual throughout the period.

In October, 1920, the hourly earnings of common labor were $195 \%$ above 1914. In June, those of skilled labor showed an increase of $213 \%$ and those of women, $215 \%$. The percentages of decrease for the three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921, were: common labor, $22.2 \%$; skilled labor, $20.9 \%$; women, $24.4 \%$.

In June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were $129 \%$ above 1914, those of skilled labor, $148 \%$, and those of women, $138 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $190 \%$ between July, 1914, and June, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $28.4 \%$, leaving a net increase of $107 \%$. The decline in' weekly earnings was gradual through September, 1920. Beginning in October, due to extensive reduction in working hours, weekly earnings began to decrease rapidly. This movement continued through the closing months of 1920, becoming marked in January, 1921, when extensive cuts in hourly rates were made. Beginning in February, 1921, there was a tendency to increase in weekly earnings, due to longer working hours. Hourly earnings during this period, however, continued to drop.

The peak of weekly earnings for all three groups was reached in June, 1920. At that time the earnings of common labor showed an increase of $184 \%$ over July, 1914, those of skilled labor, $192 \%$ and those of women, $191 \%$. The percentages of decline for these three groups from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 were: common labor, $27.6 \%$; skilled labor, $27.7 \%$; women, $30.1 \%$. In June, 1921 the weekly earnings of common labor were $105 \%$ above 1914, those of skilled labor, $111 \%$ and those of women, $104 \%$.

## Employment

The decline in total number of wage earners employed, from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, was $14.2 \%$. The trough period of employment occurred in December, 1920, when there was a decrease of $26.1 \%$ from June, 1920. The increase, during 1921, from the depression point, amounted to $16.2 \%$. By far the greatest reduction in workers has occurred among the female wage-earning group, evidently pointing to return of women from wartime employment to domestic occupations.

Total employment increases in identical establishments between July, 1914 and June, 1920, were $10.5 \%$. This reflects the normal expansion of the industry. While the subsequent reduction in employment has been extensive, it does not present so severe a problem as that in many other basic industries. However, in June, 1921, in identical establishments, employment had shrunk below the 1914 level.


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One <br> Week In | 19I4-21 <br> Establ. | Total <br> All <br> Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Weck | Av. Hrly. Earngs | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earning: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkiy. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*'. } \end{array}$ | 43,445 | 43,445 | 52.0 | 53.6 | 55.7 | \$.173 | \$9.02 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 47,986 | 52,758 52,385 | 48.6 | 48.9 49.0 | 50.0 50 | 538 | 26.14 | 311 | 290 |
| Aug. | 45,531 | 50,031 | 47.6 | 48.5 | 50.0 | . 529 | 25.20 | 306 | 279 |
| Sept | 45,565 | 49,745 | 46.4 | 48.1 | 50.1 | . 529 | 24.56 | 306 | 272 |
| Oct. | 41,424 | 45,064 | 43.9 | 44.4 | 50.1 | . 533 | 23.38 | 308 | 259 |
| Nov | 39,523 | 41,268 | 38.3 | 38.9 | 50.1 | . 532 | 20.36 | 307 | 226 |
| Dec. | 37,234 | 38,965 | 35.5 | 36.6 | 50.2 | . 533 | 18.89 | 308 | 209 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Tan} . . . \end{gathered}$ | 38,346 | 40,2 | 37.8 | 38.7 | 50.3 | 436 | 16.48 | 252 | 183 |
| Feb... | 39,927 | 42,071 | 41.2 | 42.0 | 50.3 | . 428 | 17.65 | 247 | 196 |
| March. | 40,362 | 42,738 | 41.4 | 42.5 | 50.3 | . 429 | 17.79 | 248 | 197 |
| April. . | 39,908 | 42,230 | 40.4 | 40.3 | 50.3 | 430 | 17.38 | 249 | 193 |
| May . | 41,906 | 44,858 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 50.3 | 420 | 18.63 | 243 | 207 |
| June... | 42,222 | 45,290 | 44.7 | 44.8 | 50.3 | 419 | 18.71 | 242 | 207 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914, were 55.7. In June, 1920, the hours of the nominal week were 50 and the latter standard, with slight increases, was practically maintained up to July, 1921.

The actual week of plant operation showed a reduction from 53.6 hours in July, 1914, to 48.9 hours in June, 1920.* The decline in plant activity began in September, became more marked in October, and then reached the depression points during the next three months. The months of 1921 showed marked and continued recovery except for a temporary decline in April, 1921.

The actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 52 hours in July, 1914, to 48.6 hours in July, 1920. The acute

[^4]
industrial depression took effect in November, when there was a drop to 38.3 hours, followed by a further decline in December to a new low level of 35.5 hours. The beginning of 1921 showed a marked increase which was sustained through March. There was a slight relapse in April, followed by a revival in May and June.

The trend of hours shows a gradual decline through the summer of 1920, marked depression during the winter, followed by revival in 1921.

## Conclusion

1. Decline in hourly earnings has been extensive and more marked than in other members of the textile group, except in southern cotton mills.
2. Decrease in weekly earnings has been severe, due to a large reduction, not only in hourly rates, but in working hours. Wages have been more affected by rate cutting than by parttime operations.
3. The industry, as a whole, showed a decline through 1920 , marked depression in the winter of 1920-1921 and a general sustained recovery in the spring of 1921. Earlier readjustment brought the industry to a better condition in 1921 than is found in many other basic industries. However, the particular conditions in the cotton market have had a direct effect upon productive activity within manufacturing plants.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Ars. } \end{aligned}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Actual }]{\mathrm{Av} \text {. }}$ Hrs. | Av.Hrly Earngs | $\stackrel{\text { Av. }}{\text { Wkly. }}$ Earngs. | Index Nos.Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Av. Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wary. } \\ \text { Eargs. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earniogs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July* }^{*} . \end{array}$ | 5,400 | 53.2 | \$. 165 | \$8.80 | 100 | 100 | 19,898 | 52.9 | $\$ .195$ | \$10.32 | 100 | 100 | 18,147 | 50.7 | \$.151 | \$7.66 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 7,018 | 51.8 | . 482 | 24.98 | 292 | 284 | 23,415 | 49.3 | . 611 | 30.14 | 313 | 292 | 22,325 | 46.9 | . 476 | 22.32 | 315 | 291 |
| July | 6,949 | 50.8 | . 485 | 24.66 | 294 | 280 | 23,277 | 48.9 | . 605 | 29.62 | 310 | 287 | 22,159 | 46.7 | . 462 | 21.58 | 306 | 282 |
| Aug. | 6,603 | 48.9 | . 485 | 23.72 | 294 | 269 | 22,681 | 48.5 | . 604 | 29.29 | 310 | 284 | 20,747 | 46.3 | . 458 | 21.20 | 303 | 277 |
| Sept | 6,533 | 47.6 | . 474 | 22.57 | 287 | 256 | 22,002 | 47.1 | . 606 | 28.52 | 311 | 276 | 21,210 | 45.2 | . 465 | 21.05 | 308 | 275 |
| Oct. | 5,652 | 47.3 | . 486 | 23.01 | 295 | 261 | 20,278 | 44.5 | . 609 | 27.14 | 312 | 263 | 19,134 | 42.2 | . 462 | 19.50 | 306 | 254 |
| Nov | 4,585 | 41.5 | . 478 | 19.82 | 290 | 225 | 18,894 | 38.4 | . 609 | 23.42 | 312 | 227 | 17,789 | 37.3 | . 462 | 17.24 | 306 | 226 |
| Dec. | 4,599 | 37.4 | . 475 | 17.78 | 288 | 202 | 17,959 | 37.1 | . 604 | 22.41 | 310 | 217 | 16,407 | 33.2 | . 463 | 15.36 | 307 | 200 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Jan} . . . . \end{array}$ | 4,902 | 42.5 | . 394 | 16.74 | 239 | 190 | 18,423 | 37.1 | . 509 | 18.88 | 261 | 183 |  |  | 370 |  |  |  |
| Feb | 5,532 | 44.4 | . 395 | 17.55 | 239 | 199 | 18,817 | 41.6 | . 493 | 20.50 | 253 | 199 | 17,722 | 39.8 | . 368 | 14.64 | 244 | 191 |
| March | 5,461 | 46.1 | . 387 | 17.82 | 235 | 202 | 19,330 | 41.6 | . 497 | 20.64 | 255 | 200 | 17,947 | 39.9 | . 368 | 14.70 | 244 | 192 |
| April | 5,554 | 45.0 | . 388 | 17.44 | 235 | 198 | 19,206 | 40.5 | . 496 | 20.12 | 254 | 195 | 17,470 | 38.8 | . 369 | 14.34 | 244 | 187 |
| May | 5,986 | 46.9 | . 385 | 18.06 | 233 | 205 | 19,957 | 44.7 | . 484 | 21.66 | 248 | 210 | 18,915 | 43.3 | . 361 | 15.61 | 239 | 204 |
| June. | 6,055 | 47.8 | . 378 | 18.08 | 229 | 205 | 20,359 | 45.1 | . 483 | 21.78 | 248 | 211 | 18,876 | 43.4 | . 360 | 15.61 | 238 | 204 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.


## B. South

As in the northern cotton mills, this wage investigation among cotton manufacturing plants in the southern states is confined to establishments engaged in spinning and weaving and finishing of cotton fabrics. The geographical distribution among 10 southern states is as follows:

| Alabama | 3 | North Carolina. | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Georgia | 6 | South Carolina. | 14 |
| Kentucky | 1 | Tennessee. | 5 |
| Mississippi | 1 | Texas. | 2 |
| Maryland. | 2 | Virginia. | 2 |

Returns were received from 38 plants, employing 18,781 wage earners at the high point in September, 1920. Women were employed in all of the establishments.

Any comparison of wages in northern and southern cotton mills must take into consideration the differences in living conditions in the two sections of the country. In the average southern cotton mill town, company houses and accompanying facilities are usually provided by the operators, at a nominal price, while in northerh cotton manufacturing centers, such provisions are generally not made. This additional compensation in southern mills must, therefore, be considered in any discussion of comparative wages.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage carners show an increase of $222 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $31.8 \%$. In June, 1921, the increase was $120 \%$ over July, 1914. There was a slight increase from July, 1920, to October. Marked reductions began to occur in December, amounting to almost five cents per hour, and more moderate declines continued throughout the first six months of 1921 .

In September, 1920, hourly earnings of common labor had increased $232 \%$, and in October, 1920, those of skilled labor showed an increase of $242 \%$, and those of women $198 \%$, over July, 1914. The decline for each of these groups from the peak
months up to July, 1921 was as follows: common labor, $32.8 \%$; skilled labor, $33.5 \%$; women, $31.2 \%$. In June, 1921, common labor showed an increase in hourly earnings of $123 \%$, skilled labor, $127 \%$, and women, $105 \%$, over July, 1914.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $192 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $32.5 \%$, leaving a net increase of $97 \%$ up to the middle of 1921. The trend shows moderate declines through November, with a sharp reduction occurring in December, amounting to approximately $\$ 2.28$ a week, or $12 \%$. The year 1921 was marked by a further decline in weekly earnings, caused by the reduction of hourly earnings rather than by curtailment of working hours.

The high point of weekly earnings of common labor was reached in September, 1920, when the increase shown over July, 1914, was $203 \%$. The peak for skilled labor and women was reached in July, 1920, when increases of $211 \%$ and $167 \%$ over the 1914 level were indicated. The declines from these peaks up to July, 1921 for the three groups, were as follows: common labor, $36.4 \%$; skilled labor, $33.7 \%$; women, $30.8 \%$. In June, 1921, common labor was $93 \%$ above 1914, skilled labor, $106 \%$, and women, $85 \%$.

## Employment

The total employment decreased $7.9 \%$ between the peak in September, 1920, and June, 1921. The period of greatest reduction was reached in January, when there were approximately $13 \%$ fewer wage earners employed than in the preceding September. A revival of production caused an increase between January and June, 1921, of over $5 \%$ in numbers employed. Among the three groups, the greatest amount of decline has occurred among women, where the decrease between September, 1920 and June, 1921, amounted to $16.8 \%$.

The total number of employees in identical establishments increased $11.2 \%$ from July, 1914 up to July, 1920. In June, 1921, the increase over 1914 was $6.9 \%$.

Relatively speaking, the employment problem in southern cotton mills is unimportant, the amount of decline being negligible in comparison with general conditions. The industry showed a normal growth between 1914 and 1920.


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One <br> Week In | 1914-21 <br> Establ | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week <br> Per <br> Wage <br> Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Ay. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { Tulv* } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 56 | 58 | 58.8 | \$. 12 | 87.10 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 14,012 | 18,262 | 52.1 | 55.1 | 55.6 | . 385 | 20.09 | 306 | 283 |
| July | 14,087 | 18,362 | 52.4 | 54.7 | 55.6 | . 395 | 20.72 | 314 | 292 |
| Aug. | 14,079 | 18,745 | 50.0 | 55.0 | 55.6 | . 397 | 19.87 | 315 | 280 |
| Sept | 14,006 | 18,781 | 50.6 | 54.4 | 55.6 | 401 | 20.31 | 318 | 286 |
| Oct. | 13,158 | 17,824 | 47.8 | 51.0 | 55.6 | 406 | 19.41 | 322 | 273 |
| Nov | 13,128 | 17,533 | 48.0 | 51.0 | 55.6 | . 397 | 19.05 | 315 | 268 |
| Dec. | 12,870 | 17,111 | 48.4 | 50.8 | 55.6 | . 346 | 16.77 | 275 | 236 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 12,575 | 16,398 | 50.6 | 52.1 | 55.6 | . 327 | 16.51 | 260 | 232 |
| Feb... | 13,382 | 16,977 | 50.9 | 52.6 | 55.6 | 315 | 16.02 | 250 | 226 |
| March | 13,296 | 16,934 | 50.4 | 52.2 | 55.6 | . 306 | 15.43 | 243 | 217 |
| April. | 13,161 | 16,753 | 49.9 | 51.7 | 55.6 | 295 | 14.71 | 234 | 207 |
| May . | 13,103 | 16,998 | 51.1 | 52.6 | 55.6 | . 283 | 14.48 | 225 | 204 |
| June... | 13,473 | 17,294 | 50.6 | 52.3 | 55.6 | . 277 | 13.99 | 220 | 197 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## Hours

The hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 58.8. In June, 1920, they had decreased to 55.6. The latter standard continued throughout 1920-1921.

The average hours of plant operation were reduced from 58.8 in July, 1914, to 55.1 in June, 1920. The depression began to take effect in October, when there was a decline of over three hours from September, and continued throughout the remaining months of 1920. In 1921, marked stimulation occurred, except for a slight slump during April. In general, plant activity has continued fairly well during the adverse industrial conditions.

The actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 56.3 hours in July, 1914, to 52.1 hours in June, 1920. The decline in actual hours began in August and further sharp reduction occurred in October. The closing months of 1920 were a

depression period, but the beginning of the new year showed marked revivals in working hours. There were fluctuations in the spring months undoubtedly caused by the unsettled condition of the cotton market. However, reduction of working hours has affected the wages of the average employee little in comparison to wage decreases.

## Conclusion

1. Decline in wages has been more extensive than in any other members of the textile group, and far beyond that of the average basic industry.
2. While extensive reductions, amounting to approximately $32 \%$ in both hourly and weekly earnings, occurred during 1920-1921, the large wage increases between 1914 and the peak in 1920 left the average wage earner comparatively well off in June, 1921.
3. The employment decline of $8 \%$ has been relatively unimportant, and 1921 has witnessed a slight increase in employment.
4. Reduction in working hours has affected earnings slightly in comparison with wage cutting.
5. The depression period occurred at the close of 1920 , and 1921 has indicated a readjustment on a more permanent basis.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Wage }}{\text { No. }}$ Earners | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | Earngs$\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos.End Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Warners } \\ \text { Earner } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Hrly. } \end{aligned}$Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | ( Index Nos. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July**.. } \end{gathered}$ | 2,011 | 56.9 | \$.100 | $\$ 5.70$ | 100 | 100 | 6,362 | 56.9 | \$.142 | \$8.07 | 100 | 100 | 4,228 | 55.2 | \$. 114 | \$6.31 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{gathered}$ | 3,048 | 52.4 | . 324 | 16.97 | 324 | 298 | 8,483 | 52.7 | . 460 | 24.22 | 324 | 300 | 6,731 | 51.4 | . 317 | 16.29 | 278 | 258 |
| July | 3,143 | 52.4 | . 327 | 17.13 | 327 | 300 | 8,516 | 53.2 | . 472 | 25.10 | 332 | 311 | 6,703 | 51.5 | . 327 | 16.85 | 287 | 267 |
| Aug. | 3,197 | 52.2 | . 329 | 17.14 | 329 | 301 | 8,511 | 51.4 | . 470 | 24.13 | 331 | 299 | 7,037 | 47.4 | . 337 | 15.95 | 296 | 253 |
| Sept | 3,114 | 52.1 | . 332 | 17.28 | 332 | 303 | 8,551 | 52.5 | . 477 | 25.00 | 336 | 310 | 7,116 | 47.8 | . 335 | 16.01 | 294 | 254 |
| Oct | 2,989 | 49.7 | . 325 | 16.16 | 325 | 283 | 8,075 | 49.4 | . 486 | 24.01 | 342 | 297 | 6,760 | 45.1 | . 340 | 15.36 | 298 | 243 |
| Nov | 2,961 | 50.8 | . 308 | 15.67 | 308 | 275 | 8,070 | 48.8 | . 479 | 23.37 | 337 | 290 | 6,502 | 45.6 | . 334 | 15.21 | 293 | 241 |
| Dec. | 2,849 | 50.6 | . 278 | 14.09 | 278 | 247 | 7,944 | 49.7 | . 416 | 20.67 | 293 | 256 | 6,318 | 45.9 | . 285 | 15.08 | 250 | 239 |
| ${ }_{\text {Jan }} 1921$ | 2,921 | 50.0 | . 265 | 13.25 | 265 | 232 | 7,591 | 51.3 | . 398 | 20.39 | 280 | 253 | 5,886 | 49.9 | 263 | 13.12 | 231 | 210 |
| Feb | 2,898 | 50.6 | . 254 | 12.84 | 254 | 225 | 8,093 | 51.8 | . 375 | 19.42 | 264 | 241 | 5,986 | 49.8 | . 260 | 12.96 | 228 | 208 |
| March | 2,877 | 49.0 | . 244 | 11.97 | 244 | 210 | 8,217 | 51.2 | . 360 | 18.43 | 254 | 228 | 5,840 | 50.0 | . 259 | 12.92 | 227 | 205 |
| April | 2,798 | 50.1 | . 233 | 11.69 | 233 | 205 | 8,105 | 50.5 | . 350 | 17.68 | 246 | 219 | 5,850 | 48.8 | . 247 | 12.05 | 217 | 191 |
| May | 2,882 | 50.3 | . 227 | 11.44 | 227 | 201 | 8,287 | 52.2 | . 330 | 17.23 | 232 | 214 | 5,829 | 49.9 | 242 | 12.08 | 212 | 191 |
| June. | 2,913 | 49.3 | . 223 | 10.99 | 223 | 193 | 8,462 | 51.6 | . 323 | 16.65 | 227 | 206 | 5,919 | 49.8 | . 234 | 11.66 | 205 | 185 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.


## VII

## WOOL MANUFACTURING

Wage data for this industry were received from establishments engaged in the spinning of woolen and worsted yarns and in the weaving and finishing of woolen and worsted fabrics. Returns were received from 72 establishments, of which all but one employed women. The total number of wage earners at the high point of employment in June, 1921 was 44,385 . Approximately $22 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as being employed in this industry were covered in this report. The data are representative in coverage of wage earners and as to distribution and size of establishments involved. The geographical distribution within 18 states is as follows:


## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $209 \%$ from July, 1914 through June, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $17.9 \%$. In June, 1921, the increase was $153 \%$ over July, 1914. The period June, 1920 up to July, 1921 has shown a gradual decline from month to month. A wage cut of six cents per hour occurred at the beginning of 1921, when the entire industry was going through a readjustment period. There was a slight rise in hourly earnings at the middle of 1921. In November, 1920, the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $196 \%$ over July, 1914, and in October skilled labor had increased $211 \%$. The peak for women occurred in June, 1920, when the increase was $211 \%$. The declines
from the respective peaks up to July, 1921 amounted to $19.5 \%$ for common labor, $17 \%$ for skilled labor, and $18.8 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase above July, 1914 for the three groups were respectively, $138 \%, 158 \%, 152 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $160 \%$ from July, 1914 through June, 1920, and declined from the latter period up to July, 1921, $12.6 \%$, showing in the middle of 1921 a net increase of $128 \%$ over July, 1914. The trend during the past year has shown a gradual decline in weekly earnings down through March, 1921. Since then, there has been a marked revival in plant activity, with longer working hours and hence increased weekly earnings. The largest decrease in any one period was noted in November, 1920, when there was a drop of $\$ 1.44$ in the contents of the average weekly pay envelope.

The high point in weekly earnings for common labor was reached in October, 1920, when there was an increase of $154 \%$ over 1914. The peak for both skilled labor and women was reached in June, 1920, when the increases were respectively, $166 \%$ and $159 \%$. Declines for the three groups up to July, 1921 amounted to $12.8 \%$ for common labor, $13.8 \%$ for skilled labor, and $11.9 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, common labor was $121 \%$ above 1914, skilled labor, $129 \%$ and women, $128 \%$. Thus, respective increases of pay for the three groups have been approximately the same.

The readjustment in the wool industry began in the early spring months of 1920 . This period is not covered by the present report, but indications are that the amount of decline from the early spring period through June, 1920, was not severe or extensive. The general peak in June, 1920, shown in this study, therefore, probably reflects an approximate general peak for the whole industry. The general course of wages in the past year has shown a gradual tendency downwards. The trough occurred at the beginning of 1921 , when there were sharp wage reductions in many of the larger plants. The trend continued downward through March, 1921. A marked revival has occurred since that time.

The amount of decline in wages in the wool industry is below that in cotton manufacturing, but above silk and hosiery manufacturing. Careful analysis of the trends seems to point out the following facts: (1) Readjustment occurred earlier


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Houra |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earnerı) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1914-21 <br> Eatabl. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { All } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Week per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkiy. Earngs | Index Nos. Earninga |  |
| In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wrly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July } \end{gathered}$ | 36,891 | 36,891 | 52.8 | 53.3 | 55.1 | $\$ .185$ | \$9.77 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 June. |  |  | 44.6 | 45.2 | 49.8 | 571 | 25.46 | 309 | 260 |
| July | 38,969 | 41,192 | 43.6 | 45.1 | 49.8 | . 566 | 24.67 | 306 | 252 |
| Aug. | 36,628 | 38,684 | 43.7 | 44.5 | 49.8 | . 569 | 24.88 | 308 | 254 |
| Sept | 37,018 | 39,085 | 43.3 | 44.5 | 49.8 | . 568 | 24.58 | 307 | 251 |
| Oct. | 36,811 | 38,933 | 43.2 | 44.5 | 49.8 | . 566 | 24.46 | 306 | 250 |
| Nov | 35,001 | 36,575 | 40.9 | 41.6 | 49.8 | . 562 | 22.98 | 304 | 235 |
| Dec. | 33,906 | 35,527 | 40.6 | 41.3 | 49.8 | . 555 | 22.52 | 300 | 230 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 33,707 | 34,911 | 42.8 | 44.9 | 50.0 | . 493 | 21.08 | 266 | 215 |
| Feb.. | 35,328 | 37,149 | 45.4 | 47.3 | 50.1 | . 465 | 21.13 | 251 | 216 |
| March. | 35,271 | 37,288 | 45.2 | 47.1 | 50.1 | . 463 | 20.94 | 250 | 214 |
| April... | 38,457 | 40,854 | 45.8 | 47.4 | 50.2 | . 460 | 21.07 | 249 | 215 |
| May... | 40,698 | 43,195 | 47.1 | 48.6 | 50.2 | . 467 | 22.01 | 252 | 225 |
| June.. | 41,651 | 44,385 | 47.5 | 48.6 | 50.2 | . 469 | 22.26 | 253 | 228 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
in the wool industry than in most basic industries; (2) general movement in reduction of wages has been gradual; (3) the increases from 1914 in wages for all classes of labor have been approximately the same, and hence the relative position in regard to earnings of the three groups has been unchanged since 1914.

## Employment

The total employment in the wool industry increased $4.3 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. There was a gradual decline of $17.9 \%$ from June, 1920 to the trough in January, 1921, and a marked increase from the latter period up to July, 1921, amounting to $27.1 \%$. The whole tendency in employment was toward a marked revival after the beginning of 1921. The increases in the groups, common labor, skilled labor, and women, from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, were respectively $11.8 \%, 3.6 \%$ and $3.3 \%$. The decreases by

groups from June, 1920 to the respective troughs were: common labor, $26 \%$; skilled labor, $18 \%$; women, $16 \%$. The increases from the latter periods through June, 1921, were: common labor, $51 \%$; skilled labor, $27 \%$; women, $23 \%$.

The total number of employees in the woolen industry in identical establishments increased $13 \%$ from July; 1914 up to July, 1921, when the peak of employment was reached.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were approximately 55 . Following the general reduction in working hours during the war period, there was a decline to approximately 50 hours in June, 1920. The latter standard was maintained throughout 1920-1921, there being a slight increase toward the middle of the present year.

The actual week of plant operation shows a reduction from 53.3 hours in July, 1914 to 45.2 hours in June, 1920. The next months showed slight declines, the decrease going as low as 41.3 hours in December. In January, the start was made toward recovery; there having been an increase in activity of about four hours per plant from December. Plant operation continued to increase through the spring months
of 1921, reaching 48.6 hours in June. The study of the chart of the actual week of plant operation reflects very closely the industrial condition of the whole industry during the past months. It will be seen readily that early readjustment caused by deflation in prices of raw materials in 1920 influenced quicker resumption of normal plant activity in 1921.

The average actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 52.8 hours in July, 1914 to 44.6 hours in June, 1920. The resumption of activity, beginning in February, caused an immediate increase in the actual week per wage earner, so that in June, the average wage earner was working almost seven hours longer per week than at the close of 1920. This increase in actual working hours has increased the weekly earnings in the spring months, but the decline in hourly earnings previous to that time has more than overtaken the increase in weekly earnings due to longer working hours.

It will be noted that, beginning in August and continuing through January, 1921, the hours of the average week per wage earner and the hours of plant operation were quite close together. This is probably due to the fact that many plants were either entirely closed during the summer months or operated on a part-time schedule. The wage earners, however, in the active plants worked approximately the same general average hours. The result of plant shutdowns and part-time operations thus brought the hours of plant activity and the average week per wage earner closer together.

## Conclusion

1. Earlier liquidation in prices and wages has resulted in an earlier resumption of activity.
2. Decline in wages has been gradual since the peak in 1920, with a slight increase in wages in the spring of 1921.
3. The wage increases since 1914 have been approximately the same for both skilled men and women.
4. The drop of five hours in the nominal week between July, 1914 and June, 1920 is above the general average for most industries during the same period. It may be accounted for, in part, by the effect of legislation shortening the hours of female wage earners.
5. The trend in the hours of plant activity between 1914
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILIED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hes. | Av. <br> Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. <br> Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earoing: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July**. } \end{array}$ | 3,974 | 52.0 | \$.187 | \$9.75 | 100 | 100 | 15,912 | 54.3 | \$.211 | \$11.48 | 100 | 100 | 17,005 | 51.5 | \$. 150 | \$8.18 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. . } \end{array}$ | 4,541 | 43.2 | . 548 | 23.66 | 293 | 243 | 18,256 | 46.8 | . 652 | 30.51 | 309 | 266 | 19,749 | 42.9 | . 494 | 21.21 | 311 | 259 |
| July. | 4,163 | 44.0 | . 551 | 24.26 | 295 | 249 | 17,688 | 44.9 | . 647 | 29.08 | 307 | 253 | 19,341 | 42.3 | . 490 | 20.72 | 308 | 253 |
| Aug. | 3,952 | 44.1 | . 551 | 24.30 | 295 | 249 | 16,653 | 45.9 | . 654 | 29.97 | 310 | 261 | 18,079 | 41.7 | . 487 | 20.31 | 306 | 248 |
| Sept | 3,906 | 43.9 | . 548 | 24.08 | 293 | 247 | 16,696 | 44.7 | . 654 | 29.25 | 310 | 255 | 18,483 | 41.9 | . 489 | 20.46 | 308 | 250 |
| Oct. | 4,037 | 45.1 | . 549 | 24.75 | 294 | 254 | 16,383 | 44.2 | . 657 | 29.02 | 311 | 253 | 18,513 | 41.9 | . 486 | 20.35 | 306 | 249 |
| Nov | 3,512 | 39.7 | . 553 | 21.96 | 296 | 225 | 15,582 | 42.7 | . 651 | 27.84 | 309 | 243 | 17,481 | 39.5 | . 477 | 18.84 | 300 | 230 |
| Dec. | 3,361 | 41.0 | . 550 | 22.54 | 294 | 231 | 15,131 | 42.5 | . 646 | 27.43 | 306 | 239 | 17,035 | 38.8 | . 469 | 18.16 | 295 | 222 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Jan} . . . \end{array}$ | 3,404 | 44.7 | . 470 | 20.99 | 251 | 215 | 14,933 | 43.4 | . 575 | 24.94 | 272 | 217 | 16,574 | 41.8 | . 421 | 17.62 | 265 | 215 |
| Feb | 3,834 | 45.2 | . 459 | 20.72 | 245 | 213 | 15,810 | 46.6 | . 536 | 24.98 | 254 | 218 | 17,505 | 44.5 | . 399 | 17.73 | 251 | 217 |
| March | 4,264 | 46.8 | . 445 | 20.82 | 238 | 214 | 15,607 | 46.1 | . 537 | 24.80 | 254 | 216 | 17,417 | 44.0 | . 398 | 17.52 | 250 | 214 |
| April | 4,826 | 46.3 | . 450 | 20.84 | 241 | 214 | 17,073 | 46.6 | . 538 | 25.08 | 255 | 218 | 18,955 | 44.9 | . 390 | 17.52 | 245 | 214 |
| May. | 4,898 | 47.9 | . 443 | 21.25 | 237 | 218 | 18,536 | 48.0 | . 541 | 25.97 | 256 | 226 | 19,761 | 46.1 | . 401 | 18.49 | 252 | 226 |
| June. | 5,075 | 48.4 | . 445 | 21.57 | 238 | 221 | 18,907 | 48.2 | . 545 | 26.30 | 258 | 229 | 20,403 | 46.6 | . 401 | 18.68 | 252 | 228 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
and 1920 closely followed that of the nominal week. The early lowering of prices quickened plant activity in 1921.
6. A $4 \%$ increase in employment during the past year is distinctive in comparison with the huge decline in employment in practically all of American industry.
7. The winter of 1920-1921 was marked by general stagnation, followed by great activity in the spring of 1921.
8. Indications point to a soundly reorganized condition of the industry, including all the factors of employment, working hours and wages.

## VIII

## SILK MANUFAGTURING

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments engaged in silk throwing, spinning, weaving and finishing of silk fabrics. Returns were received from 70 plants employing 24,186 wage earners in June, 1921. Women were employed in 68 plants. Approximately $17 \%$ of the wage earners listed by the 1919 Census of Manufactures in this industry are covered in this report. The returns come from the centers of silk manufacturing in the eastern states, the geographical distribution in six states being as follows:
Connecticut.................. 6 New York...................... 10
Massachusetts. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9 Pennsylvania. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 27
New Jersey.................... 17 Virginia........................... . . 1
A very small proportion of common labor is found in the average silk plant. The bulk of production in many plants is by piece work, and hence it is difficult to secure accurate data on hourly earnings. In most establishments it was found that women were paid on the same basis as men, when performing the same kind of work.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $168 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $14.7 \%$. In June, 1921, the increase was $128 \%$ over July, 1914. A general peak of hourly earnings was maintained from June to November, 1920. Sharp reductions occurred at the beginning of 1921, amounting to approximately $41 / 2$ cents an hour, or $9 \%$. Decline in hourly earnings continued up to July, 1921. In September, 1920, common labor showed an increase of $160 \%$ over 1914, in July skilled labor showed an increase of $162 \%$, and in June and in October, hourly earnings of women were $178 \%$ above 1914. A decline of approximately $15 \%$ occurred for each of the three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921.

In June, 1921, the percentages of increase over 1914 for the three groups were respectively $123 \%, 123 \%$ and $137 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $122 \%$ from July, 1914 through June, 1920, and decreased approximately $6 \%$ between the latter period and July, 1921 showing a net increase of $109 \%$ over July, 1914. There was considerable fluctuation between June and October, 1920. A second peak, slightly lower than that of June, occurred in December and was due principally to longer working hours. The low point in weekly earnings was reached in January, 1921, caused by the reduction in working hours, and there has been a gradual increase in weekly earnings from that time to the middle of the year.

Weekly earnings show a slighter decrease than in other textile industries covered in this investigation. As June, 1920 is the first period after July, 1914 covered in this report, it is quite probable that an early reduction occurred previous to June, 1920, so that the actual decline in earnings is greater than that presented by the accompaning data. It would appear that by the middle of 1921, a tendency toward reconstruction had already set in, marked by an increase in working hours over 1920, and in weekly earnings, while a gradual decline in hourly earnings still continued.

## Employment

Total employment increased approximately $3 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. The period of greatest depression occurred in December, when there was a decline of $24.6 \%$ from June, 1920. Between the low point and July, 1921, employment increased $36 \%$. The decline by groups from June, 1920 to the low point was a follows: common labor, $21.6 \%$; skilled labor, $22.5 \%$; women, $28.3 \%$. In June, 1921, as compared with the preceding June, there was a slight increase in numbers employed in both the skilled and female group and a decline in common labor.

The number employed in identical establishments between July, 1914 and June, 1920 was practically unchanged. In June, 1921, compared with July, 1914, there was a slight decline in employment, but the trend indicates a rather stationary condition throughout the years 1914 to 1921.


| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wages } \\ & \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Weck } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { EAll } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Av.Hrs.PlantOperation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nom- } \\ \text { inal } \\ \text { Week } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Hrly. } \end{aligned}$Earngs. | Av.' Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July".. } \end{array}$ | ,00 | 15,00 | 51.1 | 54.1 | 54.3 | \$.193 | \$9.88 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 15,150 | 23,514 | 42.5 | 46.1 | 47.9 | . 516 | 21.92 | 267 | 222 |
| July. | 14,959 | 22,864 | 41.8 | 45.6 | 47.9 | . 517. | 21.58 | 268 | 218 |
| Aug. | 14,563 | 22,266 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 47.9 | 515 | 20.70 | 267 | 209 |
| Sept. | 14,003 | 21,251 | 41.8 | 44.1 | 47.9 | 514 | 21.49 | 266 | 217 |
| Oct. | 13,779 | 20,801 | 41.7 | 44.0 | 47.9 | 517 | 21.56 | 268 | 218 |
| Nov. | 12,999 | 18,640 | 39.4 | 40.5 | 47.9 | . 516 | 20.34 | 267 | 206 |
| Dec. | 11,908 | 17,740 | 42.6 | 49.1 | 48.0 | . 508 | 21.61 | 263 | 219 |
| $1921$ | 11,897 | 18,651 | 42.4 | 49.8 | 48.5 | 464 | 19.67 | 240 | 199 |
| Feb. | 12,357 | 20,357 | 44.3 | 49.9 | 48.5 | . 456 | 20.18 | 236 | 204 |
| Mar | 13,659 | 22,296 | 46.8 | 49.6 | 48.5 | . 452 | 21.15 | 234 | 214 |
| April. | 14,231 | 23,358 | 46.7 | 49.6 | 48.5 | . 449 | 21.00 | 233 | 213 |
| May. | 14,372 | 23,914 | 46.8 | 51.7 | 48.5 | . 440 | 20.59 | 228 | 208 |
| June. | 14,491 | 24,186 | 46.7 | 49.9 | 48.5 | . 441 | 20.63 | 228 | 209 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## Hours

In July, 1914, 54.3 hours constituted the average nominal work week. A general reduction in working hours took place during the war period, a drop being noted to approximately 48 hours in June, 1920. At the beginning of 1921 the nominal week was increased to approximately $481 / 2$ hours, and remained at this level through the first six months of the year.

The average hours of plant operation in July, 1914 were 54.1 In June, 1920 industrial depression had set in and there was a drop to 46 hours. During the fall, considerable decrease in plant activity was noted, followed by a most severe period of depression in November. Beginning in December and continuing in 1921, there was a marked revival in plant operation. The hours from December, 1920 up to July, 1921 have exceeded the hours of the nominal week. This was caused in part by the fact that many plants have operated on a two-

shift basis in order to give employment to as many people as possible, although the wage earner has not generally worked on an overtime basis.

The average actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 51.1 hours in July, 1914 to 42.5 in June, 1920. A period of decline followed, the trough of 39.4 hours being reached in November. A marked increase occurred in 1921, following the trend in plant activity. The stimulation in this industry, during 1921, had a marked effect in maintaining weekly earnings, which otherwise would have been reduced considerably through the decline in hourly earnings.

## Conclusion

1. The decline in hourly earnings has been extensive, but less than in other textiles, except hosiery manufacturing.
2. Reduction in weekly earnings has been slight, because longer working hours have been maintained during 1921.
3. The depression period in plant activity occurred at the close of 1920 , followed by a marked revival during 1921.
4. An increase in employment of over $3 \%$ occurred between June, 1920 and July, 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | male unskilled |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Hrs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Hrly. } \end{aligned}$Earngs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Akly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Haly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkl |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} . \end{array}$ | 763 | 60.1 | \$. 201 | \$12.07 | 100 | 100 | 6,269 | 51.1 | \$. 243 | \$12.41 | 100 | 100 | 7,968 | 50.3 | \$.153 | \$ 7.69 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 2,938 | 48.3 | . 510 | 24.61 | 254 | 204 | 8,947 | 43.0 | . 628 | 27.01 | 258 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 2,957 | 45.0 | . 512 | 23.08 | 255 | 191 | 8,566 | 42.3 | . 637 | 26.95 | 262 | 217 | 11,341 | 40.5 | . 423 | 17.32 | 278 | 225 |
| Aug. | 2,849 | 45.8 | . 513 | 23.42 | 255 | 194 | 8,461 | 41.1 | . 633 | 25.98 | 260 | 209 | 11,395 | 38.2 | . 417 | 15.91 | 273 | 223 |
| Sept | 2,588 | 43.6 | . 523 | 22.82 | 260 | 189 | 8,057 | 43.1 | . 630 | 27.14 | 259 | 219 | 10,606 | 40.6 | . 418 | 16.87 | 273 | 219 |
| Oct. | 2,637 | 44.4 | . 522 | 23.16 | 260 | 192 | 7,811 | 43.0 | . 629 | 27.04 | 259 | 218 | 10,353 | 40.0 | . 426 | 17.02 | 278 | 221 |
| Nov | 2,509. | 45.0 | . 518 | 23.28 | 258 | 193 | 7,242 | 40.2 | . 621 | 24.94 | 256 | 201 | 8,889 | 37.1 | . 424 | 15.76 | 277 | 205 |
|  | 2,475 | 44.6 | . 500 | 22.33 | 249 | 185 | 6,931 | 44.3 | . 606 | 26.81 | 249 | 216 | 8,334 | 40.6 | . 421 | 17.07 | 275 | 222 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \text { Jan.... } \end{gathered}$ | 2,303 | 45.5 | . 474 | 21.56 | 236 | 179 | 7,220 | 42 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb | 2,684 | 47.1 | . 466 | 21.96 | 232 | 182 | 7,714 | 44.4 | . 562 |  | 235 | 196 | 9,128 | 41.5 | . 375 | 15.55 | 245 | 202 |
| Mar. | 2,991 | 46.1 | . 461 | 22.55 | 229 | 187 | 8,239 | 47.2 |  | 24.83 | 231 | 200 | 9,959 | 43.6 | . 369 | 16.09 | 241 | 209 |
| April. | 3,084 | 46.8 | . 455 | 21.27 | 226 | 176 |  |  |  |  |  | 210 | 11,06 | 45.8 | . 375 | 17.15 | 245 | 223 |
|  | 2,948 | 48.4 | . 449 | 21.74 | 223 | 180 | 8.902 | 47.2 | . 541 |  |  |  | 12,08 | 46.2 | . 375 | 17.33 | 24 | 225 |
| June. | 2,868 | 48.7 | . 448 | 21.80 | 223 | 181 | 9,040 | 47.1 | . 543 | 25.57 | 223 | 206 | 12,278 | 46.0 | . 363 | 16.71 | 237 | 217 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## IX

## HOSIERY AND KNIT GOODS MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in this industry includes establishments manufacturing hosiery, underwear, sweaters and other knitted wear. Separate classification was originally made of both hosiery and underwear plants, but the general differences between these two groups were reflected only in the earnings of common labor. The composite group, therefore, is used for the investigation. Returns were received from 90 plants employing 24,849 workers at the high point in June, 1920. The geographical distribution in this industry, within 18 states, is as follows:

| Connecticut. | 5 | North Carolina |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Georgia | 2 | Ohio. |  |
| Maryland | 1 | Pennsylvania |  |
| Massachusetts. | 4 | South Carolina |  |
| Michigan.. | 4 | Rhode Island. |  |
| Minnesota. | 2 | Utah. |  |
| New Hampshire | 1 | Washington. |  |
| New Jersey. | 2 | West Virginia. |  |
| New York. | 25 | Wisconsin.... |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $149 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $11.4 \%$, leaving a net increase of $121 \%$. A stationary condition existed through 1920, with approximately a $10 \%$ cut occurring in January, 1921. The first six months of 1921 showed a practically unchanged situation. The high point of hourly earnings for the male labor group was reached in December, 1920, when the hourly earnings of common labor were $201 \%$ above 1914 and those of skilled labor $156 \%$. The peak of hourly earnings for women occurred in June, 1920, when they were $150 \%$ above 1914. The percentages of decrease from each of these peaks to the middle of 1921 were as follows: common labor, $18.9 \%$; skilled labor, $15 \%$; women, $11.8 \%$.

Up to July, 1921, the percentages of increase above 1914 for the three groups were: common labor, $144 \%$; skilled labor, $118 \%$; women, $120 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $135 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920 and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $15.2 \%$, leaving a net increase of $99 \%$. The weekly earnings remained practically stationary through Qctober, 1920. In November, 1920, there was a considerable reduction due to shorter working hours, and the opening months of 1921 showed continued depression. However, there was a marked revival during the spring of 1921.

The high point of weekly earnings for common labor was reached in December, 1920, when they stood $160 \%$ over 1914; for skilled labor, in August, 1920, when there was an increase of $132 \%$, and in June, 1920 for women, when there was an increase of $134 \%$ over 1914. The percentages of decline from these respective peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $20.2 \%$; skilled labor, $17.5 \%$; women, $13.2 \%$. The percentages of increase over 1914 up to July, 1921, were respectively $107 \%, 91 \%$ and $103 \%$.

In general, the decline in hourly earnings has been far below that in other textiles. The decrease in weekly earnings is due to the reduction in hourly rates and loss in working hours during 1920-1921. Relatively speaking, the net wage increases in June, 1921 have been less in this group than in other textiles, except southern cotton mills.

## Employment

The total number of wage earners decreased $13.6 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. The trough period was reached in January, 1921, when they had decreased $37.7 \%$. Between January and July, 1921, there was a continuous revival so that $38.7 \%$ more wage earners were employed at the latter period than at the beginning of the year. The greatest reduction in any of the groups between June, 1920 and the middle of 1921 occurred in common labor.

The total number of wage earners in identical establishments increased approximately $20 \%$ between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In June, 1921, employment in identical establishments had fallen below the 1914 level. In general, employ-


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914-21 } \\ & \text { Esrabl. } \end{aligned}$ | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hrs. <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1914 \\ & \text { July*. } \end{aligned}$ | 16,034 | 16,034 | 48.9 | 54.5 | 54.2 | \$. 180 | \$8.82 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 19,239 | 24,849 | 47.8 | 49.5 | 50.2 | .433 | 20.72 | 241 | 235 |
| July. | 18,291 | 24,090 | 43.7 | 46.4 | 50.2 | . 449 | 19.61 | 249 | 222 |
| Aug. | 16,533 | 22,214 | 45.0 | 49.9 | 50.2 | 441 | 19.88 | 245 | 225 |
| Sept | 17,264 | 22,823 | 44.5 | 49.2 | 50.2 | . 446 | 19.84 | 248 | 225 |
| Oct. | 13,386 | 18,936 | 43.4 | 46.3 | 50.2 | . 444 | 19.28 | 247 | 219 |
| Nov. | 13,664 | 18,842 | 40.9 | 44.7 | 50.2 | . 438 | 17.92 | 243 | 203 |
| Dec. | 11,060 | 15,818 | 40.6 | 44.2 | 50.2 | . 443 | 17.98 | 246 | 204 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Ian... } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 |
| Feb | 12,456 | 15,471 | 43.1 | . 44.7 | 50.2 | . 492 | 16.11 | 223 | 183 |
| Mar | 13,342 | 19,080 | 42.5 | -47.5 | 50.3 | . 401 | 17.07 | 223 | 194 |
| April | 14,720 | 20,729 | 43.1 | 47.4 | 50.3 | . 393 | 16.93 | 218 | 192. |
| May. | 14,834 | 21,034 | 44.6 | 49.3 | 50.3 | . 396 | 17.68 | 220 | 200 |
| June. | 14,984 | 21,459 | 44.2 | 49.3 | 50.3 | . 398 | 17.58 | 221 | 199 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
ment conditions point to a decrease in employment to slightly below the general level found in other textile industries, except northern cotton mills.

## Hours

The hours of the average nominal week in July, 1914 amounted to 54.2. Following the general reduction in the length of working hours during the war period, there was a drop to 50.2 hours in June, 1920, which standard remained practically stationary throughout 1920 and the first six months of 1921.

The actual week of plant operation decreased from 54.5 hours in July, 1914 to 49.5 hours in June, 1920. The industrial depression began to take effect in October, 1920, when there was a drop to 46.3 hours. The next three months showed continued depression. Beginning in February, 1921 there was a marked revival which was sustained through June.


The actual week per wage earner declined from 48.9 hours in July, 1914 to 47.8 hours in June, 1920. There was a marked decline during the summer months of 1920, apparently due to fluctuations in the amount of piece-work production. Depression commenced in November, 1920, when hours fell to 40.9. Stagnation continued through January, 1921. In February, 1921 there was a marked revival of almost three hours, which was practically sustained through April, when there was further increase in working hours. In genetal, the trend of working hours has followed that of other textiles, showing a depression in the winter of 1920-1921 with marked stimulation and revival during the spring months of 1921.

## Conclusion

1. Decline in hourly earnings from July, 1920 up to July, 1921, has been less than that found in other textiles.
2. The decrease in weekly earnings was practically on a level with that found in most basic industries, but much less than in cotton manufacturing.
3. The drop in employment has been less than in the majority of basic industries. The depression period in hours and employment was reached in the winter of 1920-1921, and the spring of 1921 witnessed a marked revival in activity.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | male Unskilled |  |  |  |  |  | male skilled |  |  |  |  |  | women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{On}_{\text {In }}^{\text {Week }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. No. } \\ \text { Eargers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Acrs. } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. Aly } \\ \text { Harrigs. } \\ \text { Eas. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avivy } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Noge } \\ \text { Eargers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Accral } \\ \text { HHs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avy. } \\ & \text { Harys. } \\ & \text { Earns. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avy. } \\ \text { Wavy } \\ \text { Eargs. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Nage } \\ \text { Earaner } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \substack{\text { Acveral } \\ \text { Acrs. }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aviry } \\ \text { Harys. } \\ \text { Earnss } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avily. } \\ & \text { Harys. } \\ & \text { Eage. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkis. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | wkty |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{gathered}$ | 1,167 | 52.2 | \$.162 | \$8.48 | 100 | 100 | 3,881 | 52.5 | 8.241 | \$12.62 | 100 | 100 | 10,986 | 47.2 | 8.159 | \$7.51 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 1,759 | 44.4 | . 473 | 21.93 21.19 | 292 | 250 | 6,385 | 47.7 | . 600 | 28.66 28.73 | 249 | 228 | 16,705 | 44.3 | . 397 | ${ }_{15}^{17.55}$ | 250 | ${ }_{23}^{234}$ |
| Aug. | 1,675 | 47.0 | . 467 | 21.93 | 288 | 259 | 5,405 | 49.0 | . 598 | 29.29 | 248 | 232 | 15,16 | 43.5 | . 375 | 16.31 | 236 | 217 |
| Sept | 1,651 | 44.6 | . 478 | 21.32 | 295 | 251 | 5,915 | 47.2 | . 603 | 28.45 | 250 | 225 | 15,257 | 43.4 | . 377 | 16.34 | 237 | 218 |
| Oct | 1,387 | 44.8 | . 469 | ${ }^{21.02}$ | 290 | 248 | 4,644 | 45.4 | 615 | 27.92 | 255 | 221 | 12,905 | 42.6 | . 376 | 15.98 | 236 | 213 |
| Nov | 1,125 | 46.4 | . 473 | 21.98 | 292 | 259 | 4,858 | 42.7 | . 604 | 25.79 | 251 | 204 | 12,859 | 39.7 | . 368 | 14.59 | 231 | 194 |
| Dec. | 1,084 | 45.2 | . 488 | 22.04 | 301 | 260 | 4,009 | 41.2 | . 618 | 25.43 | 256 | 202 | 10,725 | 40.0 | . 370 | 14.79 | 233 | 197 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 751 | 39.3 | . 434 |  | 268 | 205 | ${ }_{4,406}$ | 40.0 | . 36 | 22.74 | 236 | 180 | 10,851 | 40.4 | 339 | 13.67 | 213 | 182 |
|  | 875 | 42.3 | . 420 | 17.78 | 259 | 210 | 4,687 | 43.8 | . 548 | 23.96 | 227 | 190 | ${ }_{13,518}$ | 42.9 | . 347 | ${ }_{14}^{14.54}$ | 213 | 194 |
| April | 1,105 | 44.7 | . 391 | 17.46 | 241 | 206 | 5,218 | 42.3 | . 546 | 23.12 | 227 | 183 | 14,406 | 43. | 338 | 14.65 | 213 | 195 |
| May | 1,182 | 44.5 | . 382 | 17.01 | 236 | 201 | 5,238 | 45.9 | . 527 | 24.18 | 219 | 192 | 14,614 | 44.1 | 349 | 15.40 | 219 | 205 |
| June.. | 1,104 | 44.5 | . 396 | 17.59 | 244 | 207 | 5,352 | 46.0 | . 525 | 24.16 | 218 | 191 | 15,003 | 43.5 | 350 | 15.23 | 220 | 203 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## X

## RUBBER MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments engaged in the manufacture of rubber tires, rubber footwear, mechanical rubber goods, rubberized fabrics and sundries. Returns were received from 87 plants employing 75,679 wage earners in June, 1920. Women were employed in 70 plants. Over $40 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures in this industry were covered in this investigation. The majority of the plants were in the largest centers of rubber manufacturing. The geographical distribution within 17 states is as follows:
California.................. 1 Missouri........................... 1

Connecticut................... 9 New Jersey.......................... ${ }_{9}$
Delaware................... 1 New York..................... 10
Illinois...................... 2 Ohio............................ 18
Indiana.................... 1 Oregon....................... 2
Iowa............................ 1 Pennsylvania................... 6
Maryland.................. 1 Rhode Island.................. 5
Massachusetts............... 16 Wisconsin..................... . 3
Michigan...................... 1

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners had increased $172 \%$ in June, 1920 in comparison with July, 1914. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $13.1 \%$. The greatest amount of decrease occurred in February, when composite earnings were $14.9 \%$ less than in the preceding June. The increase between the latter period and July, 1921 was approximately $2 \%$. In June, 1920 the hourly earnings of common labor were $170 \%$ above 1914, and in the same month those of skilled labor showed an increase of $171 \%$. The peak of hourly earnings for women was reached in September, when the increase reached $142 \%$. The declines from these various peaks up to July, 1921 for the three groups were as follows: common labor, $14.7 \%$; skilled labor, $14.8 \%$; women, $14.1 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all
wage earners showed an increase of $140 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920 and declined $10.1 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921. The trough was reached in February, when they had dropped over $24 \%$ from the peak. The increase in weekly earnings from February up to July, 1921, amounted to $18.4 \%$. As there was practically no rise in hourly earnings during this period, the increase in weekly earnings was due to longer working hours.

The high point of weekly earnings of common labor was reached in July, 1920, when they had increased $142 \%$ over 1914. In June, 1920 those of skilled labor showed an increase of $139 \%$ and in July, women were receiving $118 \%$ more than in 1914. The declines of these various groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $16.1 \%$; skilled labor, $10.3 \%$; women, $17.5 \%$. In June, 1921 these three groups respectively showed percentage increases over 1914 for weekly earnings as follows: $103 \%, 114 \%$ and $80 \%$.

The trend of earnings has been dominated by industrial conditions in the automobile industry. The majority of wage schedules in this investigation are from rubber tire manufacturers whose productive activity is dependent upon conditions in the automobile industry.

## Employment

The decline in total number of wage earners employed from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $54 \%$. The trough was reached in February, 1921 when there was a decline of $60 \%$ from June, 1920, followed by an increase to May, of $34 \%$. Between May and June employment again showed a marked decrease of $14 \%$. The employment trend also is influenced by conditions in the automobile industry. The declines from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 for the three groups were as follows: common labor, $47.7 \%$; skilled labor, $53 \%$; women, $62.8 \%$. The declines to the trough in February for the groups were: common labor, $58 \%$; skilled labor, $64 \%$. The recovery from the depression period to May for common labor was $33.6 \%$, for skilled labor, $43.8 \%$, and for women, $9.7 \%$. The declines between May and June for the three groups were: common labor, $6 \%$; skilled labor, $8 \%$; women, $39 \%$. The marked decline in the latter group was due to ex-


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1914-21 } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> All <br> Establ. | Av. <br> Week <br> Pet <br> Wage <br> Earner | Av. <br> Hrs. <br> Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1914 \\ & \text { July*.. } \end{aligned}$ | 23,895 | 23,895 | 49.8 | 52.5 | 54.1 | 8.259 | \$12.90 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 57,882 | 75,679 | 44.0 | 44.5 | 50.4 | 704 | 30.97 | 272 | 240 |
| July | 54,122 | 67,803 | 43.7 | 44.2 | 50.4 | . 686 | 30.01 | 265 | 233 |
| Aug. | 45,351 | 55,182 | 43.4 | 44.4 | 50.4 | . 677 | 29.40 | 261 | 228 |
| Sept | 40,887 | 49,206́ | 43.0 | 44.1 | 50.3 | . 675 | 29.03 | 261 | 225 |
| Oct. | 35,93i | 43,709 | 42.1 | 43.9 | 50.3 | . 667 | 28.05 | 258 | 218 |
| Nov | 30,723 | 37,794 | 40.0 | 41.4 | 50.2 | 646 | 25.87 | 249 | 201 |
| Dec. | 28,040 | 33,961 | 37.9 | 39.6 | 50.2 | . 624 | 23.64 | 241 | 183 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Tan} . \end{array}$ | 26 | 32 | 38.5 | 40.8 | 50.3 | 623 | 23.96 | 241 | 186 |
| Feb. | 23,522 | 30,161 | 39.2 | 40.9 | 50.4 | . 599 | 23.50 | 231 | 182 |
| Mar | 25,019 | 32,604 | 43.0 | 44.6 | 50.4 | . 603 | 25.97 | 233 | 201 |
| April. | 26,944 | 36,929 | 44.8 | 45.8 | 50.5 | 606 | 27.15 | 234 | 210 |
| May. | 28,996 | 40,501 | 44.0 | 46.3 | 50.5 | 607 | 26.73 | 234 | 207 |
| June. | 23,632 | 34,774 | 45.5 | 46.4 | 50.5 | 612 | 27.83 | 236 | 216 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
tensive lay-offs among female wage earners engaged in manufacture of rubber footwear.

Total employment increased $142 \%$ in identical establishments in the rubber industry between July, 1914 and June, 1920.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 54.1. Following the general reduction in length of working hours during the war period, the hours dropped to 50.4 in June, 1920, the latter standard being maintained practically throughout 1920-1921.

The actual week of plant operation shows a reduction from 52.5 hours in July, 1914 to 44.5 hours in June, 1920. There was a marked decline between July and December of almost five hours in plant activity. The beginning of 1921 was marked

by a revival which continued throughout the first six months.
The actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 49.8 hours in July, 1914 to 44 hours in June, 1920. The slump in productive activity, however, began to take effect at the end of September and the trough of 37.9 hours per week was reached in December. The beginning of the year, however, showed a marked revival and in June, 1921 the average week per wage earner exceeded that of the preceding year.

## Conclusion

1. Declines in hourly earnings were slightly less than reductions in the majority of basic industries.
2. Declines in weekly earnings were considerably less, due to the revival in the spring months of 1921.
3. A total decline in employment of $54 \%$ took place during the last year, this being practically the same loss found in the automobile industry.
4. The average hours of work show a decline during the close of 1920 and a marked revival during 1921.
5. The rubber industry evidently has passed through the worst period of readjustment, although a marked slump was noted in June, 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In. | $\underset{\substack{\text { No. } \\ \text { Earners }}}{\substack{\text { Nars }}}$ | $\underset{\text { Actual }}{\text { Av. }}$Hrs. | Av. Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. <br> Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Arly. } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Actual }}{\text { Av. }}$Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{Av}} \mathrm{F}$. Earngs | Index Nos. Earniogs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wxly |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*... } \end{gathered}$ | 3,738 | 53.1 | \$.217 | \$11.54 | 100 | 100 | 15,384 | 49.4 | \$. 292 | \$14.44 | 100 | 100 | 4,777 | 48.6 | \$.185 | \$8.98 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 9,237 | 46.9 | . 587 | 27.53 | 270 | 239 | 53,475 | 43.7 | . 790 | 34.48 | 271 | 239 | 12,967 | 43.1 | . 439 | 18.94 | 237 | 211 |
| July | 8,647 | 47.9 | . 584 | 27.94 | 269 | 242 | 46,904 | 42.8 | . 775 | 33.12 | 265 | 229 | 12,252 | 44.6 | . 438 | 19.55 | 237 | 218 |
| Aug. | 7,227 | 47.2 | . 580 | 27.35 | 267 | 237 | 36,586 | 42.5 | 776 | 32.96 | 266 | 228 | 11,369 | 44.0 | . 437 | 19.24 | 236 | 214 |
| Sept. | 6,350 | 46.2 | . 563 | 26.02 | 259 | 226 | 32,206 | 42.2 | . 777 | 32.78 | 266 | 227 | 10,650 | 43.6 | . 447 | 19.49 | 242 | 217 |
| Oct | 5,682 | 45.2 | . 551 | 24.91 | 254 | 216 | 27,610 | 41.1 | . 780 | 32.12 | 267 | 222 | 10,417 | 43.0 | . 446 | 19.16 | 241 | 213 |
| Nov. | 4,723 | 45.2 | . 544 | 24.58 | 251 | 213 | 23,350 | 38.7 | . 767 | 29.67 | 263 | 205 | 9,721 | 40.8 | . 425 | 17.36 | 230 | 193 |
| Dec. | 4,099 | 41.6 | . 534 | 22.17 | 246 | 192 | 20,762 | 36.5 | . 744 | 27.15 | 255 | 188 | 9,100 | 39.5 | . 413 | 16.30 | 223 | 182 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Tan} . \end{array}$ | 3,969 | 41.3 | . 525 | 21.67 | 242 | 188 | 19,875 | 378 | 738 | 27.86 | 253 | 193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb | 3,857 | 42.3 | . 514 | 21.73 | 237 | 188 | 19,048 | 39.1 | . 702 | 27.42 | 240 | 190 | 7,256 | 38.0 | . 372 | 14.16 | 201 | 158 |
| Mar. | 4,262 | 45.3 | . 503 | 22.82 | 232 | 198 | 20,699 | 43.5 | . 702 | 30.50 | 240 | 211 | 7,643 | 40.5 | . 381 | 15.43 | 206 | 172 |
| April. | 4,818 | 45.3 | . 507 | 22.99 | 234 | 199 | 24,533 | 45.8 | . 692 | 31.72 | 237 | 220 | 7,578 | 41.2 | . 364 | 15.02 | 197 | 167 |
| May. | 5,152 | 44.9 | . 502 | 22.51 | 231 | 195 | 27,390 | 45.1 | . 685 | 30.85 | 235 | 214 | 7,959 | 39.9 | . 382 | 15.24 | 206 | 170 |
| June. | 4,829 | 46.8 | . 501 | 23.45 | 231 | 203 | 25,117 | 46.0 | . 673 | 30.93 | 231 | 214 | 4,824 | 41.9 | . 384 | 16.13 | 208 | 180 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## XI

## LEATHER TANNING AND FINISHING

The wage investigation is confined to establishments engaged in the tanning and finishing of leather hides, and does not include the manufactures of leather. Schedules were received from 75 plants, employing 11,514 wage earners in June, 1920. Twenty-four establishments employed women. Fifteen per cent of all wage earners listed in the leather tanning industry in the 1919 Census of Manufactures are included in these data. The geographical distribution, within 23 states, is as follows:
California................... 7 New Jersey......................... 4
Connecticut................. 2 New York..................... 7
Delaware.................... 1 North Carolina................ 2
Illinois........................ 3 Ohio.............................. 5
Iowa......................... 1 Oregon.......................... 1
Maine...................... 3 Pennsylvania.................. 6
Maryland..................... 1. Tennessee........................ 1
Massachusetts............... 16 Virginia........................ 1
Michigan..................... 2 Washington.................... 1
Minnesota................... 1 West Virginia................... 1
Missouri..................... 1 Wisconsin.:.................... 7
New Hampshire............... 1
There are peculiar difficulties connected with any compilation of wage data in the leather tanning industry. The great proportion of work in tanneries is chiefly of "muscle" labor requiring more or less skill. Therefore, the dividing line of occupational classification between common and skilled labor is difficult to define. In the second place, the proportion of women is small, and the data for this group, therefore, are relatively unimportant. Finally, in many plants, men are employed, in the course of one day, on both day and piece work. This combination of piece rates and per diem earnings makes average hourly earnings data of minor significance.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $164 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920.

The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $17.8 \%$. In June, 1921, the increase was still $117 \%$ over July, 1914. The peak of hourly earnings was reached in the spring and summer of 1920. Slight reductions took place in the closing months of 1920. A large decrease occurred in January, followed again by a gradual decline to the lowest point of deflation in June, 1921. In June, 1920, the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $156 \%$ over July, 1914; those of skilled labor, $167 \%$; and those of women, $200 \%$. The declines from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $16.5 \%$ for common labor, $18.4 \%$ for skilled labor, and $27.5 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase over July, 1914 for the three groups respectively were $114 \%$, $118 \%$ and $118 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $145 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, and declined from the latter period up to July, 1921, $18.6 \%$, showing a net increase in the middle of June, 1921 of $99 \%$ over July, 1914. February, 1921 was a period of depression, a decline of $20.6 \%$ being noted from the peak. The period from February up to July, 1921 marked an increase in weekly earnings. While hourly earnings declined during this period, the rise in weekly earnings is the result of an increase of over four hours in the average hours worked per wage earner.

The high point in weekly earnings for common labor was reached in July, 1920, when they stood $139 \%$ over 1914; in June for skilled labor, when they had increased $152 \%$; and also in June for women, when they were $178 \%$ higher than 1914. In June, 1921, the decline from the high point was $19.5 \%$ for common labor, $18.9 \%$ for skilled labor, $24.9 \%$ for women. The percentage increases up to July, 1921 over July, 1914 for these three groups were respectively $92 \%, 104 \%, 109 \%$.

## Employment

The total decline in employment from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $23.6 \%$. The greatest period of unemployment was in February, 1921, when a decline of $36 \%$ from the high point was reached. This period of stagnation was followed by a gradual increase of $19 \%$ up to July, 1921. The declines from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $22 \%$; skilled labor, $23 \%$; women, $39 \%$.


## Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ I_{n} \end{gathered}$ | 1914-21 <br> Establ. | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week per Earner | Av. Hours Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. Earngs. | Av: Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July**. } \end{array}$ | 8,388 | 8,388 | 53.1 | 57.2 | 58.0 | \$.217 | \$11.50 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { Tune... } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 9,262 | 11,514 | 48.4 | 51.4 | 51.1 | . 570 | 28.14 | 264 | 241 |
| Aug. | 8,840 | 10,277 | 47.9 | 50.6 | 51.1 | . 570 | 27.28 | 263 | 237 |
| Sept. | 8,248 | 9,619 | 46.9 | 50.7 | 51.2 | . 572 | 26.80 | 264 | 233 |
| Oct. | 7,628 | 8,989 | 45.6 | 49.2 | 51.2 | . 564 | 25.66 | 260 | 223 |
| Nov. | 7,151 | 8,409 | 44.8 | 49.4 | 51.2 | . 566 | 25.36 | 261 | 221 |
| Dec. | 6,886 | 8,056 | 45.0 | 49.1 | 51.2 | . 553 | 24.91 | 255 | 217 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan. . . } \end{array}$ | 6,697 | 7,769 | 44.6 | 48.1 | 51.5 | 500 | 22.28 | 230 | 194 |
| Feb. | 6,097 | 7,422 | 44.6 | 47.3 | 51.5 | 501 | 22.33 | 231 | 194 |
| Mar. | 6,458 | 7,627 | 46.6 | 49.6 | 51.5 | 495 | 23.09 | 228 | 201 |
| April. | 6,854 | 8,251 | 45.8 | 47.9 | 51.5 | . 487 | 22.36 | 224 | 194 |
| May.... | 7,062 | 8,470 | 47.5 | 49.8 | 51.5 | . 472 | 22.41 | 217 | 195 |
| June..... | 7,432 | 8,800 | 48.8 | 50.1 | 51.5 | . 470 | 22.91 | 217 | 199 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

Employment increased $19 \%$ between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In June, 1921, $11 \%$ less wage earners were employed in identical establishments than in July, 1914.

## Hours

In July, 1914, 58 hours constituted the average nominal week. Following the general reduction of working hours during the war period, there was a drop to 51.1 hours in June, 1920. This standard of hours remained practically stationary to the close of the year. In January, 1921 they increased to 51.5 hours per week, and continued so up to July, 1921.

The average actual hours of plant operation were reduced from 57.2 in July, 1914 to 50 in June, 1920. The period of greatest inactivity in the leather industry was between October and March, when the hours of plant operation averaged approxi-

mately 49 per week. The spring months of 1921 showed a marked revival, rising in June to 50.1 hours per week.

The average actual hours per wage earner showed a decline from 53.1 in July, 1914 to 48.4 in June, 1920. A somewhat irregular decline continued through February, when there was a drop to 44.6 hours per week. In June, 1921, wage earners were working longer hours than in June, 1920, pointing to a marked revival in industrial activity.

## Conclusion

1. The trough period occurred in February, 1921, followed in the spring by a gradual approach to more normal conditions of employment, earnings and production.
2. The total decline in employment was $24 \%$ from the peak up to July, 1921.
3. The decline in the total number of wage earners from July, 1914 up to July, 1921 was $11 \%$.
4. There was a decline in the average hours of the nominal week from 58 in July, 1914 to approximately 51.5 hours in 1920-1921.
5. There was less part-time operation, during the depression period than in many other basic industries.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | No. Wage Earners | Av. Acrual Hrs. | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Arly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*. } \end{array}$ | 3,638 | 55.7 | 8.201 | \$11.19 | 100 | 100 | 4,339 | 51.6 | 8.237 | 812.23 | 100 | 100 | 412 | 15.8 | \$.142 | B 6.50 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 \\ & \text { Iune } \end{aligned}$ |  | 49.2 |  | 25.33 | 256 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 4,552 | 49.2 | . 515 | 25.33 | 256 | 226 | 6,125 | 48.7 | 632 | 30.78 | 267 | 252 | 837 | 42.4 | . 426 | 18.07 | 300 | 278 |
| Aug | 4,087 | 49.5 | . 520 | 25.74 | 259 | 232 | 5,464 | 48.9 47.5 | . 628 | 30.63 29.84 | 265 | 250 | 759 | 42.2 | . 415 | 17.54 | 292 | 270 |
| Sept | 3,845 | 49.2 | . 517 | 25.45 | 257 | 227 | 5,088 | 46.2 | 633 | 29.23 | 267 | 239 | 686 | 38.9 | . 418 | 16.27 | 294 | 250 |
| Oct | 3,587 | 47.9 | . 514 | 24.58 | 256 | 220 | 4,796 | 44.4 | 624 | 27.70 | 263 | 227 | 606 | 41.1 | . 393 | 16.17 | 277 | 249 |
| Nov. | 3,330 | 47.4 | . 510 | 24.18 | 254 | 216 | 4,542 | 43.4 | 630 | 27.33 | 266 | 223 | 537 | 40.3 | . 397 | 16.01 | 280 | 246 |
| Dec. | 3,188 | 48.0 | . 497. | 23.84 | 247 | 213 | 4,373 | 43.4 | . 617 | 26.79 | 260 | 219 | 495 | 40.4 | . 378 | 15.24 | 266 | 234 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan... } \end{array}$ | 3,040 | 48.2 | . 447 | 21.55 | 222 | 193 | 4,280 | 42.6 | 559 | 23.83 | 236 | 195 | 449 | 39.2 | . 321 | 12.58 | 6 | 193 |
| Feb | 2,891 | 47.3 | . 445 | 21.03 | 221 | 188 | 4,134 | 43.4 | . 559 | 24.22 | 236 | 198 | 397 | 38.4 | . 316 | 12.14 | 223 | 193 |
| Mar. | 3,028 | 48.9 | . 441 | 21.57 | 219 | 193 | 4,203 | 45.6 | . 552 | 25.16 | 233 | 206 | 396 | 39.3 | . 322 | 12.62 | 227 | 194 |
| April | 3,198 | 48.5 | . 432 | 20.94 | 214 | 187 | 4,573 | 44.6 | . 546 | 24.34 | 230 | 199 | 480 | 40.3 | 321 | 12.93 | 226 | 199 |
| May. | 3,318 | 48.7 | . 429 | 20.92 | 213 | 187 | 4,695 | 47.0 | . 518 | 24.34 | 219 | 199 | 457 | 43.6 | 308 | 13.42 | 217 | 206 |
| June. | 3,550 | 50.0 | . 430 | 21.51 | 214 | 192 | 4,742 | 43.4 | . 516 | 24.95 | 218 | 204 | 508 | 43.9 | . 309 | 13.57 | 218 | 209 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## BOOT AND SHOE MANUFACTURING

The study of the boot and shoe industry includes estab. lishments manufacturing only leather footwear. The returns covering the manufacture of rubber footwear are included in the tabulations for the rubber industry. Schedules were received from 111 establishments, 110 of which employed women. The total number of wage earners at the high point of employment in June, 1920 was 57,451 . Approximately $23 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as being employed in this industry are covered in this report. The average sized plant in this investigation employed 518 wage earners. The data covering this industry are very representative in the coverage of wage earners involved, and in the distribution and size of establishments studied. The geographical distribution within 12 states is as follows:

| Illinois | 9 | New Jersey | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 3 | New York. . | 13 |
| Massachusetts. | 35 | Ohio. | 9 |
| Michigan. | 1 | Pennsylvania. | 8 |
| Missouri. | 10 | West Virginia | 1 |
| New Hampshire | 14 | Wisconsin. | 5 |

The plants centered chiefly in the following cities: Binghamton, N. Y.; Boston and environs; Chicago; Cincinnati; Manchester, N. H.; Philadelphia; and St. Louis.

The compilation of wage data in the boot and shoe industry, with reference to hours, is exceedingly difficult. The record of hours worked and hourly earnings is kept by very few establishments. The system of piece and day rates and task and bonus methods makes any compilation of accurate hourly data practically impossible. In this investigation, out of 111 plants, only 25 submitted sufficient data covering the actual hours of wage earners. In the statistical tables, therefore, the average hours per wage earner and the hourly earnings, both for the composite and individual groups, have been con-
fined to the records of 25 establishments only, which furnished accurate information. The rest of the data covering numbers employed, weekly earnings, etc., is from the whole group of 111 establishments.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners in 25 establishments show an increase of $112 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $7.6 \%$, the increase in June, 1921 being $96 \%$ over July, 1914. The whole trend of hourly earnings is, of course, dominated by the relation of piecework to the amount of work produced by wage earners. In actual figures, the rate for the whole group dropped 2.3 cents from June, 1920 up to July, 1921. In July, 1920, hourly earnings of common labor were $143 \%$ above 1914; in June, 1920 those of skilled labor were $110 \%$ above 1914, while the high point for hourly earnings for women was reached in October, with a peak of $132 \%$. In June, 1921, the three groups showed increases over 1914 of $95 \%, 97 \%$ and $104 \%$ respectively.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners in 111 establishments increased $109 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920 and declined $5.2 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921. The trough of decline was reached in November, 1920, when there was a reduction of $12.4 \%$ from the peak in June, 1920. The peak for male labor was reached in June, 1920, when weekly earnings of common labor were $99 \%$, and those of skilled labor $109 \%$ above 1914, and for women in March, 1921 when weekly earnings were $115 \%$ above 1914. The declines from these peaks up to July, 1921 were $5.9 \%$ for common labor, $3.9 \%$ for skilled labor, and $8.3 \%$ for women. The male groups show a decline to November, 1920, a revival in December, and continuing fluctuations until the middle of 1921 . Weekly earnings for women show a similar movement, with a peak in March, 1921. In June, 1921, the percentage increases over 1914 for these three groups were respectively $87 \%, 101 \%$ and $97 \%$.

The trend of weekly earnings is evidently not affected by changes in hourly earnings, but by the actual hours per wage earner. It is evident that wage decline in the boot and shoe industry hàs been slight and gradual. Hourly earnings havè


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\ln }^{\text {One Week }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914-21 } \\ & \text { Establ. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Per WageEarner |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nom- } \\ \text { inal } \\ \text { Week } \end{gathered}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. $\dagger$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Akly. } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ | 1ndex Nos. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{Hrly}} \mathrm{t}$ | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{gathered}$ | 41,678 | 41,678 | 49.2 | 54.6 | 54.4 | \$.260 | \$11.68 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 47,076 42,893 | 57,451 | 44.8 | 48.3 49 | 48.6 48.6 | . 533 | 24.36 | 205 | 209 |
| Aug. | 41,026 | 50,024 | 40.4 | 46.3 | 48.6 | . 528 | 23.33 | 203 | 200 |
| Sept. | 37,709 | 46,307 | 38.7 | 46.6 | 48.6 | . 517 | 22.83 | 199 | 196 |
| Oct. | 35,955 | 44,121 | 39.6 | 45.6 | 48.6 | . 552 | 21.95 | 212 | 188 |
| Nov. | 33,848 | 41,536 | 37.0 | 43.4 | 48.6 | . 538 | 21.33 | 207 | 183 |
| Dec. | 34,122 | 41,022 | 39.9 | 41.8 | 48.6 | 540 | 21.54 | 208 | 184 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 . \\ \mathrm{J} \text { an.... } \end{array}$ | 31,275 | 37,777 | 41.8 | 42.4 | 48.6 | 519 | 21.63 | 200 | 185 |
| Feb | 35,811 | 44,021 | 41.9 | 45.9 | 48.6 | . 536 | 24.06 | 206 | 206 |
| Mar | 37,066 | 45,922 | 45.3 | 45.8 | 48.6 | 519 | 24.32 | 200 | 208 |
| April. | 37,441 | 46,805 | 44.3 | 47.0 | 48.6 | 519 | 23.53 | 200 | 201 |
| May | 39,247 | 49,260 | 45.9 | 48.2 | 48.6 | . 504 | 23.23 | 194 | 199 |
| June. | 40,910 | 51,066 | 46.0 | 48.3 | 48.6 | . 510 | 23.18 | 196 | 198 |

$\dagger 25$ establishments only.
*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
been dominated by the number of hours worked. Hours, in turn, are influenced by the amount of production necessary, the basis of the industry being chiefly piecework for the production of finished stock. A decrease in the number of orders naturally makes the amount of production necessarily smaller. This, in turn, influences the number of hours to be worked and again the amount of wages earned. It is fair to say, however, that reduction may have occurred previous to June, 1920, the first month of record after July, 1914 in the present investigation. If wages were higher previous to the middle of 1920 , the amount of deflation would naturally be greater. The record as given in this investigation, however, shows a gradual slight decrease, much smaller than in other basic industries. A comparison should be made with the wages in the leather industry, which are, of course, affected by conditions in boot and shoe manufacturing.


## Employment

The decline in the total number of wage earners employed from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $11.1 \%$. The trough was reached in January, 1921 when there was a decline of $34 \%$ from the peak. The increase from the beginning of the year up to July, 1921 was $35 \%$. The trend evidently reflects the sharp decline at the beginning of the year with marked recovery to June, the loss in the entire year 1920-1921 being considerably smaller than in the great majority of basic industries. The greatest loss was among skilled wage earners, there having been a decline of approximately $12 \%$ from June. 1920.

Comparison of employment in identical establishments between July, 1914 and July, 1921 shows that employment at the latter period was approximately $2 \%$ less than in July, 1914. The industry, therefore, has practically held its own during the present industrial depression. The expansion between July, 1914 and June, 19.20 was a natural and normal growth, practically equal to the general expansion of industry during this period. On the whole, it would seem that the unemployment problem is not so severe in the boot and shoe industry as in the great majority of basic industries.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 54.4. A general reduction of working hours took place during the war period, a drop being noted to 48.6 hours per week in June, 1920, the latter standard being maintained to the middle of 1921.

The average week of plant operation closely followed the trend in the reduction of hours in the nominal week, dropping from 54.6 in July, 1914 to 48.3 in June, 1920. A gradual decline occurred in plant activity, with a marked decrease in the last months of 1920 , a minimum of 41.8 hours being reached in December. Recovery was marked during the early months of 1921, a gain of over six hours from the depression period being noted in June, 1921.

The average week per wage earner as compiled from 25 establishments follows the general trend in plant activity. The depression period, however, was reached in November, 1920 when the average wage earner worked only 37 hours per week. A gain of nine hours was noted from the latter period up to July, 1921, showing the extent of recovery in the boot and shoe industry. Too much weight, however, should not be placed upon the comparison of the average week per wage earner and plant activity. As noted above, an analysis of hours is distinctly misleading. On the whole, however, the trend in the movement of hours points to a marked recovery in the industry in the spring months of 1921.

## Conclusion

1. Decrease in weekly earnings has been slight in comparison with most basic industries. Hourly earnings have generally been maintained and weekly earnings were affected only during the depression period in the winter of 1920-1921, due to part-time operation.
2. The wage situation has been influenced more by the rate of production than by wage cutting.
3. The decline of $11 \%$ in total employment from June, 1920 up to July, 1921, indicates a considerably smaller reduction than in the great majority of basic industries.
4. The number of people employed remained practically constant between July, 1914 and July, 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { In }}{\substack{\text { One Week } \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Warers } \\ \text { Warners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \\ + \end{gathered}$$\dagger$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \\ \dagger \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \\ \dagger \end{gathered}$ | Av.Hrly.Earng $\underset{\dagger}{\text { Earngs. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Wrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{array} . \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Actual Hrs.$i$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hily. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \\ \text { I } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earoings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\dagger}{\text { Hrly }}$ | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\dagger}{\text { Hrly. }}$ | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | $\underset{+}{\text { Hrly. }}$ | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*} . . \end{gathered}$ | 900 | 52.2 | \$.182 | \$ 9.76 | 100 | 100 | 25,560 | 48.4 | \$.306 | \$13.37 | 100 | 100 | 15,218 | 50.4 | \$.191 | \$8.95 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 1,288 | 47.1 | 441 | 19.41 | 242 | 199 | 34,868 | 44.8 | . 644 | 27.95 | 210 | 209 | 21,295 | 44.5 | . 376 | 18.71 | 197 | 209 |
| July. | 988 | 39.1 | . 443 | 17.09 | 243 | 175 | 31,260 | 41.9 | . 632 | 27.28 | 207 | 204 | 19,035 | 42.6 | . 364 | 18.36 | 191 | 205 |
| Aug. | 1,121 | 35.4 | . 412 | 16.47 | 226 | 169 | 30,548 | 41.0 | . 617 | 26.91 | 202 | 201 | 18,355 | 40.0 | . 388 | 17.82 | 203 | 199 |
| Sept | 1,021 | 38.9 | . 403 | 16.99 | 221 | 174 | 28,709 | 38.8 | . 603 | 26.05 | 197 | 195 | 16,577 | 38.4 | . 376 | 17.61 | 197 | 197 |
| Oct | 870 | 43.3 | 434 | 17.58 | 238 | 180 | 26,914 | 40.8 | . 624 | 25.10 | 204 | 188 | 16,377 | 37.2 | . 444 | 16.99 | 232 | 190 |
| Nov | 862 | 40.2 | 442 | 17.19 | 243 | 176 | 25,678 | 36.9 | . 602 | 24.05 | 197 | 180 | 14,996 | 36.9 | . 433 | 16.91 | 227 | 189 |
| Dec. | 874 | 46.3 | . 423 | 18.40 | 232 | 189 | 24,972 | 38.6 | . 639 | 24.20 | 209 | 181 | 15,176 | 41.2 | . 400 | 17.34 | 209 | 194 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \text { Jan..... } \end{gathered}$ | 866 | 47.4 | . 385 | 17.89 | 212 | 183 | 23,004 | 41.5 | 612 | 24.58 | 200 | 184 | 13,907 | 41.6 | 390 | 16.97 | 204 | 190 |
| Feb | 1,007 | 46.8 | . 380 | 17.83 | 209 | 183 | 26,863 | 40.9 | . 633 | 27.33 | 207 | 204 | 16,151 | 42.9 | . 409 | 18.99 | 214 | 212 |
| Mar | 1,087 | 48.2 | . 383 | 17.41 | 210 | 178 | 27,702 | 44.9 | . 624 | 27.76 | 204 | 208 | 17,133 | 45.6 | . 382 | 19.19 | 200 | 215 |
| April. | 1,065 | 46.6 | . 373 | 17.19 | 205 | 176 | 28,228 | 43.0 | . 612 | 26.91 | 200 | 201 | 17,516 | 43.3 | . 392 | 18.46 | 205 | 206 |
| May | 1,106 | 49.5 | . 344 | 17.18 | 189 | 176 | 29,414 | 45.6 | . 606 | 26.77 | 198 | 200 | 18,740 | 46.0 | . 381 | 18.03 | 199 | 202 |
| June. | 1,136 | 48.6 | . 355 | 18.26 | 195 | 187 | 30,523 | 46.2 | . 603 | 26.91 | 197 | 201 | 19,407 | 45.7 | . 389 | 17.60 | 204 | 197 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
5. The hours of the nominal week were reduced during the war period, but remained practically stationary during 19201921.
6. The average week of plant operation showed a marked reduction to the trough period in the winter of 1920 and a distinctive advance during the spring months of 1921.
7. Indications point to a fairly healthy condition of the industry, with slight reductions in employment and in hourly and weekly earnings.

## XIII

## MEAT PACKING

The wage investigation in the meat packing industry includes those establishments engaged in the slaughtering, dressing and packing of meats. Wage statistics were compiled from 54 plants, employing 37,098 wage earners in June, 1920. Thirty-six of the establishments employed women. The data are representative of the industry, covering ten of the largest plants in the Chicago stock yards, and a good selection of independent plants scattered through the country. Over $22 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as being employed in the meat packing industry are included in this report. The geographical distribution of plants within 24 states is as follows:

| Alabama. | 1 | Minnesota | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 1 | Missouri | 2 |
| Colorado. | 1 | New Jersey | 2 |
| Delaware | 1 | New York. | 2 |
| Illinois. | 12 | Ohio. | 6 |
| Indiana | 2 | Oklahoma |  |
| Iowa. | 2 | Pennsylvania. | 5 |
| Kansas | 2 | Rhode Island. | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | Tennessee. | 1 |
| Maine. | 1 | Texas | 1 |
| Massachusetts. | 1 | West Virginia | 2 |
| Michigan. | 1 | Wisconsin. | 2 |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $174 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $15.3 \%$, when the net increase was $132 \%$ over July, 1914. The peak was reached in the summer of 1920, and was generally maintained until toward the close of the year. In March, 1921 a marked decline was shown in hourly earnings. This was the result of the $12 \frac{1}{2} \%$ to $15 \%$ wage reduction made in the Chicago meat packing industry. The minimum wage in this locality was cut from 53 to 45 cents an hour. As ten of the largest companies included in the present investigation
are Chicago meat packing houses, the entire wage curves may be said to be affected by this local situation. The drop in weekly earnings is also due to the wage cut made at this time.
In June, 1920 hourly earnings of common labor had increased $199 \%$ over July, 1914; those of skilled labor, $156 \%$; and of women, $239 \%$. The decline from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $17.1 \%$ for common labor, $15.2 \%$ for skilled labor, and $19.1 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentage increases over July, 1914 for the three groups were respectively $148 \%, 117 \%$ and $174 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $136 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920, and declined from the latter period up to July, 1921, $16.4 \%$, showing a net increase in the middle of June, 1921 of $97 \%$ over July, 1914.

At their respective high points in September, 1920 and June, 1920, weekly earnings of common labor were $160 \%$, and of skilled labor, $120 \%$ above 1914. In October weekly earnings of women had increased $202 \%$. In June, 1921 the declines from the high point were $18.9 \%$ for common labor, $16.3 \%$ for skilled labor and $21.7 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase over July, 1914 for these three groups were respectively, $111 \%, 84 \%$ and $137 \%$.

## Employment

The total decline in employment from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $22.7 \%$. The trend of employment shows a gradual decrease of $28 \%$ from June, 1920 to April, 1921, followed by a rise of $8 \%$ to June. The declines from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 by groups, were as follows: unskilled labor, $24 \%$; skilled labor, $17 \%$; women, $38 \%$.

The increase in employment in identical establishments from July, 1914 to June, 1920 was $41 \%$. The comparison of June, 1921 and July, 1914 shows a composite increase of $8.4 \%$ in the total numbers employed.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 58.8. Corresponding with the general reduction of working


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | 1914-21 Establ. | Total All Establ | Av. <br> Week per Wage Earners | Av. <br> Hrs. <br> Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} . . \end{gathered}$ | 22,188 | 22,188 | 56.6 | 58.6 | 58.8 | 8.215 | \$12.18 | 100 | 100 |
| $1920$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 234 |
| July. | 31,400 31,583 | $37,09 \varepsilon$ 37,064 | 48.6 | 49.0 | 51.8 | 588 | 28.56 28.66 | 273 | 234 |
| Aug | 31,637 | 37,007 | 47.6 | 48.3 | 51.8 | 582 | 27.69 | 271 | 228 |
| Sept. | 31,084 | 36,34E | 49.1 | 48.6 | 51.8 | 581 | 28.55 | 270 | 234 |
| Oct. | 31,013 | 36,264 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 51.8 | . 578 | 28.53 | 269 | 234 |
| Nov | 29,843 | 35,177 | 48.8 | 48.9 | 51.8 | 584 | 28.50 | 272 | 234 |
| Dec. | 28,962 | 34,240 | 47.0 | 47.8 | 51.8 | . 579 | 27.22 | 269 | 224 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan. . . } \end{array}$ | 26,516 | 31,673 | 48.2 | 48.5 | 52.0 | 570 | 27.45 | 265 | 226 |
| Feb. | 26,406 | 31,402 | 46.2 | 47.6 | 52.0 | 572 | 26.43 | 266 | 217 |
| Mar | 24,542 | 29,242 | 47.2 | 47.9 | 51.9 | 523 | 24.66 | 243 | 203 |
| April. | 22,203 | 26,544 | 47.2 | 47.9 | 51.9 | 510 | 24.07 | 238 | 199 |
| May. | 23,500 | 28,073 | 46.3 | 47.9 | 51.9 | . 507 | 23.47 | 236 | 193 |
| June. | 24,052 | 28,685 | 48.2 | 48.6 | 51.9 | . 498 | 23.9 f | 232 | 197 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
hours during the war period, there was a drop to 51.8 hours per week in June, 1920. This standard of hours remained practically stationary to the middle of June, 1921.

The trend of actual average hours of plant operation shows a reduction from 58.6 in July, 1914 to 49 in June, 1920. The remainder of the trend shows fluctuations, but, on the whole, the actual hours of plant operation have been close to the average hours of the nominal week. The meat packing industry has been little affected, therefore, by the economic depression existing in basic industries. Demand for meat and meat products continued, even though industry in general was passing through a period of retrenchment.

The average actual hours per wage earner showed a decline from 56.6 in July, 1914 to 48.6 in June, 1920. This obviously followed the trend in reduction of hours in plant operation and hours of the nominal week. The months of 1920 and 1921

show a general uniformity except in the month of February, 1921, when a low point of 46.2 hours was reached. The irregularity in the spring months was probably reflective of part-time operations and labor conditions existing in some of the larger companies. On the whole, hours in the meat packing industry show only a slight decline in comparison with the large reduction of hours in metal working trades and textiles during this period.

## Conclusion

1. General wage reduction is approximately on the same level with many other basic industries.
2. Employment declined $23 \%$ from the peak up to July, 1921.
3. The total number of wage earners increased $8 \%$ from July, 1914 up to July, 1921.
4. The average hours of the nominal week declined from 58.8 in July, 1914 to approximately 52 hours in 1920-1921.
5. Plant activity reflects a small amount of part-time operation during the depression period in comparison with other basic industries.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*... } \end{gathered}$ | 8,434 | 56.2 | \$.182 | \$10.24 | 100 | 100 | 11,055 | 57.5 | $\$ .259$ | \$14.93 | 100 | 100 | 2,699 | 54.3 | \$.128 | $\$ 6.95$ | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. . } \end{array}$ | 15,467 | 48.4 | . 545 | 26.34 | 299 | 257 | 17,047 | 49.4 | . 664 | 32.79 | 256 | 220 | 4,584 | 46.9 | . 434 | 20.36 | 339 | 3 |
| July. | 14,900 | 48.3 | . 544 | 26.25 | 299 | 256 | 17,623 | 49.3 | . 664 | 32.74 | 256 | 219 | 4,541 | 47.9 | . 432 | 20.73 | 338 | 298 |
| Aug. | 14,705 | 47.4 | . 542 | 25.72 | 298 | 251 | 17,818 | 48.0 | . 652 | 31.25 | 252 | 209 | 4,484 | 46.9 | . 428 | 20.07 | 334 | 289 |
| Sept | 14,442 | 49.2 | . 542 | 26.66 | 298 | 260 | 17,466 | 49.3 | . 652 | 32.12 | 252 | 215 | 4,440 | 48.2 | . 429 | 20.65 | 335 | 297 |
| Oct | 14,724 | 48.9 | . 538 | 26.28 | 296 | 257 | 17,481 | 49.9 | . 644 | 32.18 | 249 | 216 | 4,059 | 48.6 | . 432 | 21.00 | 338 | 302 |
| Nov. | 14,076 | 48.9 | . 535 | 26.13 | 294 | 255 | 17,316 | 49.9 | . 655 | 32.19 | 253 | 216 | 3,785 | 47.2 | . 433 | 20.46 | 338 | 294 |
| Dec. | 13,848 | 47.2 | . 536 | 25.11 | 294 | 245 | 16,919 | 47.7 | . 637 | 30.38 | 246 | 203 | 3,473 | 46.2 | . 427 | 19.69 | 334 | 283 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Ian} . \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 288 | 244 |  | 48.8 |  | 30.86 | 244 |  |  | 46.7 | 426 |  |  |  |
| Feb | 12,721 | 46.1 | . 524 | 24.95 24.00 | 286 | 234 | 15,795 | 48.8 46.9 | .632 .637 | 30.86 29.88 | 246 | 200 | 2,957 | 46.7 43.1 | . 426 | 19.89 | 333 | 286 263 |
| Mar. | 11,844 | 47.0 | . 476 | 22.38 | 262 | 219 | 14,645 | 47.5 | . 588 | 27.93 | 227 | 187 | 2,753 | 45.9 | . 371 | 17.06 | 290 | 245 |
| April | 10,659 | 46.8 | . 472 | 22.12 | 260 | 216 | 13,387 | 47.6 | . 568 | 27.04 | 219 | 181 | 2,498 | 46.4 | . 353 | 16.39 | 276 | 236 |
| May. | 11,259 | 46.6 | . 461 | 21.51 | 253 | 210 | 14,092 | 46.0 | . 575 | 26.46 | 222 | 177 | 2,722 | 46.0 | . 350 | 16.08 | 273 | 231 |
| June. | 11,717 | 47.8 | . 452 | 21.61 | 248 | 211 | 14,115 | 48.7 | . 563 | 27.43 | 217 | 184 | 2,853 | 46.9 | . 351 | 16.44 | 274 | $\cdot 237$ |

*1914-1921 establishments oniv. See pp. 3, 5.

## XIV

## CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING

## A. Chemicals

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments engaged in the manufacture of acids, salts, dye stuffs and other chemical products. A separate section has been devoted to an analysis of wage conditions in fertilizer manufacturing. Returns were received from 83 plants, employing 22,450 wage earners in July, 1920. Women were employed in 21 of these plants. Over $34 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as then employed in this industry are covered in this investigation. The data are representative as to wage earners, distribution and size of establishments involved. The geographical distribution within 22 states and the District of Columbia is as follows:

Alabama
1 Massachusetts. ............... . . 5
California..................... 3 Michigan......................... . . . 4
Colorado.
1 Missouri. 2
Connecticut 1 New Jersey ..... 17
Delaware 1 New York. ..... 13
District of Columbia 1 North Carolina ..... 2
Georgia 1 Ohio ..... 5
Illinois. 2 Pennsylvania ..... 8
Indiana 2 Rhode Island ..... 2
Kansas. 2 West Virginia ..... 4
Kentucky
. ..... 2

5
Maryland................... 2

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $153 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $15.8 \%$. At that time the increase was $113 \%$ over 1914. The trend reflects a gradual decline throughout the entire period, the most severe reduction in hourly earnings occurring between February and March, 1921.

In July, 1920 the hourly earnings of the male labor group showed increases above 1914 of $164 \%$ for common labor and
$139 \%$ for skilled labor. The high point of hourly earnings for women was reached in June, 1920, when the increase over 1914 was $156 \%$. The percentages of decrease for the three groups from these various peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $17.8 \%$; skilled labor, $13.7 \%$; women, $12.9 \%$. In June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were $117 \%$, those of skilled labor $106 \%$, and those of women, $123 \%$ over 1914.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $134 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920, and declined $19.6 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving a net increase of $88 \%$ over July, 1914. The trend shows a gradual decline since the peak. The reduction of hourly earnings has influenced the general tendency more than fluctuations in working hours.

The peak of weekly earnings of common labor was reached in August, 1920, when the increase over 1914 was $141 \%$; in September, 1920 those of skilled labor showed an increase of $130 \%$, and in June and in September, women were receiving $103 \%$ more weekly wages than in 1914. The declines in these groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $19.4 \%$; skilled labor, $21.7 \%$; women, $7.7 \%$. At the latter period the percentages of increase for the three groups were respéctively $94 \%, 80 \%$, and $88 \%$.

There was a slight rise in weekly earnings for all groups between May and June, 1921, due to longer working hours.

## Employment

From July, 1920 up to July, 1921 the decline in the total number of wage earners employed was $46 \%$. Employment began to decrease in August, followed by slight declines through November. Beginning in December, more severe reductions took place, and the remainder of the period up to July, 1921 has seen a continual decrease. The percentages of decline from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 for these three groups were as follows: common labor, $48 \%$; skilled labor, $43 \%$; women, $41 \%$. The severe decline in employment is due to the cessation of demand for the products of this industry during the period of industrial depression.

Between July, 1914 and June, 1920 the increase in employment in identical establishments was $79 \%$. In June, 1921,


## Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | 1914-21 Establ. | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hrs. <br> Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | 1ndex Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July**. } \end{gathered}$ | 9,971 | 9,971 | 55.6 | 113.4 | 56.8 | \$.238 | \$13.26 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 17,830 | 22,242 | 50.9 | 113.0 | 53.4 | . 594 | 30.24 | 250 | 228 |
| July | 18,055 | 22,450 | 51.1 | 112.3 | 53.4 | . 603 | 30.77 | 253 | 232 |
| Aug. | 17,917 | 22,052 | 51.4 | 116.1 | 53.4 | . 602 | 30.91 | 253 | 233 |
| Sept. | 17,987 | 21,648 | 51.6 | 115.7 | 53.4 | . 600 | 30.97 | 252 | 234 |
| Oct. | 18,535 | 21,823 | 51.9 | 116.5 | 53.4 | 593 | 30.82 | 249 | 232 |
| Nov. | 17,273 | 20,194 | 49.1 | 114.9 | 53.4 | . 594 | 29.13 | 250 | 220 |
| Dec. | 14,062 | 16,505 | 46.8 | 111.9 | 53.4 | . 591 | 27.68 | 248 | 209 |
| $192$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 239 | 197 |
| Jan. | 11,973 | 14,024 | 46.3 | 108.1 | 53.4 53.3 | . 558 | 25.55 | 232 | 193 |
| Mar | 11,250 | 13,182 | 48.5 | 107.4 | 53.3 | . 517 | 25.08 | 217 | 189 |
| April | 10,645 | 12,414 | 48.5 | 108.9 | 53.0 | . 516 | 25.03 | 217 | 189 |
| May. | 10,749 | 12,272 | 48.0 | 107.2 | 53.0 | . 512 | 24.61 | 215 | 186 |
| June. | 10,514 | 12,122 | 49.0 | 110.7 | 53.0 | . 508 | 24.90 | 213 | 188 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
employment in identical establishments had almost returned to the 1914 level.

## Hours

Average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 56.8. Following the general reduction of working hours during the war period, the hours dropped to 53.4 in June, 1920, the latter standard being maintained practically to March, 1921. At that time there was a further drop to 53 hours per week, which was maintained through June.

The actual week of plant operation in this two-shift industry shows a reduction from 113.4 hours in July, 1914 to 113 hours in June, 1920. Plant activity was well sustained in 1920, but declined severely in the spring months of 1921.

The actual week per wage earner declined from 55.6 hours in July, 1914 to 50.9 hours in June, 1920. There was a slight increase in working hours during the next month, but in No-

vember declines again took place. In January, 1921 the low point of 46 hours per week was reached. Conditions improved slightly in February. From March up to July, working hours increased to an average of approximately $481 / 2$ hours per week, showing a return to more normal productive activity.

## Conclusion

Investigation indicates gradual decline in weekly and hourly earnings and a tendency to severe reductions in employment rather than curtailment of manufacturing hours. Specifically, the report shows:

1. Decrease in both weekly and hourly earnings has been on the general level with the average found in other basic industries.
2. A severe decline in total employment of $46 \%$ has been caused by cessation in demand during the depression period.
3. The depression period occurred at the beginning of 1921, with marked revival in working hours toward the middle of the year.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | Male unskilled |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { In }}{\text { One Wek }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Nage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av, } \\ \text { Haly, } \\ \text { Earngs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avv. } \\ & \text { Ekriygs. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Nage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Arly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wry. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Wry. } \\ & \text { Eargg. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} . \ldots . \end{gathered}$ | 5,259 | 56.1 | 5.217 | \$12.17 | 100 | 100 | 4,281 | 55.3 | 8.276 | \$15.24 | 100 | 100 | 431 | 52.7 | \$.133 | \$ 7.00 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{gathered}$ | 10,991 | 50.7 | . 556 | 28.15 | 256 | 231 | 10,144 | 52.1 | . 658 | 34.25 | 238 | 225 | 1,107 | 41.8 | -340 |  | 256 | 203 |
| July. | 11,150 | 50.7 | . 572 | 28.97 | 264 | 238 | 10,133 | 52.7 | . 659 | 34.73 | 239 | 228 | 1,167 | 40.6 | -340 | 14.21 | 256 | 203 |
| Aug. | 10,935 | 51.1 | 574 | 29.37 | 264 | 241 | - ${ }^{10,926}$ | 52.7 | . 658 | 34.66 | 238 | 227 | 1,191 | 42.6 | . 323 | 13.77 | 243 | 197 |
| Sept. | 10,824 | 50.7 | . 573 | 29.03 | 264 | 239 | 9,691 | 53.7 | . 654 | 35.08 | 237 | 230 | 1,133 | 42.9 | . 332 | 14.23 | 250 | 203 |
| Oct. | 10,957 | 51.5 | . 567 | 29.19 | 261 | 240 | 9,597 | 53.6 | . 651 | 34.88 | 236 | 229 | 1,269 | 43.4 | . 326 | 14.17 | 245 | 202 |
| Nov. | 9,91ع | 48.6 | . 566 | 27.48 | 261 | 226 | 9,127 | 50.4 | . 651 | 32.82 | 236 | 215 | 1,149 | 42.2 | . 333 | 14.04 | 250 | 201 |
|  | 8,041 | 47.3 | . 562 | 26.59 | 259 | 218 | 7,466 | 48.0 | . 647 | 31.05 | 234 | 204 | 998 | 34.8 | . 323 | 11.23 | 243 | 160 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \text { January. } \end{gathered}$ | 6,729 | 47.6 | . 537 | 25.59 | 247 | 210 | 6.521 |  | 627 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb.... | 6,604 | 48.0 | . 521 | 24.98 | 240 | 205 | 6,258 | 45.3 | . 627 | 28.30 | 227 | 186 | 774 | 39.1 | . 323 | 12.62 | 243 | 180 |
| Mar. | 6,350 | 50.1 | . 487 | 24.38 | 224 | 200 | 6,048 | 4 | . 577 | 27.31 | 224 | 189 | 830 | 42.7 | 310 | 13.23 | 233 | 189 |
| April. | 5,886 | 49.6 | 485 | 24.06 | 223 | 198 | 5,765 | 48.0 | . 577 | 27.61 | 209 | 181 | 763 | 44.7 | . 203 | 13.10 | 220 | 193 |
| May. | 5,786 | 48.9 | . 482 | 23.54 | 222 | 193 | 5,746 | 47.8 | . 569 | 27.21 | 206 | 179 | 740 | 43.4 | . 298 | 12.91 | 224 | 184 |
| June. | 5,730 | 50.2 | 472 | 23.67 | 217 | 194 | 5,734 | 48.3 | . 569 | 27.47 | 206 | 180 | 658 | 44.4 | . 296 | 13.14 | 223 | 188 |

The wage investigation in this industry is confined to establishments manufacturing agricultural chemicals and fertilizers. The conditions in these branches of the chemical industry are so different from those in other chemical manufacturing that separate classification was made. The fertilizer manufacturing industry is generally confined to plants in southern states employing a large proportion of common labor. In addition, seasonal fluctuations are marked in this industry. A total of 66 establishments was covered, employing 8,522 wage earners at the high point in August, 1920. The number of female wage earners was not tabulated, as the total number of this group is relatively small. Over $37 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1914 Census of Manufactures in this industry are covered in this investigation. The geographical distribution within 20 states is as follows:

| Alabama | 3 | Michigan. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arkansas. | 1 | New Hampshire. |  |
| California. | 3. | New Jersey..... |  |
| Connecticut | 1 | North Carolina | 7 |
| Florida. | 4 | Ohio. | 7 |
| Georgia | 14 | Pennsylvania. | 1 |
| Illinois. | 1 | South Carolina | 7 |
| Indiana | 1 | Tennessee. | 1 |
| Louisiana. | 1 | Texas | 1 |
| Maryland | 3 | Virginia... |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $135 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $28.7 \%$, leaving in June, 1921 a net increase of $67 \%$ above 1914 . The trend of hourly earnings shows a well-sustained level through November, 1920. Beginning in December, wage cutting began to take effect, with more severe reductions continuing in 1921. A slight increase occurred between March and June, 1921.

The peak of hourly earnings for common labor was reached in September, 1920, when there was an increase of $133 \%$ above 1914, while those of skilled labor were $161 \%$ higher in August, 1920. The percentages of decline from these peaks up to July, 1921 were, for common labor, $35 \%$ and for skilled
lahor, $20.4 \%$. In the middle of June, 1921, common labor was still $52 \%$ above 1914 and skilled labor $108 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $127 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920 and declined $34.2 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving a net increase of $49 \%$. Weekly earnings maintained a high level through October, 1920. Beginning in November, due to reductions in both hourly earnings and working hours, decreases began to occur. At the beginning of 1921 these became more severe and continued even more rapidly during the early months of the spring. There was a slight revival in May and June, due to an increase in both hourly earnings and working hours.

The peak of weekly earnings for common labor was reached in July, 1920, when there was shown an increase of $121 \%$ above 1914. Wages declined $40.4 \%$ from this point up to July, 1921. At this time they were $32 \%$ above 1914. The peak for skilled labor was reached in August, 1920 when there was indicated an increase of $172 \%$ above 1914. Wages remained practically stationary until November, when the general decline began, which continued up to July, 1921, amounting to $25.6 \%$. In June, 1921 wages for the skilled labor group were $103 \%$ above 1914.

## Employment

The total decline in the number of wage earners employed from August, 1920 up to July, 1921 was approximately $65.9 \%$. A steady decline occurred to February, 1921. In March there was a marked increase in employment, due to seasonal conditions, followed by a steady decline to June, 1921, amounting to $62.1 \%$. The percentages of decline from the peak in employment were, for common labor, $69 \%$, and for skilled labor, $50.6 \%$. Comparison of employment in identical establishments between July, 1914 and August, 1920 shows a $55.3 \%$ increase. In June, 1921, approximately $38.2 \%$ fewer wage earners were employed than in July, 1914.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 amounted to 60. A small decrease took place in the following years, so


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wages } \\ & \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ 1 \mathrm{ln} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1914-21}{\text { Establ. }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Alal } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Week } \\ \text { Per } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earner } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nom- } \begin{array}{c} \text { NomaI } \\ \text { inaek } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Wk}}{\mathrm{Av}} .$Earngs. | Index Nos.Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{array}$ | 3,267 | 3,267 | 55.8 | 59.0 | 60.0 | 8.202 | \$11.26 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 3,976 | 6,252 | 53.4 | 56.7 | 58.4 | 466 | 24.87 | 231 | 220 |
| July | 4,146 | 6,865 | 54.5 | 58.4 | 58.4 | . 469 | 25.58 | 232 | 227 |
| Aug. | 5,074 | 8,522 | 52.1 | 57.0 | 58.4 | . 470 | 24.51 | 233 | 217 |
| Sept. | 4,716 | 7,999 | 52.7 | 56.5 | 58.4 | . 474 | 25.02 | 235 | 222 |
| Oct. | 4,349 | 7,329 | 53.2 | 55.5 | 58.3 | . 467 | 24.85 | 231 | 221 |
| Nov. | 3,996 | 6,809 | 51.2 | 56.4 | 58.2 | . 457 | 23.47 | 226 | 208 |
| Dec. | 3,964 | 6,286 | 53.4 | 55.7 | 58.2 | . 437 | 23.36 | 216 | 207 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 3,498 | 5,421 | 51.4 | 57.2 | 58.2 | . 388 | 19.90 | 192 | 176 |
|  | 3,673 | 5,520 | 51.2 | 56.5 | 58.2 | . 365 | 18.70 | 181 | 166 |
| March | 4,625 | 7,667 | 49.4 | 55.5 | 58.1 | 325 | 16.06 | 161 | 142 |
| April. | 3,637 | 6,005 | 48.1 | 55.0 | 58.1 | 328 | 15.77 | 162 | 140 |
| May. | 2,339 | 3,372 | 51.0 | 57.2 | 58.0 | 336 | 17.13 | 166 | 152 |
| June. | 2,018 | 2,908 | 49.8 | 52.5 | 58.0 | . 338 | 16.84 | 167 | 149 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
that in June, 1920 the average hours were 58.4. In June, 1921 the nominal week was 58 hours.

The average week of plant operation decreased from 59 hours in July, 1914 to 56.7 hours in June, 1921. The slight stimulus in employment in the summer of 1920 also shows in the rise in plant hours. Beginning with October, however, there was a slight tendency toward decrease in plant activity, but, generally speaking, the level of plant activity has been well sustained through the period of industrial depression. A large reduction occurred between May and June, 1921, amounting to over $41 / 2$ hours.

The average week per wage earner amounted to 55.8 hours in July, 1914. In June, 1920 there was a decrease to 53.4 hours. Declines were noted in August and September, 1920, but the average working week was well maintained through 1920 and the opening months of 1921. The depression, however, began

to take effect in March and April, followed by a revival in May and a recession in June, 1921.

## Conclusion

1. The decline in hourly earnings has been far more extensive than in chemical manufacturing, and considerably above the average in other basic industries.
2. The decline in weekly earnings has been due not so much to a decrease in working hours as to wage reductions. The fertilizer industry has been very directly affected by industrial depression. The early deflation of farm products curtailed the buying power and credit of farmers, who have not been purchasing as much as in former years. The consequence was an early cessation of demand for agricultural chemicals. The tendency has been for reduction in employment rather than a curtailment of plant activity. The workers who have been retained upon the payroll have also worked fairly constant hours.
3. While this industry has been permanently affected by industrial conditions, the indirect effect of seasonal fluctuations should also be considered. These are shown in the irregularities in employment and hours of plant activity.
Classified Payroll Data

| $\frac{\text { PERIOD }}{\substack{\text { One Week } \\ \mathbf{I n}_{n}}}$ | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av.Hrly.Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*..... } \end{array}$ | 2,190 | 55.0 | \$. 192 | \$10.54 | 100 | 100 | 1,077 | 57.5 | \$. 223 | \$12.84 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. . . } \end{array}$ | 4,921 | 51.6 | . 441 | 22.75 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July. | 4,495 | 51.6 52.7 | . 442 | 23.32 | 230 | 221 | 1,331 1,370 | 60.1 61.9 | .545 .560 | 32.74 34.66 | 244 | 255 |
| Aug. | 7,103 | 50.6 | . 443 | 22.43 | 231 | 213 | 1,419 | 60.0 | . 583 | 34.98 | 261 | 272 |
| Sept. | 6,568 | 51.2 | . 448 | 22.94 | 233 | 218 | 1,431 | 60.1 | . 576 | 34.61 | 258 | 270 |
| Oct. | 5,896 | 51.8 | . 438 | 22.69 | 228 | 215 | 1,433 | 59.1 | . 575 | 33.97 | 258 | 265 |
| Nov. | 5,423 | 49.5 | . 423 | 20.95 | 220 | 199 | 1,386 | 58.1 | . 573 | 33.31 | 258 | 259 |
| Dec. | 4,937 | 52.1 | . 403 | 20.98 | 210 | 199 | 1,349 | 58.3 | . 550 | 32.06 | 247 | 250 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 4,201 | 50.0 | . 346 | 17.27 | 180 | 164 | 1,220 | 56.2 | . 516 | 29.00 | 231 | 226 |
| Feb. | 4,387 | 49.6 | . 323 | 16.02 | 168 | 152 | 1,133 | 57.4 | . 507 | 29.11 | 227 | 227 |
| March | 6,541 | 47.8 | . 290 | 13.87 | 151 | 132 | 1,126 | 58.8 | . 491 | 28.85 | 220 | 225 |
| April. | 5,029 | 46.6 | . 291 | 13.57 | 152 | 129 | 976 | 55.7 | . 487 | 27.13 | 218 | 211 |
| May. | 2,583 | 49.5 | . 292 | 14.48 | 152 | 137 | 789 | 55.9 | . 462 | 25.82 | 207 | 201 |
| June. | 2,200 | 47.8 | . 291 | 13.90 | 152 | 132 | 708 | 56.1 | . 464 | 26.01 | 208 | 203 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## XV

## PAINT AND VARNISH MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in this industry covers plants engaged in the manufacture of white lead, colors, paint and varnish. Returns were received from 58 plants, 29 of which employed women. The total number of wage earners covered at the high point in August, 1920 was 3,978 . The coverage represents over $17 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures, as then employed in this industry. The geographical distribution within 15 states is as follows:


## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $112 \%$ from July, 1914 to July, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $8.8 \%$. In June, 1921, hourly earnings stood $94 \%$ over July, 1914. The decline throughout 1920-21 has been moderate and gradual. The peak for male labor was reached in July, 1920, when common labor showed an increase of $119 \%$ and skilled labor $102 \%$ over 1914. The peak for women was reached in January, 1921, when the increase over July, 1914 reached $105 \%$. The declines for these groups from the peak months up to July, 1921 were $8.9 \%$ for common labor, $10.6 \%$ for skilled labor and $2.5 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase above July, 1914 for these three groups were respectively $99 \%, 81 \%$ and $100 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $101 \%$ between July, 1914 and July,
1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921, amounted to $12.6 \%$. The period has been characterized by gradual and moderate declines resulting more from reduction in working hours than from wage decreases. In June, 1921, the net increase over 1914 amounted to $75 \%$.
The high point of weekly earnings for common labor was reached in July, 1920 when there was an increase of $110 \%$, and in September, 1920 for skilled labor with an increase of $87 \%$ over July, 1914. The peak for women was not reached until November, when their weekly earnings had increased $92 \%$ over 1914. The declines for each of these groups from the peak months up to July, 1921 were $13.5 \%$ for common labor, $13.3 \%$ for skilled labor, and $5.8 \%$ for women. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase above 1914 for each of these groups were respectively $82 \%, 62 \%$ and $81 \%$.

Decline in wages has been moderate, with gradual decreases occurring throughout the period of the investigation. The reduction of both hourly and weekly earnings has been less than the average in other basic industries.

## Employment

Total employment decreased $31.3 \%$ from August, 1920, up to July, 1921. The trough period was reached in March and a slight increase occurred between the latter period and the end of 1921. Employment has been at least indirectly affected by stagnation in demand for the products of this industry, caused by conditions in the building trades, and by a stoppage of general domestic consumption.

The total number of employees in identical establishments increased $71 \%$ between July, 1914, and June, 1920. In June, $1921,35 \%$ more employees were occupied in identical establishments than in July, 1914. Comparison between the Census of 1914 and that of 1919 shows a rise of over $45 \%$ in the number of wage earners employed. The present wage investigation shows a greater growth in identical establishments than for the industry as a whole.

## Hours

The hours of the nominal week in July, 1914, were 52.3. Following the general reduction in length of working hours


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One <br> Week In | 1914-21 <br> Establ | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week <br> Per <br> Wage <br> Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nomn- } \\ & \text { inal } \\ & \text { Week } \end{aligned}$ | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*. } \end{gathered}$ | 1,073 | 1,073 | 51.3 | 52.1 | 52.3 | \$.272 | \$13.96 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 1,838 | 3,819 | 48.6 | 50.9 | 50.1 | 575 | 27.93 | 211 | 200 |
| July | 1,855 | 3,931 | 48.4 | 50.0 | 50.1 | 578 | 28.00 | 212 | 201 |
| Aug. | 1,936 | 3,978 | 48.0 | 49.4 | 50.1 | 569 | 27.31 | 209 | 196 |
| Sept | 1,963 | 3,821 | 48.1 | 50.0 | 50.1 | 568 | 27.34 | 209 | 196 |
| Oct. | 1,901 | 3,603 | 48.7 | 50.4 | 50.1 | 562 | 27.39 | 207 | 196 |
| Nov | 1,729 | 3,285 | 48.0 | 48.4 | 50.1 | . 555 | 26.62 | 204 | 191 |
| Dec. | 1,586 | 2,879 | 46.9 | 47.8 | 50.0 | . 558 | 26.19 | 205 | 188 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1,472 | 2,708 | 45.7 | 47.0 | 50.0 | 555 | 25.37 | 204 | 182 |
| Feb. | 1,488 | 2,711 | 46.3 | 47.6 | 50.0 | . 546 | 25.27 | 201 | 181 |
| March | 1,416 | 2,673 | 47.1 | 48.0 | 50.0 | . 543 | 25.58 | 200 | 183 |
| April.. . | 1,454 | 2,708 | 45.7 | 48.2 | 50.0 | 535 | 24.43 | 197 | 175 |
| May . . | 1,422 | 2,704 | 46.3 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 535 | 24.79 | 197 | 178 |
| June... | 1,452 | 2,732 | 46.4 | 47.5 | 50.0 | 527 | 24.46 | 194 | 175 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
during the war period, the week was reduced to 50.1 hours in June, 1920. The latter standard was maintained throughout 1920-1921.

The actual week of plant operation of 52.1 hours in July, 1914, was reduced to 50.9 hours in June, 1920. In the fall of 1920 reduction in plant activity began to occur, reaching a trough period at the beginning of 1921. A slight revival occurred in the spring, though in June, 1921, there was a loss of 3.4 hours from the preceding year in plant activity.

The actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 51.3 hours in July, 1914, to 48.6 hours in June, 1920. The depression took effect in December, reaching in January the low level of 45.7 hours. There was a slight increase during the spring months of 1921. The reduction in hours has affected earnings more directly than wage cutting, yet the tendency has been to reduce the number of wage earners rather than cut hours severely.


## Conclusion

1. The decrease of $8.8 \%$ shown in hourly earnings and the reduction of $12.6 \%$ in weekly earnings is less than in many other basic industries. The tendency has been to reduce hours rather than wages.
2. Reduction of wages for women has been less than for male employees.
3. Stagnation in demand has caused a curtailment of working hours and a decrease of $31.3 \%$ in employment.
4. In general, wages in June, 1921, were still on a high level in comparison with general wage conditions.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \text { In } \end{gathered}$ | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. <br> Wage Earners | Av. Actua! Hrs. | Av. <br> Hrly. Earng; | Av. <br> Wkly. <br> Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} . \end{array}$ | 730 | 51.7 | \$. 252 | \$13.03 | 100 | 100 | 270 | 51.0 | \$.351 | \$17.88 | 100 | 100 | 73 | 48.9 | \$.179 | \$8.74 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ |  | 49.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 2,470 | 49.6 | . 551 | 27.34 | 219 | 210 | 1,108 | 47.0 | . 710 | 33.36 | 202 | 187 | 353 | 45.0 | . 353 | 16.64 15.87 | 196 | 190 |
| Aug | 2,503 | 48.5 | . 550 | 26.70 | 218 | 205 | 1,111 | 48.0 | . 676 | 32.48 | 193 | 182 | 364 | 44.3 | . 353 | 15.66 | 197 | 179 |
| Sept | 2,426 | 47.9 | . 550 | 26.33 | 218 | 202 | 1,051 | 49.6 | . 672 | 33.38 | 191 | 187 | 344 | 45.4 | . 353 | 16.02 | 197 | 183 |
| Oct. | 2,371 | 49.4 | . 550 | 27.17 | 218 | 209 | - 897 | 47.9 | . 672 | 32.20 | 191 | 180 | 335 | 45.6 | . 353 | 16.10 | 197 | 184 |
| Nov. | 2,187 | 48.0 | . 537 | 25.77 | 213 | 198 | 815 | 48.1 | . 672 | 32.32 | 191 | 181 | 283 | 47.2 | . 355 | 16.77 | 198 | 192 |
| Dec. | 1,885 | 47.1 | . 539 | 25.41 | 214 | 195 | 750 | 47.2 | . 668 | 31.51 | 190 | 176 | 244 | 44.2 | . 357 | 15.77 | 199 | 180 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1,763 | 46.0 | . 531 | 24.40 | 211 | 187 | 719 | 46.1 | . 667 | 30.79 | 190 | 172 | 226 | 42.8 | . 367 | 15.69 | 205 | 179 |
| Feb. | 1,747 | 47.0 | . 521 | 24.49 | 207 | 188 | 732 | 45.5 | . 664 | 30.21 | 189 | 169 | 232 | 43.2 | . 360 | 15.54 | 201 | 178 |
| March | 1,714 | 47.6 | . 521 | 24.81 | 207 | 190 | 722 | 46.8 | . 656 | 30.70 | 187 | 172 | 237 | 43.9 | . 353 | 15.53 | 197 | 178 |
| April. | 1,743 | 45.8 | . 511 | 23.38 | 203 | 179 | 733 | 46.3 | . 644 | 29.80 | 183 | 167 | 232 | 43.2 | . 356 | 15.38 | 199 | 176 |
| May. | 1,747 | 46.8 | . 512 | 23.95 | 203 | 184 | 727 | 46.2 | . 644 | 29.77 | 183 | 167 | 230 | 43.0 | . 358 | 15.42 | 200 | 176 |
| June. | 1,768 | 47.1 | . 502 | 23.65 | 199 | 182 | 743 | 45.6 | . 635 | 28.95 | 181 | 162 | 221 | 44.0 | . 358 | 15.79 | 200 | 181 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.


## XVI

## PAPER MANUFAGTURING

## A. Paper and Wood Pulp Manufacturing

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments producing paper and wood pulp. It does not include secondary paper manufacturing, which has been classified under a separate industrial section entitled, "Paper Products Manufacturing." Returns were received from 122 plants, employing 30,792 wage earners at the high point in July, 1920. Women were employed in 78 plants. Over $26 \%$ of the wage earners listed by the 1919 Census of Manufactures in this industry were covered in this investigation. The geographical distribution within Canada and 19 states is as follows:

| Canada | 3 | New Hampshire | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Connecticut. | 3 | New Jersey.... | 6 |
| Illinois. | 1 | New York. | 26 |
| Indiana | 1 | Ohio. |  |
| Iowa. | 1 | Pennsylvania. | 5 |
| Maine. | 10 | Virginia. |  |
| Maryland | 1 | Vermont. | 4 |
| Massachusetts. | 25 | Washington |  |
| Michigan. | 6 | West Virginia. |  |
| Minnesota | 2 | Wisconsin.... |  |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $169 \%$ from July, 1914 to November, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 amounted to $14.7 \%$, showing a net increase in June, 1921 of $129 \%$ over 1914. The trend reflects a gradual decline throughout the entire year. The most severe reductions occurred in the spring months of 1921. In October, 1920, the hourly earnings of common labor were $170 \%$ above 1914. In November, 1920, those of skilled labor showed an increase of $149 \%$ and in July, 1920, those of women were $193 \%$ higher than in 1914. The percentages of decline for the three groups from the respective peaks up to July, 1921, were as follows: common labor,
$17.2 \%$; skilled labor, $12.5 \%$; women, $14.1 \%$. There was a slight rise for skilled men and for women between May and June, 1921; otherwise, there has been a gradual decline for all three groups from the high point. In June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were $123 \%$ over 1914, those of skilled labor, $118 \%$, and those of women, $151 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $156 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920 and from the latter period up to July, 1921 declined $24.1 \%$, leaving a net increase of $94 \%$ over July, 1914.

The peak of weekly earnings for the male labor group occurred in October, 1920, when those of common labor showed an increase of $152 \%$ and those of skilled labor an increase of $130 \%$ over 1914. The peak for women was reached in both July and September, 1920, when there was an increase of $196 \%$ over 1914. The percentages of decrease for these three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were: common labor, $25.1 \%$; skilled labor, $21.5 \%$; women, $30.8 \%$. In June, 1921, the weekly earnings of common labor were $89 \%$ above 1914, those of skilled labor, $81 \%$, and those of women, $105 \%$.

Both hourly and weekly earnings were sustained through the months of 1920 . The result of wage cutting and parttime operation began to take marked effect in February, 1921 and there have been continued reductions, particularly in weekly earnings, since that time. The loss of working hours more than extensive wage cutting has affected earnings.

## Employment

The decline in the total number of wage earners employed from the high point in July, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $48.6 \%$. As extensive strikes were occurring in many of the plants between April and June, 1921, a true comparison of employment conditions should be made only between July, 1920 and April, 1921, when the decline amounted to $19.8 \%$. While the strike conditions reflect unemployment, the situation is not comparable with general unemployment conditions existing in other basic industries. The most extensive decline in employment in any group between the summer of 1920 and April, 1921 occurred among female wage earners.

Total employment increased $47 \%$ in identical establish-


Composite Payroll Data

| ${ }^{\text {P Period }}$ | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One <br> Week In | 1914-21 <br> Establ. | Total All Establ. | Av. Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant Operation | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| 1914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July*. | 14,174 | 14,174 | 54.7 | 138.3 | 57.6 | \$. 223 | \$12.20 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 20,842 | 30,585 | 51.4 | 142.5 | 50.8 | . 590 | 30.30 | 265 | 248 |
| July | 20,906. | 30,792 | 51.7 | 141.8 | 50.8 | . 591 | 30.51 | 265 | 250 |
| Aug. | 20,798 | 30,711 | 51.9 | 141.7 | 50.8 | . 594 | 30.87 | 266 | 253 |
| Sept | 20,945 | 30,750 | 51.8 | 141.7 | 50.8 | . 595 | 30.83 | 267 | 253 |
| Oct. | 20,939 | 30,676 | 52.4 | 140.7 | 50.8 | . 596 | 31.22 | 267 | 256 |
| Nov | 20,431 | 30,053 | 51.4 | 139.1 | 50.8 | . 599 | 30.78 | 269 | 252 |
| Dec. | 19,741 | 29,125 | 48.9 | 131.9 | 50.7 | . 597 | 29.16 | 268 | 239 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 17,696 | 26,191 | 48.2 | 132.5 | 50.7 | . 594 | 28.65 | 266 | 235 |
| Feb... | 17,872 | 25,735 | 46.2 | 130.3 | 50.6 | . 577 | 26.65 | 259 | 218 |
| March | 16,621 | 23,779 | 44.7 | 122.8 | 50.6 | . 565 | 25.28 | 253 | 207 |
| April.. | 16,561 | 24,692 | 45.5 | 126.3 | 50.6 | . 554 | 25.21 | 248 | 207 |
| May... | 14,971 | 17,085 | 47.6 | 124.0 | 50.6 | 513 | 24.39 | 230 | 200 |
| June... | 14,254 | 15,812 | 46.4 | 125.7 | 50.7 | . 511 | 23.71 | 229 | 194 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
ments between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In June, 1921, employment had fallen to practically the 1914 level.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 57.6. Following the general reduction in working hours during the war period, the hours dropped to 50.8 in June, 1920, the latter standard having been practically maintained throughout 1920-1921.

The actual week of plant operation showed an increase from 138.3 hours in July, 1914 to 142.5 hours in June, 1920. In December, 1920, a marked reduction to 131.9 hours occurred, and in the opening months of 1921, the decline continued. The depression month in March was followed by a revival in April, but strike conditions brought about declines in May and June.

The actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 54.7 hours in July, 1914, to 51.4 hours in June, 1920. There was a

tendency toward an increase of working hours culminating in a slight peak in October, 1920. Beginning in December, there was a marked decline which continued to the trough period in March, 1921. April and May showed a marked increase in working hours, but labor conditions in June forced a reduction.

## Conclusion

1. The decrease in hourly earnings has been slightly below the general level of that occurring in many other basic industries. Reduction in weekly earnings has been extensive, due to the decline of working hours.
2. The period of April, May and June, 1921 is difficult of interpretation on account of labor conditions existing in a large proportion of the plants studied.
3. Total employment declined approximately $20 \%$ between July, 1920 and April, 1921, and $49 \%$ between July, 1920 and June, 1921.
4. The trend of hours of plant activity best reflects the condition of this industry, showing substantial production though November, 1920, marked declines in the first three months of 1921, and unsettled conditions in May and June.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | male unskilled |  |  |  |  |  | male Skilled |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { In }}{\text { One Week }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Warge } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{array}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Warners } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Actual }}{\text { Av. }}$Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Haly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av.Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Actual }}{\text { Av. }}$Hrs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hary. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*}^{*} . \end{array}$ | 5,186 | 54.9 | \$. 207 | \$11.39 | 100 | 100 | 6,546 | 57.8 | \$.260 | \$15.05 | 100 | 100 | 2,442 | 46.1 | \$.136 | \$6.28 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1930 \\ \text { June... } \end{array}$ | 9,802 | 51.8 | . 545 | 28.24 | 263 | 248 | 17,768 | 52.2 | . 643 | 33.57 | 247 | 223 | 3,015 | 45.2 | . 393 | 17.76 | 289 | 283 |
| July. | 9,984 | 50.9 | . 548 | 27.89 | 265 | 245 | 17,852 | 52.9 | . 642 | 34.02 | 247 | 226 | 2,956 | 46.6 | . 398 | 18.58 | 293 | 296 |
| Aug. | 10,106 | 50.9 | . 551 | 28.05 | 266 | 246 | 17,819 | 53.6 | . 644 | 34.49 | 248 | 229 | 2,786 | 45.4 | . 396 | 17.99 | 291 | 286 |
| Sept | 10,053 | 50.4 | . 553 | 27.87 | 267 | 245 | 17,801 | 53.4 | . 645 | 34.51 | 248 | 229 | 2,896 | 46.8 | . 397 | 18.57 | 292 | 296. |
| Oct. | 9,983 | 51.4 | . 558 | 28.69 | 270 | 252 | 17,830 | 53.8 | . 645 | 34.69 | 248 | 230 | 2,863 | 46.9 | . 392 | 18.38 | 288 | 293 |
| Nov | 9,807 | 50.5 | . 556 | 28.09 | 269 | 247 | 17,567 | 52.9 | . 648 | 34.24 | 249 | 227 | 2,679 | 45.3 | . 394 | 17.86 | 290 | 284 |
| Dec. | 9,281 | 47.8 | . 552 | 26.41 | 267 | 232 | 17,259 | 50.2 | . 646 | 32.43 | 248 | 215 | 2,585 | 43.9 | . 391 | 17.16 | 287 | 273 |
| $1921$ | 8,442 | 46.5 | 543 | 25.26 | 262 | 222 | 15,788 | 50.2 | . 641 | 32.14 | 247 | 214 | 1,961 | 40.1 | . 378 | 15.17 | 278 | 242 |
| Feb | 8,355 | 44.5 | . 520 | 23.11 | 251 | 203 | 15,381 | 48.0 | . 627 | 30.08 | 241 | 200 | 1,999 | 39.5 | . 382 | 15.09 | 281 | 240 |
| March | 8,117 | 44.1 | . 507 | 22.36 | 245 | 196 | 13,841 | 46.2 | . 617 | 28.50 | 237 | 189 | 1,821 | 36.7 | . 377 | 13.84 | 277 | 220 |
| April | 8,015 | 43.5 | . 503 | 21.90 | 243 | 192 | 14,880 | 47.4 | . 599 | 28.37 | 230 | 188 | 1,797 | 38.2 | . 361 | 13.81 | 265 | 220 |
| May. | 5,758 | 47.3 | . 469 | 22.18 | 227 | 195 | 9,536 | 49.2 | . 565 | 27.81 | 217 | 185 | 1,791 | 39.1 | . 340 | 13.30 | 250 | 212 |
| June. | 5,607 | 46.5 | . 462 | 21.50 | 223 | 189 | 8,566 | 48.1 | . 567 | 27.24 | 218 | 181 | 1,639 | 37.5 | . 342 | 12.85 | 251 | 205 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.


## B. Paper Products Manufacturing

The wage investigation in this industry is confined to establishments engaged in the manufacture of paper products, such as paper boxes, cardboard, envelopes and miscellaneous paper goods. Wage schedules were received from 56 plants, employing 8,705 wage earners at the high point in October, 1920. Women were employed in 53 of these plants. Approximately $29 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as being then employed in this industry were included in the present investigation. The geographical distribution, within 16 states, is as follows:

| California | 1 | Michigan. | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado. | 1 | Minnesota. |  |
| Connecticut | 4 | New Jersey | 1 |
| Illinois. | 5 | New York |  |
| Indiana. | 5 | Ohio...... | 5 |
| Iowa. | 1 | Pennsylvania. | 3 |
| Maine | 1 | Rhode Island. | 1 |
| Massachusetts. | 17 | Wisconsin. | 2 |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $154 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921 decreased $4.9 \%$, leaving a net increase of $142 \%$ over 1914 . The trend shows practically a stationary condition throughout the entire period, although temporary depression was indicated in December, 1920.

The hourly earnings of common labor in September, 1920 had increased $189 \%$ over 1914. Those of skilled labor showed an increase of $151 \%$ in November and December, 1920, while those of women reached a peak of $141 \%$ in October, 1920. The percentages of decline from these various peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $14.9 \%$; skilled labor, $5.6 \%$; women, $1.7 \%$. In the middle of June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were $146 \%$ above 1914, while those of skilled labor and women showed an increase of $137 \%$ each.
(b) Weekly earnings: The composite weekly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $139 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920 and declined $20.4 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving a net increase of $90 \%$ over 1914. The trend shows a gradual decline through February, 1921.

In March, a temporary revival due to longer working hours occurred, but reduction continued in April. May and June, however, showed́ a slight stimulation.

The high point of weekly earnings for common labor occurred in July, 1920, when there was an increase of $154 \%$ over July, 1914; those of skilled labor reached a peak of $140 \%$ in September, 1920; and in October, the high point of $129 \%$ was reached for women. The percentages of decline from these various peaks up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $31.5 \%$; skilled labor, $21.5 \%$; women, $17.1 \%$. The percentages of increase over 1914 for each of the three groups up to July, 1921 were respectively $74 \%, 89 \%$ and $89 \%$.
In general, the reduction in working hours has had more effect upon the trend of wages than wage cutting. Hourly earnings have shown only a slight reduction, while weekly earnings have shown a marked decline, due to reduction in working hours.

## Employment

The total number of wage earners declined approximately $34.4 \%$ from October, 1920 up to July, 1921. The decline in employment proceeded more rapidly at the beginning of 1921 and continued throughout the spring of 1921 except for the month of April, when there was a slight revival. The decline in employment has affected common labor and women more seriously than skilled labor. Employment in identical establishments increased from July, 1914 to June, 1920 approximately $34.1 \%$. In the middle of June, 1921, the total number of wage earners was $4 \%$ lower than the 1914 level. In general, the employment problem in this industry has not been so serious as in many other basic industries.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week were reduced from 54.2 in July, 1914 to 50 in June, 1920. The latter standard has been .practically maintained throughout 1920 and the first half of 1921.

The average hours of plant operation showed a decline from 52.3 in July, 1914 to 51.5 in June, 1920. The depression period began to take effect in November, 1920 and became marked through the following months, reaching a trough in


| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | 1914-21 <br> Establ. | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Av. <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nor. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*. } \end{gathered}$ | 5,041 | 5,041 | 50.9 | 52.3 | 54.2 | \$.192 | \$9.76 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 6,760 | 8,176 | 48.0 | 51.5 | 50.0 | . 477 | 22.91 | 248 | 235 |
| July . | 7,067 | 8,574 | 47.8 | 50.7 | 50.0 | . 478 | 22.82 | 249 | 234 |
| Aug. . | 7,087 | 8,574 | 47.6 | 51.2 | 50.0 | . 480 | 22.84 | 250 | 234 |
| Sept. | 7,140 | 8,640 | 47.7 | 50.4 | 50.0 | . 488 | 23.27 | 254 | 239 |
| Oct | 7,252 | 8,705 | 46.2 | 50.8 | 50.0 | . 475 | 21.97 | 247 | 225 |
| Nov. | 6,682 | 7,978 | 45.3 | 49.5 | 50.0 | . 473 | 21.42 | 246 | 220 |
| Dec. | 6,605 | 7,654 | 42.7 | 45.3 | 49.9 | . 466 | 19.92 | 243 | 204 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan | 5,759 | 6,623 | 40.6 | 44.9 | 49.9 | . 474 | 19.22 | 247 | 197 |
| Feb. | 5,558 | 6,438 | 39.6 | 43.5 | 49.9 | . 476 | 18.85 | 248 | 193 |
| March | 5,384 | 6,113 | 40.6 | 43.8 | 49.9 | . 479 | 19.46 | 249 | 199 |
| April. | 5,380 | 6,234 | 37.8 | 39.5 | 49.9 | . 475 | 17.98 | 247 | 184 |
| May . . | 4,979 | 5,885 | 38.9 | 41.3 | 49.9 | . 470 | 18.28 | 245 | 187 |
| June .. | 4,832 | 5,712 | 39.9 | 41.7 | 49.9 | .464 | 18.52 | 242 | 190 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. Sec pp. 3, 5.

April, 1921 of 39.5 hours. May and June, 1921 witnessed a revival to 41.7 hours.

The average week per wage earner showed a decline from 50.9 hours in July, 1914 to 48 hours per week in June, 1920. Following the trend in the hours of plant activity, the depression period began to take effect in November, 1920. The following months reflected a similar tendency, the minimum of 37.8 hours being reached in April, 1921. May and June brought a revival.

In general, the trend of hours shows the effect of the economic depression, the productive conditions in this industry being well maintained through September, 1920 and undergoing a marked depression from December, 1920 to April, 1921. May and June, however, reflected slight stimulation.


## Conclusion

1. The decline in hourly earnings has been slight in this industry as compared with the majority of basic industries.
2. Decrease in weekly earnings has been marked, due to reduction in hours worked during the depression period. The total decrease in employment has been extensive, the effects of the industrial depression not reaching the industry until well into 1921.
3. In general, the industry shows well sustained productive conditions in the summer of 1920, a depression period between November, 1920 and April, 1921, followed by a revival during May and June, 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## XVII

## PRINTING AND PUBLISHING

(Book and Job)
This wage investigation covers 355 establishments engaged in book and job printing, employing 22,752 wage earners at the high point in August, 1920. Women were employed in 307 of these establishments. The geographical distribution within 36 states and the District of Columbia is as follows:

Alabama.................... 2 Montana...................... 2
California.................... 16 Nebraska........................ 3
Colorado................... 3 New Hampshire.............. $\quad 2$
Connecticut................ 2 New Jersey................... 7
District of Columbia........ 3 New Mexico .................. 1
Georgia..................... 3 New York.................... 59
Illinois...................... 37 North Dakota................ 1
Indiana..................... 9 Ohio........................... 55
Iowa........................ 5 Pennsylvania................ 19
Kansas...................... 3 Rhode Island.................. 3
Kentucky.................... 4 South Carolina............... 1
Louisiana................... 1 South Dakota................. 3
Maine...................... 2 Tennessee............................ 8
Maryland..................... 8 Texas................................ 2
Massachusetts.............. 24 Utah......................... 3
Michigan.................... 11 Virginia.............................. 8
Minnesota.................. 11 Washington.................. 6
Mississippi................. 1 Wisconsin...................... 14
Missouri..................... 13

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite houtly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $109 \%$ in the peak months of March, April and June, 1921. From June, 1920 up to July, 1921, there was an increase of $7.9 \%$ in hourly earnings. The general trend shows gradual increases during 1920 and a practically stationary condition during the first six months of 1921. Hourly earnings of common labor reached a peak in October, 1920, when they had increased $138 \%$ over 1914, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, they declined $5.2 \%$, leaving a net increase of $126 \%$.

The hourly earnings of skilled labor attained a peak in December, 1920 and in March and June, 1921, the total increase in these months being $103 \%$ over 1914. The increase from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $7.8 \%$. The peak of hourly earnings for women was not reached until February, 1921, when there was an increase of $136 \%$ over 1914. Between June, 1920 and February, 1921, hourly earnings of women increased $7.8 \%$ and between the latter period and June, 1921, decreased slightly more than one per cent.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $109 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920 and from the latter period up to July, 1921 declined $5.6 \%$, leaving a net increase of $97 \%$. The trend showed a gradual increase during 1920 to October, followed by a decided drop at the beginning of 1921, due to shorter hours worked.

The weekly earnings of common labor increased $138 \%$ from July, 1914 to June, 1920, those of skilled labor were $109 \%$ higher in October, 1920 and those of women $133 \%$ higher in December, 1920. The percentages of decline for the three groups from their respective peaks up to July, 1921 were: common labor, $14 \%$; skilled labor, $6.5 \%$; women, $8.8 \%$. In the middle of June, 1921, weekly earnings of common labor were $105 \%$ above 1914, those of skilled labor, $95 \%$ and those of women, $113 \%$.

## Employment

The decline in the total number of wage earners from the high point in August, 1920 to June, 1921 was $23.5 \%$. The drop in May, 1921 is accounted for by strike conditions existing in many of the plants during this month. It will be noted that the recovery from May to June did not cover the ground lost between April and May.

There was an increase of $21.2 \%$ in employment in identical establishments between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In June, 1921 employment in identical establishments was below that of the July, 1914 level. While the printing industry has not been so directly affected by economic conditions as have many manufacturing industries, the reaction from general business stagnation is shown by the reduction in employment particularly during 1921.


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wages } \\ & \text { (All Wage Earnera) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One Week } \\ \mathbf{I O}_{\mathbf{o}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1914-21 \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { AAl1 } \\ \text { Astabl. } \end{gathered}$ | Av. <br> Week <br> Wage <br> Earne | Av.HoursPlantOpara-tion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Noon- } \\ \text { Ninal } \\ \text { Week } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ay. } \\ \text { Arly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Av} \mathrm{k} . \mathrm{y} .$Earngs. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index Nos. } \\ \text { Earnings. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July }{ }^{*} . . \end{gathered}$ | 13,151 | 13,151 | 46.5 | 49.0 | 49.0 | \$.301 | $\$ 14.01$ | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 15,944 | 22,346 | 46.4 | 49.2 | 48.3 | . 584 | 27.07 | 194 | 193 |
| July. | 15,887 | 22,596 | 47.2 | 50.2 | 48.3 | . 597 | 28.18 | 198 | 201 |
| Aug. | 15,979 | 22,752 | 46.2 | 49.0 | 48.3 | . 601 | 27.79 | 200 | 198 |
| Sept. | 15,738 | 22,233 | 46.2 | 49.3 | 48.3 | . 619 | 28.57 | 206 | 204 |
| Oct. | 15,770 | 22,198 | 47.0 | 48.9 | 48.3 | . 622 | 29.24 | 207 | 209 |
| Nov. | 15,728 | 22,002 | 46.9 | 48.7 | 48.3 | . 623 | 29.17 | 207 | 208 |
| Dec. | 15,516 | 21,621 | 46.7 | 47.9 | 48.3 | . 623 | 29.12 | 207 | 208 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 14,681 | 20,509 | 44.6 | 45.8 | 48.3 | . 625 | 27.90 | 208 | 199 |
| Feb. | 14,233 | 19,806 | 44.1 | 45.8 | 48.3 | . 627 | 27.66 | 208 | 197 |
| Mar | 13,901 | 19,259 | 44.4 | 46.2 | 48.3 | . 629 | 27.95 | 209 | 200 |
| April | 13,360 | 18,538 | 43.9 | 45.8 | 48.3 | . 630 | 27.65 | 209 | 197 |
| May. | 12,148 | 16,982 | 44.1 | 45.7 | 47.7 | . 623 | 27.45 | 208 | 196 |
| June. | 12,368 | 17,413 | 43.8 | 46.4 | 47.7 | . 630 | 27.60 | 209 | 197 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 49. In June, 1920, they were 48.3 hours. The latter standard was maintained up to May, 1921, when there was a fall to 47.7 hours. This decrease in the nominal week has been affected by the introduction of the 44-hour week in many'of the printing establishments in the larger cities. As many of the plants covered in the investigation are from smaller towns and cities not affected by the 44 -hour week movement, the decrease in hours here shown is not so marked as is probably the case in many establishments in the larger cities.

The actual week of plant operation amounted to 49 hours in July, 1914. In June, 1920, the hours remained practically the same- 49.2 per week. There was little change during the remaining months of 1920, but at the beginning of 1921 there was a reduction to approximately 46 hours per week.


This average has been maintained throughout the first six months of 1921.

The actual week per wage earner remained practically unchanged between July, 1914 and June, 1920. During the remaining months of 1920 , there was a slight net increase in the average hours worked. However, in January, 1921 there was a fall of over two hours per wage earner, and the general level of 44 hours per week has been maintained. This reduction in hours has directly affected the trend of wages.

## Conclusion

1. Reduction of working hours has caused an increase in hourly earnings and a decrease in weekly earnings. The peculiar conditions affecting this industry make wage comparisons difficult with those in many basic industries. The introduction of the 44 -hour week in May, 1921, makes it difficult to show the real trend of conditions.
2. The total decline of almost $24 \%$ in employment shows that the industry has been affected by layoffs rather than by severe reductions in hours or wage cutting.
3. On the whole, the industry shows less decline in weekly earnings than practically any other basic industry covered by the investigation.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { In }}{\substack{\text { Week }}}$ | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkly, Earngs. | Index Nos. <br> Earnings |  | No. Wage Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Wkiy. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July }{ }^{*} . . \end{array}$ | 2,340 | 49.0 | 8.195 | 89.56 | 100 | 100 | 7,637 | 46.1 | \$.395 | \$18.20 | 100 | 100 | 3,174 | 45.8 | 8.157 | \$7.20 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { Iune } \end{gathered}$ | 4,042 | 46.1 | . 435 | 20.05 | 223 | 210 | 12,218 | 47.7 | . 742 | 35.36 | 188 | 194 | 6,086 | 43.9 | . 344 | 15.10 | 219 | 210 |
| July. | 4,024 | 51.0 | . 447 | 22.79 | 229 | 238 | 12,271 | 47.6 | . 771 | 36.72 | 195 | 202 | 6,301 | 44.0 | . 341 | 14.98 | 217 | 208 |
| Aug. | 4,338 | 47.7 | . 452 | 21.57 | 232 | 226 | 12,121 | 47.4 | . 775 | 36.73 | 196 | 202 | 6,293 | 43.1 | . 345 | 14.84 | 220 | 206 |
| Sept | 3,883 | 47.0 | . 459 | 21.56 | 235 | 226 | 12,262 | 47.1 | . 788 | 37.10 | 200 | 204 | 6,088 | 43.9 | . 361 | 15.85 | 230 | 220 |
| Oct. | 3,780 | 48.0 | . 465 | 22.29 | 238 | 233 | 12,221 | 47.9 | . 794 | 38.05 | 201 | 209 | 6,197 | 44.5 | . 362 | 16.10 | 231 | 224 |
| Nov. | 3,735 | 48.8 | . 458 | 22.32 | 235 | 233 | 12,179 | 47.3 | . 796 | 37.62 | 202 | 207 | 6,088 | 44.8 | . 367 | 16.47 | 234 | 229 |
| Dec. | -3,708 | 48.0 | . 459 | 22.00 | 235 | 230 | 11,902 | 46.9 | . 801 | 37.57 | 203 | 206 | 6,011 | 45.6 | . 368 | 16.79 | 234 | 233 |
| $1921$ |  | 45.1 | . 449 | 20.28 | 230 | 212 | 11,412 | 45.2 | . 799 | 36.13 | 202 | 199 | 5,476 | 43.1 | . 366 | 15.79 | 233 | 219 |
| Feb | 3,621 | 45.5 | . 442 | 20.13 | 227 | 211 | 11,212 | 44.4 | . 798 | 35.43 | 202 | 195 | 5,184 | 42.7 | . 371 | 15.82 | 236 | 220. |
| Ma | 3,216 | 45.3 | . 438 | 19.84 | 225 | 208 | 11,036 | 44.8 | . 802 | 35.92 | 203 | 197 | 5,007 | 42.9 | . 363 | 15.58 | 231 | 216 |
| April | 3,098 | 44.2 | . 437 | 19.35 | 224 | 202 | 10,686 | 44.4 | . 799 | 35.44 | 202 | 195 | 4,754 | 42.5 | . 366 | 15.55 | 233 | 216 |
| May. | 2,998 | 44.4 | . 445 | 19.78 | 228 | 207 | 9,542 | 44.4 | . 796 | 35.35 | 202 | 194 | 4,442 | 43.0 | . 364 | 15.66 | 232 | 218 |
| June | 3,120 | 44.4 | . 441 | 19.59 | 226 | 205 | 9,891 | 44.5 | . 800 | 35.59 | 203 | 195 | 4,402 | 41.8 | . 366 | 15.31 | 233 | 213 |

[^5]
## XVIII

## PRINTING AND PUBLISHING

(Newspapers and Periodicals)
The wage investigation in establishments engaged in printing newspapers and periodicals includes 111 plants, employing at the high point in December, 1920, 3,920 wage earners. Women were employed in 63 of these plants. The geographical distribution within 34 states is as follows:

| Arizona | 1 | Mississippi. | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 6 | Missouri... | 5 |
| Colorado. | 1 | Montana. | 1 |
| Connecticut | 2 | Nebraska | 1 |
| Florida. | 2 | New Jersey | 1 |
| Idaho. | 1 | New York | 9 |
| Illinois. | 8 | North Dakota. | 1 |
| Indiana | 2 | Ohio. | 7 |
| Iowa. | 12 | Oklahoma. | 3 |
| Kansas. | 4 | Oregon. | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | Pennsylvania | 10 |
| Louisiana | 1 | South Carolina. | 1 |
| Maryland | 1 | Tennessee. | 1 |
| Massachusetts. | 8 | Texas... | 2 |
| Maine. | 1 | Virginia. | 2 |
| Michigan | 3 | Wisconsin | 6 |
| Minnesota. | 4 | Wyoming. | 1 |

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $76 \%$ from July, 1914 up to July, 1921. The period between June, 1920 and June, 1921, inclusive, was characterized by gradual increase in hourly earnings, a mounting to $6.8 \%$.

The high point of hourly earnings of common labor was reached in December, 1920 when there was an increase of $94 \%$ over 1914; by the middle of June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor dropped approximately $10 \%$, leaving a net increase of $75 \%$ over 1914. The peak of hourly earnings of skilled labor was not reached until the middle of

June, 1921, when there was shown an increase of $78 \%$ over 1914. The year 1920-1921 saw a continual increase amounting to $8.6 \%$. The high point of hourly earnings for women was reached in November and December, 1920, when they were $122 \%$ above July, 1914. The decline up to July, 1921, was $2.8 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $82 \%$ from July, 1914 to December, 1920, and decreased $5 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, leaving at that time a net increase of $73 \%$ over 1914 The trend shows a gradual increase through the months of 1920, reaching the peak at the close of the year, followed by very slight decreases during 1921, due to fewer hours worked.

The high point of weekly earnings for the male labor groups was reached in December, 1920. At that time, the weekly earnings of common labor were $110 \%$, and those of skilled labor $85 \%$, above 1914. The peak of $112 \%$ above 1914 for women was reached in November, 1920. The percentages of decrease for the three groups up to July, 1921 were as follows: common labor, $7.5 \%$; skilled labor, $3.4 \%$; women, $20.1 \%$. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase over 1914 for the three groups were respectively $95 \%, 79 \%$ and $69 \%$. The large drop in weekly earnings for women in June, 1921 is accounted for by a large reduction in the hours worked.

## Employment

The total decrease in numbers of wage earners from the peak in December, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $4.8 \%$. Between June, 1920 and December there was a slight increase in the numbers employed, amounting to about $8 \%$. The problem of unemployment in this industry is relatively unimportant, as the industry has been little affected by general industrial stagnation.

The total number of wage earners in identical establishments increased $39 \%$ between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In the middle of June, 1921, the increase over July, 1914 was still larger, amounting to $40.3 \%$.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 amounted to 50.3. Following a general reduction in the


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners |  | Average Hours |  |  | Wages(All Wage Earnera) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One } \\ \text { Week } \\ \text { Wn } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {Eatabl. }}^{\text {1914-21. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Alal } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ |  | Av. <br> Houra <br> Opera- <br> tion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nom- } \\ \text { inal } \\ \text { Week } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earng. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Aly. } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Non. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July }^{*} . \end{gathered}$ | 2,257 | 2,257 | 44.7 | 45.0 | 50.3 | $\$ .413$ | \$18.44 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 3,137 | 3,636 | 45.1 | 48.9 | 49.3 | . 680 | 30.66 | 165 | 166 |
| July . | 3,102 | 3,607 | 44.7 | 48.8 | 49.3 | . 677 | 30.28 | 164 | 164 |
| Aug. | 3,288 | 3,787 | 45.3 | 48.6 | 49.3 | . 677 | 30.68 | 164 | 166 |
| Sept. | 3,227 | 3,744 | 45.5 | 48.9 | 49.3 | . 697 | 31.67 | 169 | 172 |
| Oct. . | 3,203 | 3,713 | 45.9 | 49.0 | 49.3 | . 708 | 32.52 | 171 | 176 |
| Nov. | 3,300 | 3,818 | 46.3 | 49.1 | 49.3 | . 721 | 33.40 | 175 | 181 |
| Dec.. | 3,412 | 3,920 | 46.4 | 48.6 | 49.3 | . 723 | 33.54 | 175 | 182 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.. | 3,419 | 3,917 | 45.7 | 48.9 | 49.3 | . 723 | 33.03 | 175 | 179 |
| Feb. | 3,383 | 3,882 | 45.1 | 48.5 | 49.2 | . 724 | 32.62 | 175 | 177 |
| March | 3,385 | 3,890 | 45.2 | 48.9 | 49.2 | . 715 | 32.30 | 173 | 175 |
| April. | 3,205 | 3,787 | 45.1 | 48.2 | 49.2 | . 720 | 32.48 | 174 | 176 |
| May... | 3,102 | 3,677 | 44.5 | 48.2 | 48.9 | . 719 | 32.02 | 174 | 174 |
| June... | 3,166 | 3,733 | 43.9 | 47.1 | 48.8 | . 726 | 31.87 | 176 | 173 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
length of working hours during the war period, there was a decline to 49.3 hours in June, 1920. The latter standard was maintained through the following months until May, 1921, when there was a decline to 48.9 hours. This slight decrease is unquestionably caused by the introduction of the 44 -hour week in some of the plants in the larger cities, but as many of the schedules in this group have come from small towns, the general effect on the present figures is unimportant.

The average week of plant operation increased from 45 hours in July, 1914 to 48.9 hours in June, 1920. The general average of the $481 / 2$ to 49 -hour week was maintained through March, 1921. In April and May, there was a drop to 48.2 hours, followed by a further decline to 47.1 hours in June. Plant operation in the latter two months was affected by strike conditions existing in some of the plants.

The average week per wage earner increased from 44.7 hours in July, 1914 to 45.1 hours in June, 1920. There was a grad-

ual increase during the following months in 1920, reaching 46.3 and 46.4 hours, respectively, in November and December, 1920. The beginning of the year 1921 was characterized by a decline, which was followed in May and June by further reductions. The whole trend of hours in May and June, 1921 was affected by peculiar conditions existing in this industry.

## Conclusion

1. The increase in hourly earnings and the decline in weekly earnings were affected by reduction in the length of working hours.
2. The standard of hours was generally maintained throughout 1920 until April, 1921. The decrease in May and June, 1921, was due to labor conditions in some of the larger plants.
3. The effect of close organization in an industry in maintaining high wage levels during a time of widespread industrial depression is reflected by the investigation.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { One Week } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Nage } \\ & \text { Earners } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ay. } \\ \text { Haly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wkyy. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Hrly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Wyly. } \\ \text { Earngs. } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Av. } \\ \text { Mrly. } \end{gathered}$Earngs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Av. } \\ & \text { Wkly. } \\ & \text { Earngs. } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.. } \end{array}$ | 257 | 37.2 | \$.293 | \$10.89 | 100 | 100 | 1,742 | 45.4 | \$.460 | \$20.92 | 100 | 100 | 258 | 47.3 | \$. 195 | \$9.22 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June . . } \end{array}$ | 520 | 38.8 | . 529 | 20.50 | 181 | 188 | 2,612 | 46.7 | 753 | 35.11 | 164 | 168 | 504 | 43.3 | 416 | 18.03 | 213 | 196 |
| July | 505 | 38.5 | . 538 | 20.71 | 184 | 190 | 2,604 | 46.1 | . 749 | 34.55 | 163 | 165 | 498 | 43.6 | 404 | 17.63 | 207 | 191 |
| Aug | 514 | 38.6 | . 547 | 21.13 | 187 | 194 | 2,687 | 47.0 | 753 | 35.43 | 164 | 169 | 586 | 43.5 | 397 | 17.26 | 204 | 187 |
| Sept | 511 | 38.4 | . 560 | 21.49 | 191 | 197 | 2,680 | 47.2 | . 773 | 36.49 | 168 | 174 | 553 | 43.6 | . 406 | 17.72 | 208 | 192 |
| Oct | 531 | 39.1 | . 568 | 22.22 | 194 | 204 | 2,631 | 47.6 | . 789 | 37.58 | 172 | 180 | 551 | 44.2 | . 413 | 18.24 | 212 | 198 |
| Nov | 544 | 39.6 | . 567 | 22.44 | 194 | 206 | 2,696 | 47.9 | . 805 | 38.59 | 175 | 184 | 578 | 45.2 | . 432 | 19.54 | 222 | 212 |
| Dec. | 539 | 40.2 | . 569 | 22.90 | 194 | 210 | 2,782 | 48.2 | . 804 | 38.79 | 175 | 185 | 599 | 43.4 | . 432 | 18.76 | 222 | 204 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { Jan.... } \end{array}$ | 550 | 40.8 | . 551 | 22.49 | 188 | 207 | 2,756 | 47.2 | 812 | 38.33 | 177 | 183 | 611 | 43.5 | 429 | 18.62 | 220 | 202 |
| Feb | 553 | 40.1 | . 551 | 22.35 | 190 | 205 | 2,735 | 46.6 | 811 | 37.82 | 176 | 181 | 594 | 42.4 | 430 | 18.22 | 221 | 198 |
| March | 601 | 39.4 | . 525 | 20.68 | 179 | 190 | 2,713 | 46.7 | . 808 | 37.73 | 176 | 180 | 576 | 44.2 | 426 | 18.84 | 218 | 204 |
| April. | 586 | 39.6 | . 533 | 21.12 | 182 | 194 | 2,659 | 46.4 | . 812 | 37.68 | 177 | 180 | 542 | 44.7 | . 430 | 19.25 | 221 | 209 |
| May. | 602 | 36.9 | . 527 | 19.46 | 180 | 179 | 2,587 | 46.6 | . 808 | 37.64 | 176 | 180 | 488 | 43.0 | . 413 | 17.74 | 212 | 192 |
| June. | 595 | 41.2 | . 514 | 21.19 | 175 | 195 | 2,621 | 45.8 | . 818 | 37.49 | 178 | 179 | 517 | 37.2 | . 420 | 15.62 | 215 | 169 |

-1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

## XIX

## LUMBER MANUFACTURING AND MILLWORK

The wage inquiry is confined to establishments, exclusive of sawmills, engaged in the manufacture of dressed lumber, sashes, doors and blinds, and general interior and exterior woodwork and trim. Schedules were received from 302 plantsemploying 12,900 wage earners at the high point in July, 1920. Statistics covering women were not compiled, as the number of female wage earners employed in this industry is negligible. Over $13 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as then employed in this industry are included in this report. The average number employed per mill, according to the Census, is 19 wage earners; in these data, the average is 42. Therefore, while the number of establishments included in this report is small in comparison with the whole industry, the percentage of wage earners covered and the distribution and size of establishments may be considered as representative of the industry. The geographical distribution within 39 states and the District of Columbia is as follows:
Alabama 1 Missouri ..... 11
Arkansas 2 Montana ..... 4
California 12 Nebraska ..... 5
Colorado 4 New Hampshire. ..... 4
Connecticut 6 New Jersey ..... 7
District of Columbia 1 New York ..... 23
Florida 4 North Carolina ..... 2
Georgia 1 North Dakota ..... 2
Illinois. 13 Ohio ..... 33
Indiana 8 Oklahoma ..... 1
Iowa 6 Oregon ..... 4
Kansas 2 Pennsylvania ..... 36
Kentucky 6 Rhode Island ..... 2
Louisiana 3 Tennessee ..... 7
Maine 4 Texas ..... 6
Maryland 4 Utah ..... 2
Massachusetts 14 Vermont ..... 3
Michigan 19 Virginia ..... 5
Minnesota 7 Washington ..... 5
Mississippi 1 Wisconsin ..... 22

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners show an increase of $132 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $12.1 \%$. In June, 1921 the increase reached $104 \%$ over July, 1914. The high point was attained in the fall of 1920 and a gradual decline has marked the trend through June, 1921. The deflation in hourly earnings has been gradual and, in general, corresponds with levels in hourly earnings in most basic industries.

In October, 1920 the hourly earnings of common labor had increased $136 \%$, and those of skilled labor $121 \%$ above 1914. The decline from October, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $16.4 \%$ for common labor and $10.6 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921 the percentage increases over July, 1914 for the two groups were respectively $97 \%$ and $98 \%$.
(b) Weekly carnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $106 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920 and declined $14.1 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, showing a net increase in June, 1921 of $77 \%$ over July, 1914. The high point of weekly earnings, for both groups, was reached in October, 1920 when common labor was $124 \%$ and skilled labor $105 \%$ above 1914. From the latter period up to July, 1921, the decline was $20 \%$ for common labor and $11 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921, percentage increases over July, 1914 for the two groups were respectively $80 \%$ and $82 \%$.

The high point of wages for employees in planing mills was reached in October, 1920. The general flow of wages since that period has been steadily downward. A revival occurred in March, 1921, but was not sustained, the general economic depression continuously affecting wages up to July, 1921. The trend of wage reductions has been directly affected by the actual hours the groups have worked. Common labor has sustained by far the greater wage reductions, yet has worked more hours than skilled labor, the final figures of percentage increases over 1914 being practically the same for both groups. The trend of wage changes shows a peak in October, 1920, a marked decrease in the early winter of 1921, a rather distinctive revival in the early spring, followed by


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | Number <br> Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | 1914-21Establ. | Total All Establ. | Actinal Week Per Wage Earner | Actinal <br> Honrs <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nomi$W^{\text {nal }}$ Wee | Av. Haly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July* } \end{gathered}$ | 11,064 | 11,064 | 52.5 | 53.2 | 55.6 | \$. 246 | \$12.93 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1920 \\ \text { June . } \end{gathered}$ | 9,315 | 12,006 | 48.9 | 52.8 | 52.4 | 548 | 26.82 | 223 | 197 |
| July | 10,105 | 12,900 | 49.3 | 53.1 | 52.4 | 545 | 26.87 | 222 | 197 |
| Aug. | 10,020 | 12,765 | 49.1 | 53.1 | 52.3 | . 560 | 27.54 | 228 | 202 |
| Sept | 9,641 | 12,348 | 49.4 | 52.4 | 52.3 | . 567 | 27.93 | 230 | 205 |
| Oct. | 9,208 | 11,760 | 49.3 | 52.1 | 52.3 | . 570 | 28.06 | 232 | 206 |
| Nov | 8,682 | 11,213 | 48.5 | 51.6 | 52.2 | . 566 | 27.44 | 230 | 201 |
| Dec. | 8,250 | 10,661 | 47.8 | 49.3 | 52.1 | . 558 | 26.65 | 227 | 196 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Jan} . . \end{gathered}$ | 7,275 | 9,306 | 44.7 | 46.5 | 51.8 | 545 | 24.38 | 222 | 179 |
| Feb. | 7,650 | 9,717 | 46.0 | 48.8 | 51.8 | . 529 | 24.36 | 215 | 179 |
| March . | 7,625 | 9,766 | 46.5 | 48.9 | 51.8 | . 525 | 24.40 | 213 | 179 |
| April. | 8,024 | 10,130 | 46.5 | 48.6 | 51.9 | . 518 | 24.09 | 211 | 177 |
| May.. | 7,783 | 10,014 | 47.9 | 49.0 | 51.9 | . 501 | 24.01 | 204 | 176 |
| June... | 7,402 | 9,753 | 48.1 | 49.6 | 51.9 | . 501 | 24.10 | 204 | 177 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
a slump in the middle of the year. On the whole, the industry evidently was not affected by the depression until somewhat later than most basic industries. The early spring revival was unquestionably produced by the hope that there would be a stimulation in the building trades and in general construction and repair work, leading to large demand for the products of planing mills. This expectation, however, was not realized and a slump in the spring of 1921 occurred.


## Employment

Total decline in employment from the high point in July, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $24.4 \%$. There was a gradual decline in employment through 1920, followed by a marked drop in January, continued recovery through the spring months, and a slight slump in June, 1921. From the beginning of 1921 to the middle of the year the recovery from the

depression period showed a $4.8 \%$ increase in total employment. In June, 1921 there were $33.1 \%$ fewer people employed in identical establishments than in July, 1914. June, 1920 marked a slight decline in employment in comparison with July, 1914. The whole industry during this period experienced only a slight growth, as comparison of the 1914 and 1919 Censuses of Manufactures shows. The industry being practically the same size in 1920 as in 1914, the comparison should rather be made between 1920 and 1921. If the industry had expanded even at the normal rate relative to the increase of population between 1914 and 1920, the decrease in employment from the high point in 1920 up to July, 1921 would not have appeared so distinctive. The loss in total numbers employed between June, 1920 and June, 1921 is, however, approximately an average decrease for most of the basic industries.

The fact that industry did not increase in size during the war period also has a direct effect on hours and wages. If there had been a particularly high demand for the products of this industry, there would have been unquestionably a faster increase in wages. The demand for planing mill products comes not only from building trades operations, or primary construction, but also from replacement and repair work, or secondary construction. The tendency toward decline in
building trades operations has curtailed considerably the activity of planing mills, particularly in the months of 1921.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914, were 55.6. In correlation with the general reduction of manufacturing hours during the war period, there was a reduction to 52.4 hours per week in June, 1920. This standard of hours was maintained practically throughout the rest of 1920, there being a slight decline in the months of 1921.

The trend of actual average hours of plant operation shows a reduction from 53.2 in July, 1914 to 52.8 in June, 1920. Plant activity began to decrease gradually in December, 1920, while the trough was reached in January. A marked revival, probably stimulated by the hope that building trades and reconstruction would start in the spring, started a steady and permanent recovery up to the middle of 1921.

The average actual week per wage earner showed a decline from 52.5 hours in July, 1914 to 48.9 hours in June, 1920. This decline in actual man hours is not so distinctive as in many other industries during this period. The general trend of actual hours per wage earner has followed the line of plant activity closely, the trough period occurring in the winter months of 1920-1921, at the same time as the depression in plant activity, followed by a marked recovery in the spring of 1921. In June, 1921 the average wage earner in planing mills was working nearly the full time that the plant operated. Indications are that there has been a recovery of productive efficiency on the part of the individual wage earner in 1921.

## Conclusion

1. Decrease in wages has been gradual since the peak in the fall of 1920, except for slight stimulus in the early spring of 1921, due to the hope of a revival in building and construction work.
2. Wage declines in both hourly and weekly earnings indicate that the general wage reductions throughout Amer-ican-manufacturing industries up to July, 1921 had not vitally influenced conditions in this industry. The slight decline in weekly earnings is ascribable to the maintenance
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | Male SKilled |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Actual } \\ \text { Hours } \end{gathered}$ | AverageHourly Earning | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Weekly } \\ & \text { Earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | AverageActual Hours | AverageHourly Earnings | AverageWeekly Earnings | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July* . . } \end{array}$ | 5,368 | 53.1 | \$. 191 | \$10.15 | 100 | 100 | 5,696 | 52.0 | \$.299 | \$15.56 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. . . . . . } \end{array}$ | 5,061 | 48.4* | . 430 | 20.84 | 225 | 205 | 6,945 | 49.3 | . 632 | 31.17 | 211 | 200 |
| July. | 5,614 | 49.7 | . 429 | 21.32 | 225 | 210 | 7,286 | 49.0 | . 636 | 31.14 | 213 | 200 |
| August | 5,509 | 49.7 | . 445 | 22.10 | 233 | 218 | 7,256 | 48.7 | . 650 | 31.67 | 217 | 204 |
| September | 5,186 | 50.3 | . 447 | 22.49 | 234 | 222 | 7,162 | 48.7 | . 655 | 31.86 | 219 | 205 |
| October... | 4,947 | 50.5 | . 451 | 22.78 | 236 | 224 | 6,813 | 48.4 | . 660 | 31.90 | 221 | 205 |
| November. | 4,815 | 50.0 | . 445 | 22.26 | 233 | 219 | 6,398 | 47.3 | . 662 | 31.33 | 221 | 201 |
| December. | 4,416 | 48.9 | . 431 | 21.06 | 226 | 207 | 6,245 | 47.0 | . 651 | 30.60 | 218 | 197 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \text { January..... } \end{array}$ | 3,815 | 47.5 | . 417 | 19.81 | 218 | 195 | 5,491 | 42.8 | . 644 | 27.56 | 215 | 177 |
| February | 4,175 | 47.6 | . 401 | 19.10 | 210 | 188 | 5,542 | 44.8 | . 632 | 28.32 | 211 | 182 |
| March. | 4,208 | 49.1 | . 399 | 19.59 | 209 | 193 | 5,558 | 44.5 | . 630 | 28.04 | 211 | 180 |
| April | 4,316 | 48.7 | . 389 | 18.95 | 204 | 187 | 5,814 | 44.9 | . 621 | 27.91 | 208 | 179 |
| May | 4,204 | 50.2 | . 376 | 18.85 | 197 | 186 | 5,810 | 46.3 | . 599 | 27.75 | 200 | 178 |
| June | 4,115 | 48.4 | . 377 | 18.23 | 197 | 180 | 5,638 | 48.0 | . 592 | 28.38 | 198 | 182 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
of practically full-time operation during 1920 and the first half of 1921.

3. The total employment declined $24 \%$ from the high point of 1920 through June, 1921. The decline seemed severe in view of the fact that the industry as a whole showed only a slight expansion in the years 1914-1921, inclusive.
4. The nominal week and the hours of plant operation declined from 1914-1920, following general reductions in hours throughout industry.
5. The hours worked by the individual wage earner closely follow those of plant activity, indicating, in the spring of 1921, a marked recovery of productive efficiency.

## XX

## FURNITURE MANUFACTURING

The wage investigation in this industry includes establishments manufacturing wooden and upholstered furniture, and also a few plants engaged in cabinet work. A total of 166 establishments is covered, employing over 20,000 wageearners in June, 1920. Women were employed in 92 of these plants. Approximately $13 \%$ of the wage earners listed in the 1919 Census of Manufactures as then employed in this industry are covered in this investigation. The majority of the returns came from the largest centers of furniture manufacturing. The geographical distribution within 27 states is as follows:

California.................... 4 Montana....................... 1
Connecticut.................. 4 New Jersey.................... 2
Georgia...................... 2 New York..................... . 25
Illinois........................ 9 Ohio............................ 19
Indiana....................... 17 Oregon.......................... 2
Kansas......................... 1 Pennsylvania................... 15
Kentucky.................... 6 South Carolina................. 1
Maryland................... 3 Tennessee..................... 1
Massachusetts............... 9 Texas.................................. 2
Missouri........................ 4 Virginia............................... 1
Michigan..................... 21 Vermont....................... 2
Maine....................... 1 West Virginia.................. 1
Minnesota.................... 3 Wisconsin..................... 7
North Carolina............... 3

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $150 \%$ in December, 1920 in comparison with July, 1914. The decline from the peak up to July, 1921 was $12.7 \%$, showing a gradual fall about equal to the general decline of hourly earnings during this period. In December, 1920 hourly earnings of common labor were $182 \%$ above 1914, and those of skilled labor had increased $148 \%$. The high point for women was reached in October, when hourly earnings had increased $141 \%$. The percentages
of decline from these various peaks up to July, 1921 for the three groups were: common labor, $14.8 \%$; skilled labor, $12.2 \%$; women, $12.9 \%$. In June, 1921 the three groups showed respective increases over 1914 , of $140 \%, 118 \%$ and $109 \%$. The general level of hourly earnings was somewhat higher than in other industries.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners showed an increase of $142 \%$ from July, 1914 to September, 1920, and declined $15.3 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921. Weekly earnings were at the low point in the middle of 1921, as the result of gradual reduction. The high point of weekly earnings for the male group was reached in September, 1920, when common labor showed an increase of $159 \%$ and skilled labor an increase of $143 \%$ over 1914. The peak for women was reached in October, when an increase of $134 \%$ was shown. The declines from these various periods up to July, 1921 were: common labor, $14.2 \%$; skilled labor, $15.4 \%$; women, $19.5 \%$. In June, 1921 the percentage increases over 1914 were respectively $122 \%, 105 \%$, $89 \%$. In general, reduction of wages has been gradual, the decline in weekly earnings being directly affected by the decline in plant activity.

## Employment

The decline in the total number of wage earners employed from June, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $23.7 \%$. The trough was reached in January, 1921, when there was a decline of $29.3 \%$ from June, 1920, followed by an increase to June, 1921 of $7.8 \%$. Indications pointed toward a slight revival of industrial activity during 1921. The declines in employment for the three groups, between June, 1920 and June, 1921, were, for common labor, $22.2 \%$, skilled labor, $22.7 \%$ and women, $33.8 \%$.

Comparison of employment in identical establishments between July, 1914 and June, 1920 shows practically no change. In June, 1921, there were $19 \%$ fewer wage earners employed in plants than in July, 1914.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 55. A smaller decrease in working hours took place during


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { One } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Week } \\ \text { ln } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {Establ }}^{\text {1914-21 }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { AAla } \\ \text { Establ. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Week } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earner } \end{gathered}$ | Actual <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nom- } \\ & \text { inal } \\ & \text { Week } \end{aligned}$ | Av.Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Eangs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index Nos. } \\ & \text { Earninge. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*. } \end{gathered}$ | 14,140 | 14,140 | 49.0 | 51.5 | 55.1 | \$.224 | \$10.95 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 June... | 14,357 | 20,052 | 49.3 | 51.4 | 52.3 | 526 | 25.91 | 235 | 237 |
| July. | 14,333 | 19,987 | 48.5 | 51.0 | 52.3 | . 534 | 25.88 | 238 | 236 |
| Aug. | 14,193 | 19,965 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 52.3 | . 538 | 26.08 | 240 | 238 |
| Sept | 13,942 | 19,511 | 48.3 | 50.6 | 52.2 | . 549 | 26.49 | 245 | 242 |
| Oct. | 13,524 | 18,627 | 46.9 | 49.2 | 52.3 | . 552 | 25.87 | 246 | 236 |
| Nov | 13,248 | 17,719 | 45.9 | 47.8 | 52.3 | . 556 | 25.51 | 248 | 233 |
| Dec. | 12,154 | 16,127 | 44.6 | 46.8 | 52.3 | . 561 | 25.05 | 250 | 229 |
| 1921 Jan... | 11,146 | 14186 | 42.6 | 45.0 | 52.2 | 542 | 23.10 | 242 | 211 |
| Feb. | 11,149 | 14,551 | 43.0 | 45.7 | 52.2 | . 524 | 22.52 | 234 | 206 |
| March | 11,231 | 14,957 | 44.9 | 46.8 | 52.2 | 509 | 22.85 | 227 | 209 |
| April. | 11,402 | 15,232 | 45.1 | 47.1 | 52.2 | 497 | 22.40 | 222 | 205 |
| May. | 11,519 | 15,340 | 45.9 | 48.2 | 52.1 | 498 | 22.89 | 222 | 209 |
| June. | 11,448 | 15,293 | 45.8 | 47.4 | 52.2 | . 490 | 22.45 | 219 | 205 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
the war period than in many industries, there having been a general reduction to slightly under $521 / 2$ hours a week in June, 1920. The latter standard was maintained to the middle of 1921 .

The average week of plant operation showed only a slight decrease from 51.5 hours in July, 1914 to 51.4 hours in June, 1920. Plant activity showed a slight decrease through September, followed by more severe reductions to the low point of 45 hours in January. There was a marked recovery of industrial activity during the following months of 1921, though production did not reach the standard of the preceding year.

The average week per wage earner was 49 hours in July, 1914. The summer months of 1920 showed an average of approximately 48 hours per week. The industrial depression, however, began to take effect in the late fall and continued through January, when a trough of 42.6 hours was reached.


As the recovery in plant activity increased, a similar revival affected the actual week per wage earner during the spring of 1921. The whole trend in hours presents a particularly good picture of an industry threatened by the industrial depression, though not so seriously as many other basic industries.

## Conclusion

1. Decline in hourly earnings has been less than in the majority of basic industries, while the decrease in weekly earnings has been affected more by a reduction in working hours than by wage cutting. The general trend of wage reductions is in close sympathy with the movement in industry as a whole, outside of the metal and textile industries.
2. A decline in the total employment of $24 \%$ during the past year shows that the tendency has been to curtail working forces rather than to make severe wage reductions.
3. Industrial activity was maintained during the summer of 1920 , slumped during the winter, and recovered in the spring months of 1921.
Classified Payroll Data

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 备 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 웅야애유N <br>  |  ザツツツツ |
|  | 安室室品 | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{m} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ | Noanarn <br>  | ササーサーに <br>  |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | Now Now oir －O－NがN |  デデデデデテ |
|  |  | 8 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 |  NNANNN |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  No |  N゙NでN゙N |
|  | 安安安富 | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\infty$ | $\infty \infty \infty \infty$ に $m$ <br>  |  <br>  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ， <br>  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  | F |
|  |  | 8 | ～20 |  |
|  | 安安哑 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  웅웅 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 我要至 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | anfonio <br>  | r－nnomn <br>  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{6} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  Nンnmmin |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \pm \vdots \\ \stackrel{y}{a} \\ \stackrel{y}{3} \end{gathered}$ | 佥 |  |

＊1914－1921 establishments only．See pp．3， 5.

## XXI

## BRICK AND TILE MANUFACTURING

Wage data for this industry were received from establishments engaged in the manufacture of brick, tile and fire-clay products. The compilation includes 143 separate plants employing 7,715 wage earners in July, 1920. Statistics covering women have not been compiled, as the number of women employed in the industry is negligible. While the number of establishments and wage earners covered in this report is small in comparison with the whole industry, the source and type of establishments covered is representative. According to the 1919 Census of Manufacturers, the average number employed in brick and tile plants is 36 wage earners. In these data, the ayerage number is 54 wage earners. The geographical distribution within 31 states is as follows:

| Arkansas. | 2 | Nebraska. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 7 | New Hampshire. |  |
| Colorado | 1 | New Jersey.. |  |
| Connecticut | 2 | New Mexico. |  |
| Delaware | 1 | New York. |  |
| Illinois. | 12 | Ohio. | 26 |
| Indiana. | 7 | Pennsylvania | 16 |
| Iowa. | 17 | South Dakota |  |
| Kansas | 2 | Tennessee. |  |
| Kentucky | 6 | Texas. |  |
| Maryland. | 2 | Virginia. |  |
| Massachusetts | 5 | Washington |  |
| Michigan. | 4 | West Virginia. |  |
| Minnesota | 3 | Wisconsin. |  |
| Missouri | 2 | Wyoming. |  |
| Mont |  |  |  |

The wage data show that the common or unskilled group was approximately twice as large as the skilled group. It will be noted, however, that the average hourly earnings of the unskilled class are not widely divergent from the skilled group. This is due to the fact that certain companies do not clearly distinguish between common and skilled labor. Many plants consider their entire force as common labor, outside of a few
skilled overseers; others designate the whole group as skilled; the work performed by both groups is, however, essentially the same. The vagueness of occupational classification, therefore, prevents compilation of exact wage data.

The seasonal fluctuations, during the winter and early spring months, are clearly shown by this report. As there are no previous wage data available for comparative purposes, it is not known just how much the economic depression has sharpened the seasonal fluctuation during this period. Unquestionably, stagnation in the building trades and in the demand for products of the brick and tile industry has had direct effect upon plant operation.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings for all wage earners showed an increase of $139 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 was $23.2 \%$. In June, 1921, the increase was $84 \%$ over July, 1914. The general peak was reached in the early fall of 1920. A gradual decline followed up to July, 1921.

In October, 1920 hourly earnings of common labor had increased $147 \%$ and skilled labor $125 \%$ above July, 1914. The decline from the general peak in October, 1920 up to July, 1921 amounted to $24.6 \%$ for common labor and $18.8 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921 the percentages of increase over July, 1914 for the groups were, respectively, $86 \%$ and $82 \%$.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings for all wage earners increased $135 \%$ from July, 1914 to October, 1920 and declined $25.7 \%$ from the latter period up to July, 1921, showing a net increase through the middle of June, 1921 of $75 \%$ over July, 1914. The high point in weekly earnings for both groups was reached in October, 1920, when common labor was $135 \%$-and skilled labor $138 \%$ above 1914. Gradual declines from the latter period through June, 1921 amounted to $26.2 \%$ for common labor and $23.7 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921, the percentages of increase over July, 1914 for the two groups were, respectively, $73 \%$ and $82 \%$.

Both weekly and hourly wages in the brick and tile industry have declined rapidly and show a closer return to prewar levels than in the majority of basic industries. However,


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | Numbers Employed |  | Average Weekly Hours |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914-21 } \\ & \text { Estab- } \\ & \text { lish- } \\ & \text { ments } \end{aligned}$ | Toral All Estab-lishments | Actual Week Per Wage Earner | Actual <br> Hours <br> Plant <br> Opera- <br> tion | Nominal Week | Av. Hrly. Earags. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index No. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July**. } \end{gathered}$ | 6,957 | 6,957 | 52.5 | 54.8 | 56.8 | \$.244 | \$12.82 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1920 \\ & \text { Iune } \end{aligned}$ | 6,301 | 7,653 | 51.8 | 53.5 | 55.1 | 543 | 28.13 | 223 | 219 |
| July | 6,442 | 7,715 | 51.5 | 52.9 | 55.1 | . 553 | 28.48 | 227 | 222 |
| Aug. | 6,193 | 7,606 | 51.4 | 52.9 | 55.1 | . 554 | 28.50 | 227 | 222 |
| Sept | 6,013 | 7,408 | 51.4 | 52.6 | 55.1 | . 572 | 29.41 | 234 | 229 |
| Oct. | 5,638 | 6,890 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 55.0 | . 583 | 30.12 | 239 | 235 |
| Nov | 5,070 | 6,190 | 50.1 | 50.3 | 55.0 | . 570 | 28.54 | 234 | 223 |
| Dec. | 4,325 | 5,227 | 49.2 | 48.4 | 54.9 | . 548 | 26.98 | 225 | 210 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1921 \\ \operatorname{Tan} . . \end{array}$ | 3,358 | 4,033 | 49.0 | 47.2 | 54.9 | 515 | 25.23 | 211 | 197 |
| Feb. | 3,302 | 3,974 | 47.9 | 47.0 | 55.1 | . 489 | 23.45 | 200 | 183 |
| March | 3,659 | 4,344 | 48.2 | 48.3 | 55.0 | . 474 | 22.83 | 194 | 178 |
| April. | 4,574 | 5,258 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 55.1 | . 459 | 22.34 | 188 | 174 |
| May. | 4,604 | 5,440 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 55.1 | 450 | 22.16 | 184 | 173 |
| June... | 4,432 | 5,252 | 50.0 | 49.8 | 55.1 | . 448 | 22.39 | 184 | 175 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
in this connection three factors must be considered. In the first place, June, 1921 is a period just at the threshold of summer operations, when the brick and tile industry operates at its height. Weekly wages unquestionably rose in the full productive season in the summer months of 1921-a period not covered by these statistics. The second factor is the general stagnation of building trades, which resulted in substantial wage reductions and a large amount of part-time operation in the brick and tile industry. The third factor is the seasonal fluctuation, accentuated by the general economic depression, which would naturally reenforce tendencies toward wage reductions.

## Employment

Total decline in employment from the peak in July, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $31.9_{,}^{\circ}$. The trend of employment

shows a gradual decrease amounting, as a whole, to $48.5 \%$ from July, 1920 to February, 1921. The increase between February and June, 1921 amounted to $32.2 \%$. The decline from July, 1920 up to July, 1921 in common labor amounted to $30.7 \%$, and skilled labor declined $36.5 \%$ from June, 1920 up to July, 1921.

The decline in total employment from July, 1914 to June, 1920 was $9.4 \%$. This followed the decrease of $12 \%$ in the total number of wage earners employed in'the entire industry shown in the Census Reports of 1914-1919. In June, 1921 there were $36.3 \%$ less wage earners employed in identical establishments than in July, 1914. The decline in employment is due to the fact that the brick and tile industry was one of the few not especially stimulated by war demands, and to the general economic depression that has occurred since the general peak of business in 1920.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week in July, 1914 were 56.8. From July, 1914 to June, 1920, there was a drop to 55 hours. This standard remained stationary until July, 1921. The brick and tile industry was less affected by the
reduction of hours of the nominal or standard working week than almost any other industry covered in this investigation.

The trend of average actual hours of plant operation shows a reduction from 54.8 in July, 1914 to 53.5 in June, 1920. In October, 1920, there came a slight reduction in hours, followed by the general period of stagnation. The tremendous depression in the winter, reaching the trough of 47 hours in February, 1921, was due to the fact that many plants were entirely closed during these months. Thus, the average hours of plant operation for the whole group of establishments was naturally reduced, though the hours of plant operation for those still continuing to manufacture probably did not fall to such a great extent.

The average actual hours per wage earner showed a decline from 52.5 in July, 1914 to 51.8 in June, 1920. The level of $511 / 2$ hours a week was maintained through October, 1920, followed by the depression period in the winter months. The average of worker hours was sustained in comparison with the average of plant hours, due to the fact that the wage earners retained in plants that operated, maintained a fairly regular working week. In other words, plants in the brick and tile industry either shut down entirely, during the depression period, or operated on part-time production.

## Conclusion

1. Decline in weekly and hourly earnings has been rapid, due particularly to the stagnation in building operations, municipal construction, and railroad maintenance.
2. The declines from the peak up to July, 1921 amounted to $23.2 \%$ in hourly earnings and $25.7 \%$ in weekly earnings.
3. The net increase in June, 1921, over July, 1914, a mounted to $84 \%$ in average hourly earnings and $75 \%$ in average weekly earnings. While the amount of wage declines has been extensive, these net wage increases in June, 1921, are below those found in many other basic industries.
4. Decline in total employment for the industry has been marked throughout the entire seven-year period, due to the absence of war stimulation and the general economic depression during 1921.
5. The trends in the hours of the nominal week and in plant operation show only slight declines between July, 1914
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIOD | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | Male Skilled |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | Avêrage Actual Hour | Average Earning | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Weekly } \\ & \text { Earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Wage } \\ \text { Earners } \end{gathered}$ | AverageActualHours | AverageHourly Earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Weekly } \\ & \text { Earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |
| July*......... | 4,604 | 54.3 | \$.220 | \$11.94 | 100 | 100 | 2,353 | 49.2 | \$. 296 | \$14.54 | 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June. ...... } \end{array}$ | 5,228 | 51.4 | . 512 | 26.30 | 233 | 220 | 2,425 | 52.6 | . 610 | 32.05 | 206 | 220 |
| July | 5,355 | 51.4 | . 527 | 27.12 | 240 | 227 | 2,360 | 51.5 | . 613 | 31.57 | 207 | 217 |
| August | 5,349 | 51.2 | . 523 | 26.77 | 238 | 224 | 2,257 | 51.9 | . 628 | 32.61 | 212 | 224 |
| September | 5,112 | 51.1 | . 539 | 27.52 | 245 | 230 | 2,296 | 52.2 | . 644 | 33.60 | 218 | 231 |
| October. | 4,705 | 51.5 | . 544 | 28.02 | 247 | 235 | 2,185 | 52.1 | . 665 | 34.64 | 225 | 238 |
| November. | 4,063 | 50.4 | . 533 | 26.88 | 242 | 225 | 2,127 | 49.5 | . 640 | 31.69 | 216 | 218 |
| December. | 3,297 | 49.0 | . 507 | 24.80 | 230 | 208 | 1,930 | 49.7 | . 618 | 30.70 | 209 | 211 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 2,456 | 47.7 | 485 | 23.13 | 220 | 194 | 1,577 | 50.9 | . 560 | 28.51 | 189 | 196 |
| February | 2,463 | 47.7 | . 466 | 22.21 | 212 | 186 | 1,511 | 48.4 | . 527 | 25.47 | 178 | 175 |
| March | 2,840 | 48.2 | . 440 | 21:21 | 200 | 178 | 1,504 | 48.2 | . 538 | 25.89 | 182 | 178 |
| April | 3,696 | 48.9 | . 427 | 20.86 | 194 | 175 | 1,562 | 48.0 | 538 | 25.83 | 182 | 178 |
| May | 3,853 | 50.1 | . 413 | 20.67 | 188 | 173 | 1,587 | 47.4 | . 543 | 25.76 | 183 | 177 |
| June | 3,711 | 50.4 | . 410 | 20.69 | 186 | 173 | 1,541 | 49.0 | . 540 | 26.44 | 182 | 182 |

* 1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
and June, 1920. The hours of plant activity decreased extensively through the early months of 1921. The actual week per wage earner also showed large declines influenced by the depression in plant activity, but was well sustained during a large part of the depression period, due to the fact that the wage earners retained in active plants were employed on full time.

6. The general situation in the industry has been marked by plant shutdowns rather than by reduction of working hours and part-time operation.
7. The general depression period in the industry occurred in the winter months of 1920-1921, accentuated by the regular seasonal fluctuation.

## XXII

## GENERATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF ELECTRICITY

Wage data were received from 236 establishments engaged in the generation and distribution of electricity, employing 16,268 wage earners at the high point in December, 1920. Approximately $20 \%$ of all wage earners listed in the 1917 Census of Central Electric Light and Power Stations, were included in the investigation. The geographical distribution within 37 states is as follows:
Arizona 7 Nebraska ..... 2
Delaware 1 North Dakota ..... 1
California 21 New Hampshire ..... 1
Colorado. 4 New Jersey ..... 2
Connecticut 6 New York ..... 23
Florida 1 North Carolina ..... 1
Georgia 5 Ohio ..... 11
Illinois 22 Oregon ..... 2
Indiana 9 Pennsylvania ..... 25
Iowa 10 Rhode Island ..... 1
Kansas 5 South Carolina ..... 2
Kentucky 1 South Dakota ..... 2
Maryland 2 Texas ..... 5
Massachusetts. 19 West Virginia ..... 6
Maine 1 Vermont ..... 2
Michigan 6 Washington ..... 4
Minnesota 4 Wyoming ..... 3
Missouri 2 Wisconsin ..... 215

As there is continuous operation in electric light plants, the emphasis in this wage investigation is placed on weekly earnings. The great majority of employees are either on a monthly or a weekly basis, although a percentage of common labor is paid on an hourly basis. The fluctuations in the composite returns in both hourly and weekly earnings are due in general to the common labor group.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $105 \%$ from July, 1914 to November,

1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921, declined $4.6 \%$, leaving a net increase of $96 \%$. The trend shows an increase in hourly earnings to November, 1920, and a gradual decline since that time. The peak of hourly earnings for common labor in October, 1920 showed an increase of $111 \%$ over 1914. The peak of hourly earnings for skilled labor was reached in November, 1920, when there was shown an increase of $104 \%$ over 1914. The percentages of decline from these peaks up to July, 1921 were $8.1 \%$ for common labor and $4.1 \%$ for skilled labor.

In the middle of June, 1921, the hourly earnings of common labor were $94 \%$ higher than those of 1914, and those of skilled labor, $96 \%$. The great decline in hourly earnings for common labor is in line with the general decrease in wages of common labor throughout basic industries.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $89 \%$ from July, 1914, to August, 1920, and from the latter period up to July, 1921 declined $4.1 \%$, leaving a net increase of $81 \%$ over 1914 . The general trend is affected slightly by the peaks in hourly earnings during this period, but in general, weekly earnings remained at a stationary level from June, 1920 up to July, 1921.

The peak of weekly earnings of common labor was reached in August, 1920, when they stood $86 \%$ higher than 1914, and for skilled labor, in October, 1920, when they were $95 \%$ higher than in 1914. The percentages of decrease for both groups up to July, 1921 were $14.3 \%$ for common labor and $3.4 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921, the weekly earnings of common labor were $59 \%$ above 1914, and those of skilled labor $88 \%$.

In general, the trend of wages in electric light plants has remained more or less stationary in 1920 and the first half of 1921. Hourly earnings show a net increase of $96 \%$ and weekly earnings an increase of $81 \%$ over 1914 . The net increase is below the general level in the majority of basic industries.

## Employment

The percentage of decline from December, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $12.7 \%$. In general, the employment situation in electric light plants has been less affected by economic depression than in manufacturing industries.

(National Industrial Conference Board)

Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wages } \\ \text { (All Wage Earners) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914-21 } \\ & \text { Establ. } \end{aligned}$ | Total All Establ. | Av. <br> Week <br> Per <br> Wage <br> Earner | Nominal Week | Av. Hrly. Earngs. | Av. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*... } \end{array}$ | 6,624 | 6,624 | 52.7 | 54.9 | \$.278 | \$14.68 | - 100 | 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1920 \\ \text { June.... } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 4 | 547 | 26.72 | 197 | 182 |
| July . | 10,697 | 14,819 | 48.1 | 53.4 | 550 | 26.62 | 198 | 181 |
| August | 11,064 | 15,089 | 50.2 | 53.4 | . 554 | 27.79 | 199 | 189 |
| September | 11,071 | 15,229 | 49.0 | 53.4 | 559 | 27.37 | 201 | 186 |
| October | 11,355 | 15,556 | 48.5 | 53.4 | 567 | 27.54 | 204 | 188 |
| November | 11,416 | 15,817 | 47.7 | 53.4 | 570 | 27.17 | 205 | 185 |
| December | 11,598 | 16,268 | 46.8 | 53.4 | . 564 | 26.40 | 203 | 180 |
| 1921 | 10,402 | 14,843 | 47.7 | 53.4 | 561 | 26.77 | 202 | 182 |
| February | 10,402 9,687 | 13,723 | 48.5 | 53.4 | 562 | 27.27 | 202 | 186 |
| March.. | 9,682 | 14,011 | 48.1 | 53.4 | 558 | 26.85 | 201 | 183 |
| April. | 10,039 | 14,186 | 48.2 | 53.4 | 554 | 26.69 | 199 | 182 |
| May | 9,997 | 13,966 | 48.8 | 53.4 | . 549 | 26.77 | 197 | 182 |
| June .... | 10,100 | 14,209 | 48.9 | 53.4 | . 544 | 26.64 | 196 | 181 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

The increase in the total number employed in identical establishments was $56.6 \%$ between July, 1914 and June, 1920. In the middle of June, 1921, $52.5 \%$ more employees were engaged in identical establishments than in July, 1914.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week decreased from 54.9 in July, 1914 to 53.4 in June, 1920. The latter standard remained unchanged up to July, 1921.

The average week per wage earner amounted to 52.7 hours in July, 1914. In June, 1920, the hours had decreased to 48.9. The fluctuations in working hours during the rest of the period up to July, 1921, are due principally to the varying amount of time worked by the common labor group. The hours of the skilled group averaged about 50 hours continuously.


## Conclusion

1. The wage situation in electric light plants has been little affected by the general economic depression, as continuous operation in this industry tends to maintain production, the working force, and hours, up to the general normal level.
2. There has been a proportionately small decline in hourly earnings from the peak to the middle of 1921 as compared with manufacturing industries.
3. The decline in weekly earnings for common labor has been at a general level with that in many manufacturing industries, but the composite decrease in weekly earnings amounts to only $4 \%$.
4. Employment in electric light plants has beeń little affected by the general economic depression, the total decline amounting to less than $13 \%$. There has been a large expansion of the industry between 1914 and 1920.
5. There can be little comparison made between wage and employment conditions in electric light plants and, generally speaking, all public utility plants, and those of manufacturing establishments.
Classified Payroll Data

| Period |  | - | MALE UN | killed |  |  |  |  | male s | lled |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week In | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Ware } \\ \text { Earanes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Actur } \\ \text { Hours } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Earanc } \\ \text { Earan } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Eacher } \\ \text { Earang } \end{gathered}$ | Index Nos.Earnings |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Eage } \\ \text { Eargers } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Actaul } \\ \text { Hours } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Earrings } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Averfy } \\ & \text { Earnings } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |  |  |  |  | Hourly | Weekly |
| $\begin{gathered} 1914 \\ \text { July*........ } \end{gathered}$ | 1,318 | 50.6 | \$. 239 | \$12:11 | 100 | 100 | 5,306 | 53.3 | $\$ 288$ | \$15.32 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 | 3,411 |  | . 482 | 21.86 | 202 | 181 | 11,054 |  |  | 28.22 | 196 |  |
| July | 3,499 | 44.3 | . 479 | 21.17 | 200 | 175 | 11,320 | 49.7 | . 570 | 28.30 | 198 | 185 |
| August. | 3,545 | 46.7 | 483 | 22.53 | 202 | 186 | 11,544 | 51.3 | . 573 | 29.40 | 199 | 192 |
| September | 3,705 | 43.6 | 495 | 21.56 | 207 | 178 | 11,524 | 50.7 | . 576 | 29.23 | 200 | 191 |
| October.. | 3,839 | 40.8 | 505 | ${ }^{20.60}$ | 211 | 170 | 11,717 | 51.1 | 584 | 29.81 | 203 | 195 |
| November | 3,771 | 41.9 | . 502 | ${ }_{21}^{21.03}$ | 210 | 174 | 12,046 | 49.8 | . 588 | 29.09 | 204 | 190 |
| December. | 3,827 | 40.5 | . 498 | 20.17 | 208 | 167 | 12,441 | 48.8 | . 581 | 28.33 | 202 | 185 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January. | ${ }_{3}^{3,581}$ | 38.8 41.4 | 489 479 | 18.94 19.85 | ${ }_{200}^{205}$ | ${ }_{164}^{156}$ | 11,262 10,686 | 50.5 | . 588 | 29.26 29.38 | 202 | 191 192 |
| March | 3,275 | 40.6 | 474 | 19.23 | 198 | 159 | 10,736 | 50.4 | . 578 | 29.17 | 201 | 190 |
| April. | 3,346 | 40.5 | 466 | 18.88 | 195 | ${ }^{156}$ | 10,840 | 50.6 | . 575 | 29.10 | 200 | 190 |
| May | 3,270 | ${ }_{41.0}^{41.6}$ | 465 | 19.07 | 195 | 157 | 10,696 | 51.1 | . 569 | 29.12 | 198 | 190 |
| June. | 3,249 | 41.6 | . 464 | 19.30 | 194 | 159 | 10,960 | 51.1 | . 564 | 28.81 | 196 | 188 |

## XXIII

## MANUFACTURE AND DISTRIBUTION OF GAS

The wage investigation in this industry covers establishments engaged in the manufacture and distribution of gas. Returns were received from 62 plants, employing 7,207 wage earners at the high point in August, 1920. Over $16 \%$ of the wage earners listed by the 1919 Census of Manufactures as then employed in this industry were covered in this investigation. The geographical distribution within 24 states is as follows:

| California | 2 | New Jersey | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colorado. | 1 | New York.. | 9 |
| Connecticut | 3 | North Carolina. | 1 |
| Georgia | 1 | Ohio. | 2 |
| Illinois. | 2 | Pennsylvania. | 6 |
| Indiana. | 1 | Rhode Island. | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | South Carolina. | 1 |
| Maryland. | 2 | South Dakota.. | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 9 | Vermont. | 3 |
| Michigan. | 3 | Virginia. |  |
| Montana. | 1 | Washington. | 1 |
| Minnesota | 3 | Wisconsin. . | 5 |

The chief importance of a wage inquiry among public utilities, which operate continuously, is to reflect the trend of weekly earnings. Hourly earnings are of little interest, due to the fact that there is continuous operation in gas plants and that most of the employees are either on a monthly or weekly basis. There is a good proportion, however, of common labor paid on an hourly basis and it is this group that is responsible for most of the variations in the trend of composite earnings. The chief worth of this study is to compare the general levels of wages in 1914 and 1920-1921.

## Wages

(a) Hourly earnings: Composite hourly earnings of all wage earners increased $116 \%$ between July, 1914 and Septem-
ber, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 amounted to $6.7 \%$, leaving a net increase of $102 \%$ in June, 1921. The peak of hourly earnings for common labor was reached in November, 1920, when there was shown an increase of $160 \%$ over 1914, and in September, 1920 for skilled labor, when there was indicated an increase of $93 \%$ over 1914. The percentages of decrease from these peaks up to July, 1921 amounted to $11.8 \%$ for common labor and $4.1 \%$ for skilled labor. In June, 1921 hourly earnings of common labor were $129 \%$ over 1914, and those of skilled labor, $85 \%$. The downward trend has been gradual throughout 1920-1921.
(b) Weekly earnings: Composite weekly earnings of all wage earners increased $114 \%$ between July, 1914 and October, 1920. The decline from the latter period up to July, 1921 amounted to $7.6 \%$, showing a net increase of $98 \%$ in June, 1921. The data reflect a gradual decline during 19201921. The peak of weekly earnings for both common and skilled labor was reached in October, 1920, the former group showing an increase of $148 \%$ and the latter group an increase of $94 \%$ over July, 1914. From October, 1920 up to July, 1921, the percentages of decrease were: for common labor, $10.7 \%$ and for skilled labor, $6.1 \%$. In the middle of June, 1921, common labor was still $122 \%$ above 1914 and skilled labor, $82 \%$. The decrease in wages has been slight and far below that in most manufacturing industries. Wages have less tendency to change in a public utility, due to constant hours and freedom from conditions which in other manufacturing establishments tend to draw wages down.

## Employment

The decline in the total number of wage earners from the high point in August, 1920 up to July, 1921 was $8.2 \%$, a decrease substantially lower than that found in manufacturing industries. The peak for common labor was also reached in August, 1920; for skilled labor, the high point was in February, 1921. The percentages of decline from these peaks up to July, 1921 were $17.2 \%$ for common labor and $9.1 \%$ for skilled labor.

Between July, 1914 and June, 1920 there was a slight drop


Composite Payroll Data

| Period | No. Wage Earners Employed |  | Average Hours |  | Wages(All Wage Earners) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Week $\mathrm{l}_{\text {n }}$ | 1914-21 Establ. | Total <br> All <br> Establ. | Av. <br> Week Per Wage Earner | Nominal Week | Av. <br> Arly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*.... } \end{array}$ | 6,495 | 6,495 | 52.0 | 63.3 | \$.270 | \$14.01 | 100 | 100 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. | 6,369 | 6,517 | 50.9 | 59.3 | . 570 | 29.01 | 211 | 207 |
| July. | 6,929 | 7,077 | 48.7 | 59.3 | . 565 | 27.51 | 209 | 196 |
| August | 7,049 | 7,207 | 47.7 | 59.3 | . 573 | 27.30 | 212 | 195 |
| September. | 6,635 | 6,784 | 50.3 | 59.3 | . 584 | 29.37 | 216 | 210 |
| October. | 6,962 | 7,104 | 51.8 | 59.3 | . 580 | 30.02 | 215 | 214 |
| November | 7,016 | 7,162 | 50.8 | 59.3 | . 583 | 29.59 | 216 | 211 |
| December | 7,000 | 7,140 | 50.5 | 59.3 | . 574 | 28.98 | 213 | 206 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January.. | 6,959 | 7,081 | 50.3 | 59.2 | . 565 | 28.43 | 209 | 203 |
| February. | 6,857 | 6,975 | 49.7 | 59.2 | . 561 | 27.93 | 208 | 199 |
| March | 6,732 | 6,840 | 51.4 | 59.2 | . 568 | 29.23 | 210 | 209 |
| April. | 6,845 | 6,974 | 50.5 | 59.2 | . 551 | 27.85 | 204 | 199 |
| May. | 6,646 | 6,777 | 50.9 | 59.2 | . 537 | 27.33 | 199 | 195 |
| June. | 6,497 | 6,618 | 50.9 | 59.2 | . 545 | 27.73 | 202 | 198 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.
in the total number of wage earners employed in identical establishments. In the middle of June, 1921 employment was at exactly the same level as in the same month seven years previous.

## Hours

The average hours of the nominal week dropped from 63.3 in July, 1914 to 59.3 in June, 1920. The latter standard has been generally maintained up to July, 1921.

The average week per wage earner fell from 52 in July, 1914 to 50.9 in June, 1920. During the course of the year, there have been fluctuations in the average week per wage earner, due to the changes in the time worked by the common labor group. In May and June, 1921, the average hours per wage earner were identical with those in June, 1920. Changes in hours have not affected wages generally except for minor fluctuations among common labor.


## Conclusion

1. The chief purpose of the wage investigation among gas plants is to compare the general level of the wages of 1920-1921 with that of 1914. The questions of hours and hourly earnings are of little importance.
2. The decline in weekly earnings was slightly over six per cent, being considerably below the level in manufacturing industries.
3. The decrease in total employment was very slight in comparison to general unemployment in basic industries.
Classified Payroll Data

| PERIODOne Week <br> In | MALE UNSKILLED |  |  |  |  |  | MALE SKILLED |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. <br> Wage <br> Earners | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. Hrly. Farngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earnings |  |  | Av. Actual Hrs. | Av. <br> Hrly. <br> Earngs. | Av. Wkly. Earngs. | Index Nos. Earning: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |  |  |  |  | Hrly. | Wkly. |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \\ \text { July*..... } \end{array}$ | 2,867 | 51.7 | \$. 208 | \$10.77 | 100 | 100 | 3,628 | 52.2 | \$.318 | \$16.58 | 100 | 100 |
| $1920$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June. . . | 2,580 | 49.4 | . 512 | 25.28 | 246 | 235 | 3,937 | 51.9 | . 606 | 31.46 | 191 | 190 |
| July.. | 3,103 | 42.5 | . 521 | 22.17 | 250 | 206 | 3,974 | 53.5 | . 592 | 31.69 | 186 | 191 |
| Aug. | 3,154 | 40.6 | . 528 | 21.42 | 253 | 199 | 4,053 | 53.2 | . 599 | 31.88 | 188 | 192 |
| Sept. | 2,701 | 48.1 | . 536 | 25.81 | 258 | 240 | 4,083 | 51.7 | . 613 | 31.73 | 193 | 191 |
| Oct. | 2,853 | 49.9 | . 536 | 26.76 | 258 | 248 | 4,251 | 53.1 | . 607 | 32.21 | 191 | 194 |
| Nov. | 2,824 | 48.4 | . 541 | 26.21 | 260 | 243 | 4,338 | 52.3 | . 608 | 31.80 | 191 | 192 |
| Dec. | 2,807 | 47.9 | . 535 | 25.61 | 257 | 238 | 4,333 | 52.2 | . 598 | 31.16 | 188 | 188 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.. | 2,722 | 48.9 | . 511 | 24.95 | 246 | 232 | 4,359 | 51.1 | . 598 | 30.60 | 188 | 185 |
| Feb. | 2,569 | 48.9 | . 496 | 24.25 | 238 | 225 | 4,406 | 50.2 | . 598 | 30.06 | 188 | 181 |
| Mar. | 2,520 | 50.4 | . 500 | 25.15 | 240 | 234 | 4,320 | 52.1 | . 607 | 31.60 | 191 | 191 |
| April | 2,702 | 48.1 | . 488 | 23.49 | 235 | 218 | 4,272 | 52.1 | . 588 | 30.61 | 185 | 185 |
| May | 2,692 | 49.5 | . 480 | 23.78 | 231 | 221 | 4,085 | 51.8 | . 572 | 29.66 | 180 | 179 |
| June. | 2,613 | 50.1 | . 477 | 23.90 | 229 | 222 | 4,005 | 51.5 | . 588 | 30.24 | 185 | 182 |

*1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

TABLE G
GEOGRAPHIGAL DISTRIBUTION OF PLANTS FURNISHING DATA, ALL INDUSTRIES


# PUBLICATIONS 

OF THE
NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CONFERENCE BOARD
(Prices given are for paper-bound copies; cloth binding fifty cents additional)

## Research Reports

Research Report No. I. Workmen's Compensation Acts in the United States -The Legal Phase. 60 pages. April, 1917. Revised, August, 1919. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 2. Analysis of British Wartime Reforts on Hours of Work as Related to Output and Fatigue. 58 pages. November, 1917. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 3. Strikis in American Industry in Wartime. 20 pages. March, 1918. 50 cents.
Research Report No. 4. Hours of Work as Related to Output and Health of Workers-Cotton Manufacturing. 64 pages. March, 1918. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 5. The Canadian Industrial Disputes Investigation Act. 28 pages. April, 1918. 50 cents.
Research Refort No. 6. Siciness Insurance or Sickness Prevention? 24 pages. May, 1918. 50 cents.
Research Report No. 7. Hours of Work as Related to Output and Health of Workers-Boot and Shoe Industry. 76 pages. June. 1918. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 8. Wartime Employment of Women in the Metal Trades. 80 pages. July, 1918. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 9. Wartime Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914June, 1918. 82 pages. August, 1918. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 10. Arbitration and Wage-Fixing in Australia. 52 pages. October, 1918. \$1.00.
Research Report No. if. The Eight-Hour Day Defined. 12 pages. December, 1918. 50 cents.

Research Report No. 12. Hours of Work as Related to Output and Health of Workers-Wool Manufacturing. 69 pages. December, 1918. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 13. Rest Periods for Industrial Workers. 55 pages. January, 1919. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 14. Wartime Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914Novem ber, 1918. 33 pages. February, 1919. 75 cents.
Research Report No. 15. Problems of Industrial Readjustment in the United States. 58 pages. February, 1919. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 16. Hours of Work as Related to Output and Health of Workers-Silk Manufacturing. 54 pages. March, 1919. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 17. Wartime Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914March, 1919. 31 pages. May, 1919. 75 cents.
Research Report No. 18. Hours of Work as Related to Output and Health of Workers-Metal Manufacturing Industries. 62 pages. July, 1919. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 19. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-July, 1919. 31 pages. September, 1919. 75 cents.

Reseorch Report No. 20. Wartime Changes in Wages: September, 1914March, 1919. 128 pages. September, 1919. \$1.50.
Research Report No. 2I. Works Counctls in the United States. 135 pages. October, 1919. $\$ 1.50$.
Research Report No. 22. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Fall Riv. er, Massachusetts, October, 1919. 18 pages. November, 1919. 50 cents.
Research Report No. 23 . Conciliation and Arbitration in New Zealand. 46 pages. December, 1919. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 24. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Lawrence, Massachusetts, November, 1919. 21 pages. December, 1919.50 cents.
Research Report No. 25. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-November, 1919. 24 pages. December, 1919. 75 cents.
Research Report No. 26. A Works Council Manual. 32 pages. February, 1920. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 27. The Hours of Work Problem in Five Major Indus. tries. 91 pages. March, 1920. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 28. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-March, 1920. 24 pages. May, 1920. 75 cents.

Research Report No. 29. Practical Experience with Profit-Sharing in Industrial Estarlishments. 86 pages. June, 1920. \$1.00.
Research Report No. zo. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-July, 1920. 28 pages. September, 1920. 75 cents.

Research Report No. 3I. Changes in Wages During and Since the War: Seftember, $1914-\mathrm{March}, 1920.54$ pages. September, 1920. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 32. Practical Experience with the Work Week of FortyEight Hours or Less. 96 pages. December, 1920. $\$ 1.00$.
Research Report No. 33. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-Novem. ber, 1920. 29 pages. December, 1920. 75 cents.
Research Report No. 34. Healtir Service in Industre. 64 pages. January, 1921. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 35. Wage Changes in Indistry: 'September, 1914-Deсемвer, 1920. 50 pages. March, 1921. \$1.00.

## PUBLICATIONS—continued

Research Report No. 36. Changes in the Cost of Living: Juiy, 1914-March, 1921. 28 pages. April, 192I. 75 cents.

Research Report No. 37 . Cost of Health Service in Industry. 36 pages. May, 1921. \$1.00.

Research Report No. 38. Experience With Trade Union Agreements-Clothing Industries. 135 pages. June, 1921. $\$ 1.50$.
Research Report No. 39. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-July, 1921. 25 pages. August, 1921. 75 cents.

Research Report No. 40. Whaes in Great Britain, France and Germany. 113 pages. August, 192I. $\$ 1.50$.
Research Report No. 4r. Family Budgets of American Wage Earners: A Critical Analysis. 103 pages. September, 1921. \$1.00.
Research Report No. 42. The Metric versus the English System of Weights and Measures. 250 pages. October, 1921. \$2.50.
Research Repart No. 43. The Unemployment Problem. 91 pages. Noyember, 1921. $\$ 1.00$.

Research Report No. 44. Changes in the Cost of Living: July, 1914-November, 1921. 32 pages. December, 192I, 75 cents.
Research Report No. 45. Wages and Hours in American Industry: July, 1914July, 1921. 200 pages. December, 1921. \$2.00.

## Special Reports

Special Report No. i. A Case op Federal Propaganda in Our Public Schools. 13 pages. February, 1919. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 2. War Revenue Act of 1918 (A Brief Analysis). 18 pages. March, 1919. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 3. Interim Report of the European Commission of the National Industrial Conference Board. 34 pages. July, 1919. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 4. Is Compulsory Health Insurance Desirable? 12 pages. October, 1919. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 5. Vital Issues in the Industrial Conference at Washington, D. C. 15 pages. Noyember, 1919. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 6. Problems of Labor and Industry in Great Britain, France, and Italy. Report of the Eurofean Commission. 406 pages. Noyember, 1919. $\$ 2.50$.
Special Report No. 广. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-North Hudson County, New Jersey, January, 1920. 20 pages. March, 1920. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 8. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Grefinville and Pelzer, South Carolina, and Charlotte, North Carolina, January and February, 1920. 25 pages. May, 1920. 50 cents.
Special Report No. $\dot{0}$. Procebdings of the National Industrial Tax Conference at Chicago, Illinois, April 16, 1920. 113 pages. May, 1920. \$1.00.
Special Report No. Io. Should Trade Unions and Employers' Associations Be Made Legally Responsible? 35 pages. June, 1920. 75 cents.
Special Report No. it. The Closed Union Shop Verses the Open Shop: Their Social and Economic Value Compared. 27 pages. July, 1920. 75 cents.
Special Report No. iz. Should the State Interfere in the Determination of Wage Rates? 150 pages. August, 1920. $\$ 1.50$.
Special Report No. 13. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Cincinnati, Ohio, May, 1920. 18 pages. July, 1920. 50 cents.
Special Report No. I4. Unwarranted Conclusions Regarding the Eight-Hour and Ten-Hour Workday. 21 pages. August, 1920. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 15. Problems of Labor and Industry in Germany. 65 pages. September, 1920. \$1.00.
Special Report No. i6. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Worcester, Massachusetts, June, 1920. 20 pages. October, 1920. 50 cents.
Special Report No. IT. Proceedings of the Second National Industrial Tax Conferfnce, New York, October 22 ano 23, 1920. 200 pages. Noyember, 1920. $\$ 1.50$.

Special Report No. 18. Report of the Tax Committee of the National Industrial Conference Board, on the Federal. Tax Problem. 58 pages. December, 1920. 75 cents.
Special Report No. ig. The Cost of Living Among Wage Earners-Detroit, Michigan, Sfptember, 1921. 22 pages. October, 192I. 50 cents.
Special Report No. 20 A Digest of "The Metric versus the English System of Weights and Measures," (Research Report No. 42). 12 pages. December, 1921. 25 cents.

## INDUSTRIAL NEWS SURVEY

Important industrial news in concise form. A digest of Industrial News and Comment as Published in Reliable Newspapers, Magazines, Reviews, Special Articles and Government Documents. Weekly.
$\$ 2.00$ per year


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research Report No. 20. "Wartime Changes in Wages: September, 1914-March, 1919."
    ${ }^{2}$ Research Report No. 31. "Changes in Wages During and Since the War: September, 1914-March, 1920."
    ${ }^{3}$ Research Report No. 35. "Wage Changes in Industry: September, 1914-December, 1920."

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Labor Market Bulletin.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data on hourly earnings were not received from a sufficient number of boot and shoe establishments to warrant their inclusion in the composite results. See p. 13.

[^3]:    *1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5

[^4]:    *The large decrease in hours during this period is mainly accounted for by the enactment in Massachusetts in 1919 of the 48 -hour working week for women.

[^5]:    *1914-1921 establishments only. See pp. 3, 5.

